

# CHEST<sup>®</sup>

Official publication of the American College of Chest Physicians



## **VTE, Thrombophilia, Antithrombotic Therapy, and Pregnancy : Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines**

Shannon M. Bates, Ian A. Greer, Saskia Middeldorp, David L. Veenstra, Anne-Marie Prabalos and Per Olav Vandvik

*Chest* 2012;141:e691S-e736S  
DOI 10.1378/chest.11-2300

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services can be found online on the World Wide Web at:  
[http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2\\_suppl/e691S.full.html](http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2_suppl/e691S.full.html)

Supplemental material related to this article is available at:  
[http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/suppl/2012/02/03/141.2\\_suppl.e691S.DC1.html](http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/suppl/2012/02/03/141.2_suppl.e691S.DC1.html)

*Chest* is the official journal of the American College of Chest Physicians. It has been published monthly since 1935. Copyright 2012 by the American College of Chest Physicians, 3300 Dundee Road, Northbrook, IL 60062. All rights reserved. No part of this article or PDF may be reproduced or distributed without the prior written permission of the copyright holder.  
(<http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>)  
ISSN:0012-3692





## VTE, Thrombophilia, Antithrombotic Therapy, and Pregnancy

### Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines

Shannon M. Bates, MDCM; Ian A. Greer, MD, FCCP; Saskia Middeldorp, MD, PhD; David L. Veenstra, PharmD, PhD; Anne-Marie Prabulos, MD; and Per Olav Vandvik, MD, PhD

**Background:** The use of anticoagulant therapy during pregnancy is challenging because of the potential for both fetal and maternal complications. This guideline focuses on the management of VTE and thrombophilia as well as the use of antithrombotic agents during pregnancy.

**Methods:** The methods of this guideline follow the Methodology for the Development of Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis Guidelines: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines in this supplement.

**Results:** We recommend low-molecular-weight heparin for the prevention and treatment of VTE in pregnant women instead of unfractionated heparin (Grade 1B). For pregnant women with acute VTE, we suggest that anticoagulants be continued for at least 6 weeks postpartum (for a minimum duration of therapy of 3 months) compared with shorter durations of treatment (Grade 2C). For women who fulfill the laboratory criteria for antiphospholipid antibody (APLA) syndrome and meet the clinical APLA criteria based on a history of three or more pregnancy losses, we recommend antepartum administration of prophylactic or intermediate-dose unfractionated heparin or prophylactic low-molecular-weight heparin combined with low-dose aspirin (75-100 mg/d) over no treatment (Grade 1B). For women with inherited thrombophilia and a history of pregnancy complications, we suggest not to use antithrombotic prophylaxis (Grade 2C). For women with two or more miscarriages but without APLA or thrombophilia, we recommend against antithrombotic prophylaxis (Grade 1B).

**Conclusions:** Most recommendations in this guideline are based on observational studies and extrapolation from other populations. There is an urgent need for appropriately designed studies in this population.

*CHEST 2012; 141(2)(Suppl):e691S-e736S*

**Abbreviations:** APLA = antiphospholipid antibody; aPPT = activated partial thromboplastin time; HIT = heparin-induced thrombocytopenia; INR = international normalized ratio; LMWH = low-molecular-weight heparin; NNT = number needed to treat; PE = pulmonary embolism; RR = risk ratio; UFH = unfractionated heparin

#### SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Note on Shaded Text: Throughout this guideline, shading is used within the summary of recommendations sections to indicate recommendations that are newly added or have been changed since the publication of Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). Recommendations that remain unchanged are not shaded.

**2.2.1. For pregnant patients, we recommend LMWH for the prevention and treatment of VTE, instead of UFH (Grade 1B).**

**3.0.1. For women receiving anticoagulation for the treatment of VTE who become pregnant, we recommend LMWH over vitamin K antagonists during the first trimester (Grade 1A), in the second and third trimesters (Grade 1B), and during late pregnancy when delivery is imminent (Grade 1A).**

**3.0.2. For women requiring long-term vitamin K antagonists who are attempting pregnancy and are candidates for LMWH substitution, we suggest performing frequent pregnancy tests and substituting LMWH for vitamin K antagonists when pregnancy is achieved rather than switching to LMWH while attempting pregnancy (Grade 2C).**

*Remarks:* Women who place little value on avoiding the risks, inconvenience, and costs of LMWH therapy of uncertain duration while awaiting pregnancy and a high value on minimizing the risks of early miscarriage associated with vitamin K antagonist therapy are likely to choose LMWH while attempting pregnancy.

**3.0.3. For pregnant women, we suggest limiting the use of fondaparinux and parenteral direct thrombin inhibitors to those with severe allergic reactions to heparin (eg, HIT) who cannot receive danaparoid (Grade 2C).**

**3.0.4. For pregnant women, we recommend avoiding the use of oral direct thrombin (eg, dabigatran) and anti-Xa (eg, rivaroxaban, apixaban) inhibitors (Grade 1C).**

**4.0.1. For lactating women using warfarin, acenocoumarol, or UFH who wish to breast-feed, we recommend continuing the use of warfarin, acenocoumarol, or UFH (Grade 1A).**

Revision accepted August 31, 2011.

**Affiliations:** From the Department of Medicine (Dr Bates), McMaster University and Thrombosis and Atherosclerosis Research Institute, Hamilton, ON, Canada; Faculty of Health and Life Sciences (Dr Greer), University of Liverpool, Liverpool, England; Department of Vascular Medicine (Dr Middeldorp), Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; Department of Pharmacy (Dr Veenstra), University of Washington, Seattle, WA; Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology (Dr Prabulos), University of Connecticut School of Medicine, Farmington, CT; and Medical Department (Dr Vandvik), Innlandet Hospital Trust and Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services, Gjøvik, Norway.

**Funding/Support:** The Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines received support from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute [R13 HL104758] and Bayer Schering Pharma AG. Support in the form of educational grants was also provided by Bristol-Myers Squibb; Pfizer, Inc; Canyon Pharmaceuticals; and sanofi-aventis US.

**Disclaimer:** American College of Chest Physician guidelines are intended for general information only, are not medical advice, and do not replace professional medical care and physician advice, which always should be sought for any medical condition. The complete disclaimer for this guideline can be accessed at [http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2\\_suppl/1S](http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2_suppl/1S).

**Correspondence to:** Shannon M. Bates, MDCM, Department of Medicine, HSC 3W11, 1280 Main St W, Hamilton, ON, L8S 4K1, Canada; e-mail: [batesm@mcmaster.ca](mailto:batesm@mcmaster.ca)

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Physicians (<http://www.chestpubs.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>).

DOI: 10.1378/chest.11-2300

**4.0.2. For lactating women using LMWH, danaparoid, or r-hirudin who wish to breast-feed, we recommend continuing the use of LMWH, danaparoid, or r-hirudin (Grade 1B).**

**4.0.3. For breast-feeding women, we suggest alternative anticoagulants rather than fondaparinux (Grade 2C).**

**4.0.4. For breast-feeding women, we recommend alternative anticoagulants rather than oral direct thrombin (eg, dabigatran) and factor Xa inhibitors (eg, rivaroxaban, apixaban) (Grade 1C).**

**4.0.5. For lactating women using low-dose aspirin for vascular indications who wish to breast-feed, we suggest continuing this medication (Grade 2C).**

**5.1.1. For women undergoing assisted reproduction, we recommend against the use of routine thrombosis prophylaxis (Grade 1B).**

**5.1.2. For women undergoing assisted reproduction who develop severe ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome, we suggest thrombosis prophylaxis (prophylactic LMWH) for 3 months postresolution of clinical ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome rather than no prophylaxis (Grade 2C).**

*Remarks:* Women who are averse to taking medication for very small benefit and those who consider self-injecting a considerable burden will be disinclined to use LMWH for extended thrombosis prophylaxis. Given that the absolute benefit decreases as time from the hyperstimulation event increases, such women will be very disinclined to continue prophylaxis throughout the entire resultant pregnancy.

**6.2.1. For women undergoing cesarean section without additional thrombosis risk factors, we recommend against the use of thrombosis prophylaxis other than early mobilization (Grade 1B).**

**6.2.2. For women at increased risk of VTE after cesarean section because of the presence of one major or at least two minor risk factors, we suggest pharmacologic thromboprophylaxis (prophylactic LMWH) or mechanical prophylaxis (elastic stockings or intermittent pneumatic compression) in those with contraindications to anticoagulants while in hospital following delivery rather than no prophylaxis (Grade 2B).**

*Remarks:* The reduced bleeding risk with mechanical prophylaxis should be weighed against the inconvenience of elastic stockings and intermittent pneumatic compression.

**6.2.3.** For women undergoing cesarean section who are considered to be at very high risk for VTE and who have multiple additional risk factors for thromboembolism that persist in the puerperium, we suggest that prophylactic LMWH be combined with elastic stockings and/or intermittent pneumatic compression over LMWH alone (Grade 2C).

**6.2.4.** For selected high-risk patients in whom significant risk factors persist following delivery, we suggest extended prophylaxis (up to 6 weeks after delivery) following discharge from the hospital (Grade 2C).

**7.1.1.** For pregnant women with acute VTE, we recommend therapy with adjusted-dose subcutaneous LMWH over adjusted-dose UFH (Grade 1B).

**7.1.2.** For pregnant women with acute VTE, we recommend LMWH over vitamin K antagonist treatment antenatally (Grade 1A).

**7.1.3.** For pregnant women with acute VTE, we suggest that anticoagulants should be continued for at least 6 weeks postpartum (for a minimum total duration of therapy of 3 months) in comparison with shorter durations of treatment (Grade 2C).

**7.1.4.** For pregnant women receiving adjusted-dose LMWH therapy and where delivery is planned, we recommend discontinuation of LMWH at least 24 h prior to induction of labor or cesarean section (or expected time of neuraxial anesthesia) rather than continuing LMWH up until the time of delivery (Grade 1B).

**8.2.1.** For all pregnant women with prior VTE, we suggest postpartum prophylaxis for 6 weeks with prophylactic- or intermediate-dose LMWH or vitamin K antagonists targeted at INR 2.0 to 3.0 rather than no prophylaxis (Grade 2B).

**8.2.2.** For pregnant women at low risk of recurrent VTE (single episode of VTE associated with a transient risk factor not related to pregnancy or use of estrogen), we suggest clinical vigilance antepartum rather than antepartum prophylaxis (Grade 2C).

**8.2.3.** For pregnant women at moderate to high risk of recurrent VTE (single unprovoked VTE, pregnancy- or estrogen-related VTE, or multiple prior unprovoked VTE not receiving long-term anticoagulation), we suggest antepartum prophylaxis with prophylactic- or intermediate-

dose LMWH rather than clinical vigilance or routine care (Grade 2C).

**8.2.4.** For pregnant women receiving long-term vitamin K antagonists, we suggest adjusted-dose LMWH or 75% of a therapeutic dose of LMWH throughout pregnancy followed by resumption of long-term anticoagulants postpartum, rather than prophylactic-dose LMWH (Grade 2C).

**9.2.1.** For pregnant women with no prior history of VTE who are known to be homozygous for factor V Leiden or the prothrombin 20210A mutation and have a positive family history for VTE, we suggest antepartum prophylaxis with prophylactic- or intermediate-dose LMWH and postpartum prophylaxis for 6 weeks with prophylactic- or intermediate-dose LMWH or vitamin K antagonists targeted at INR 2.0 to 3.0 rather than no prophylaxis (Grade 2B).

**9.2.2.** For pregnant women with all other thrombophilias and no prior VTE who have a positive family history for VTE, we suggest antepartum clinical vigilance and postpartum prophylaxis with prophylactic- or intermediate-dose LMWH or, in women who are not protein C or S deficient, vitamin K antagonists targeted at INR 2.0 to 3.0 rather than routine care (Grade 2C).

**9.2.3.** For pregnant women with no prior history of VTE who are known to be homozygous for factor V Leiden or the prothrombin 20210A mutation and who do not have a positive family history for VTE, we suggest antepartum clinical vigilance and postpartum prophylaxis for 6 weeks with prophylactic- or intermediate-dose LMWH or vitamin K antagonists targeted at INR 2.0 to 3.0 rather than routine care (Grade 2B).

**9.2.4.** For pregnant women with all other thrombophilias and no prior VTE who do not have a positive family history for VTE, we suggest antepartum and postpartum clinical vigilance rather than pharmacologic prophylaxis (Grade 2C).

**10.2.1.** For women with recurrent early pregnancy loss (three or more miscarriages before 10 weeks of gestation), we recommend screening for APLAs (Grade 1B).

**10.2.2.** For women with a history of pregnancy complications, we suggest not to screen for inherited thrombophilia (Grade 2C).

**10.2.3.** For women who fulfill the laboratory criteria for APLA syndrome and meet the clinical



**APLA criteria based on a history of three or more pregnancy losses, we recommend antepartum administration of prophylactic- or intermediate-dose UFH or prophylactic LMWH combined with low-dose aspirin, 75 to 100 mg/d, over no treatment (Grade 1B).**

**10.2.4. For women with inherited thrombophilia and a history of pregnancy complications, we suggest not to use antithrombotic prophylaxis (Grade 2C).**

**11.1.1. For women considered at risk for pre-eclampsia, we recommend low-dose aspirin throughout pregnancy, starting from the second trimester, over no treatment (Grade 1B).**

**11.2.1. For women with two or more miscarriages but without APLA or thrombophilia, we recommend against antithrombotic prophylaxis (Grade 1B).**

**12.1.1. For pregnant women with mechanical heart valves, we recommend one of the following anticoagulant regimens in preference to no anticoagulation (all Grade 1A):**

**(a) Adjusted-dose bid LMWH throughout pregnancy. We suggest that doses be adjusted to achieve the manufacturer's peak anti-Xa LMWH 4 h postsubcutaneous-injection or**

**(b) Adjusted-dose UFH throughout pregnancy administered subcutaneously every 12 h in doses adjusted to keep the mid-interval aPTT at least twice control or attain an anti-Xa heparin level of 0.35 to 0.70 units/mL or**

**(c) UFH or LMWH (as above) until the 13th week, with substitution by vitamin K antagonists until close to delivery when UFH or LMWH is resumed.**

*Remarks:* For pregnant women with mechanical heart valves, the decision regarding the choice of anticoagulant regimen is so value and preference dependent (risk of thrombosis vs risk of fetal abnormalities) that we consider the decision to be completely individualized. Women of childbearing age and pregnant women with mechanical valves, should be counseled about potential maternal and fetal risks associated with various anticoagulant regimens, including continuation of vitamin K antagonists with substitution by LMWH or UFH close to term, substitution of vitamin K antagonists by LMWH or UFH until the 13th week and then close to term, and use of LMWH or UFH throughout pregnancy. Usual long-term antico-

agulants should be resumed postpartum when adequate hemostasis is assured.

**12.1.2. In women judged to be at very high risk of thromboembolism in whom concerns exist about the efficacy and safety of UFH or LMWH as dosed above (eg, older generation prosthesis in the mitral position or history of thromboembolism), we suggest vitamin K antagonists throughout pregnancy with replacement by UFH or LMWH (as above) close to delivery rather than one of the regimens above (Grade 2C).**

*Remarks:* Women who place a higher value on avoiding fetal risk than on avoiding maternal complications (eg, catastrophic valve thrombosis) are likely to choose LMWH or UFH over vitamin K antagonists.

**12.1.3. For pregnant women with prosthetic valves at high risk of thromboembolism, we suggest the addition of low-dose aspirin, 75 to 100 mg/d (Grade 2C).**

This article is devoted to the use of antithrombotic therapy in pregnant women. Anticoagulant therapy is indicated during pregnancy for the prevention and treatment of VTE; for the prevention and treatment of systemic embolism in patients with mechanical heart valves; and, in combination with aspirin, for the prevention of recurrent pregnancy loss in women with antiphospholipid antibodies (APLAs).

The use of anticoagulation for prevention of pregnancy complications in women with hereditary thrombophilia is becoming more frequent. Given the absence of proven-effective therapy in women with unexplained recurrent pregnancy loss, there is also growing pressure to intervene with antithrombotic therapy in affected women with no known underlying thrombophilia. The use of anticoagulant therapy during pregnancy is challenging because of the potential for fetal and maternal complications.

## 1.0 METHODS

Table 1 describes both the question definition (ie, population, intervention, comparator, and outcomes) and the eligibility criteria for studies considered in each section of the recommendations that follow. We consider the desirable and undesirable fetal and maternal consequences of antithrombotic therapy in the following populations: (1) breast-feeding women, (2) women using assisted reproductive technology, (3) women undergoing cesarean section, (4) pregnant women with newly diagnosed VTE, (5) pregnant women with prior VTE, (6) pregnant women with asymptomatic thrombophilia, (7) pregnant women with a history of pregnancy complications (including pregnancy loss, preeclampsia, fetal growth restriction, and placental abruption), and (8) pregnant women with mechanical heart valves.

**Table 1—[Section 1.0] Structured Clinical Questions**

Section	PICO Question				Methodology
	Informal Question	Population	Intervention	Comparator	
Maternal complications of antithrombotic therapy (section 2.0)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adverse maternal outcomes of commonly used antithrombotic agents while pregnant</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pregnant women</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unfractionated heparin</li> <li>Low-molecular-weight heparin</li> <li>Other relevant agents<sup>a</sup></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No antithrombotic therapy or</li> <li>Other antithrombotic therapy</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Randomized controlled trials</li> <li>Observational studies</li> <li>-Case series</li> <li>-Cohort studies</li> <li>-Case-control studies</li> </ul>
Fetal complications of antithrombotic therapy during pregnancy (section 3.0)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Safety of antithrombotic therapy during pregnancy</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fetuses and children of women using antithrombotic therapy during pregnancy</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vitamin K antagonists</li> <li>Unfractionated heparin</li> <li>Low-molecular-weight heparin</li> <li>Other relevant agents<sup>a</sup></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No antithrombotic therapy exposure or</li> <li>Other antithrombotic agent</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Randomized controlled trials</li> <li>Observational studies</li> <li>-Case series</li> <li>-Cohort studies</li> <li>-Case-control studies</li> </ul>
Use of antithrombotic therapy in nursing mothers (section 4.0)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Safety of antithrombotic therapy while breast-feeding</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Breast-fed infants of women receiving antithrombotic therapy</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vitamin K antagonists</li> <li>Unfractionated heparin</li> <li>Low-molecular-weight heparin</li> <li>Other relevant agents<sup>a</sup></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No antithrombotic therapy exposure or</li> <li>Other antithrombotic agent</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Randomized controlled trials</li> <li>Observational studies</li> <li>-Case series</li> <li>-Cohort studies</li> <li>-Case-control studies</li> </ul>
Prevention of VTE with assisted reproductive technology (section 5.0)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Risk of VTE in women undergoing assisted reproduction</li> <li>-No additional risk factors</li> <li>-Prior VTE</li> <li>-Thrombophilia<sup>b</sup></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Women using assisted reproductive technology to become pregnant</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No prophylaxis</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No intervention</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Control arms of randomized controlled trials</li> <li>Observational studies</li> <li>-Case series</li> <li>-Cohort studies</li> <li>-Case-control studies</li> </ul>

(Continued)

**Table 1—Continued**

Section	PICO Question				Outcome	Methodology
	Informal Question	Population	Intervention	Comparator		
Prevention of VTE following cesarean section (section 6.0)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Choice, duration, and (if appropriate) route/dose of prophylaxis</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Women using assisted reproductive technology to become pregnant</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Low-molecular-weight heparin</li><li>Unfractionated heparin</li><li>Graduated compression stockings</li><li>Other relevant agents<sup>a</sup></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>No prophylaxis or</li><li>Other intervention</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>DVT</li><li>Pulmonary embolism</li><li>Mortality</li><li>Major bleeding<sup>c</sup></li><li>Bleeding during oocyte retrieval and embryo transfer</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Randomized controlled trials</li><li>Observational studies</li><li>-Case series</li><li>-Cohort studies</li><li>-Case-control studies</li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Risk of VTE following cesarean section in women with</li><li>-No additional risk factors</li><li>-Prior VTE</li><li>-Thrombophilia<sup>b</sup></li><li>-Other comorbid conditions</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Pregnant women undergoing cesarean section</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>No prophylaxis</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>No intervention</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>DVT</li><li>Pulmonary embolism</li><li>Embolism</li><li>Mortality</li><li>Major bleeding<sup>d</sup></li><li>Epidural hematoma</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Control arms of randomized controlled trials</li><li>Observational studies</li><li>-Case series</li><li>-Cohort studies</li><li>-Case-control studies</li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Choice, duration, and (if appropriate) route/dose of prophylaxis</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Pregnant women undergoing cesarean section</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Low molecular weight heparin</li><li>Unfractionated heparin</li><li>Graduated compression stockings</li><li>Intermittent pneumatic compression</li><li>Combined mechanical and pharmacologic prophylaxis</li><li>Other relevant agents<sup>a</sup></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>No prophylaxis or</li><li>Other antithrombotic strategy</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>DVT</li><li>Pulmonary embolism</li><li>Mortality</li><li>Major bleeding: total<sup>d</sup></li><li>Major bleeding<sup>d</sup></li><li>Epidural hematoma</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Randomized controlled trials</li><li>Observational studies</li><li>-Case series</li><li>-Cohort studies</li><li>-Case-control studies</li><li>Decision analysis</li></ul>
	Treatment of proven acute VTE during pregnancy (section 7.0)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Choice, route, and dose of antithrombotic therapy</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Pregnant women with proven acute VTE</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Vitamin K antagonists</li><li>Unfractionated heparin</li><li>Low-molecular-weight heparin</li><li>Other relevant agents<sup>a</sup></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>No treatment or</li><li>Other antithrombotic therapy or</li><li>Therapy in nonpregnant population with acute VTE</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Symptomatic recurrent DVT or pulmonary embolism</li><li>Fatal pulmonary embolism</li><li>Major bleeding</li><li>Postthrombotic syndrome</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Duration of antithrombotic therapy</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Pregnant women with proven acute VTE</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Throughout pregnancy</li><li>Throughout pregnancy and 6 wk postpartum (at least 3 mo)</li><li>Throughout pregnancy and 6 wk postpartum (at least 6 mo)</li><li>Throughout pregnancy and indefinite postpartum</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Other duration</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Symptomatic recurrent DVT or pulmonary embolism</li><li>Fatal pulmonary embolism</li><li>Major bleeding</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Randomized controlled trials</li><li>Observational studies</li><li>-Case series</li><li>-Cohort studies</li><li>-Case-control studies</li></ul>

Continued

(Continued)

Table 1—Continued

Section	PICO Question			
	Informal Question	Population	Intervention	Comparator
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Role of vena caval filters when antithrombotic therapy is contraindicated</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pregnant women with proven acute VTE</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Vena caval filter</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No vena caval filter</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Management of antithrombotic therapy around delivery</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pregnant women with proven acute VTE</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Elective delivery<sup>a</sup> with discontinuation of antithrombotic therapy 24 to 48 h prior to delivery</li> <li>• No elective delivery,<sup>e</sup> transition to unfractionated heparin</li> <li>• No elective delivery,<sup>e</sup> transition to prophylactic dose of antithrombotic agent</li> <li>• No elective delivery<sup>e</sup> with discontinuation of antithrombotic therapy as soon as labor commences</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other intervention</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Risk of recurrent VTE in pregnant women with:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-A single unprovoked event</li> <li>-A single event that was associated with a transient risk factor (all, estrogen-related [OCP, pregnancy])</li> <li>-Multiple prior events</li> <li>-Thrombophilia<sup>b</sup></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pregnant women with prior VTE</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No prophylaxis</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No intervention</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Choice and (if appropriate) route and dose of antithrombotic prophylaxis</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pregnant women with prior VTE</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No antepartum prophylaxis, postpartum only</li> <li>-All relevant agents considered<sup>d</sup></li> <li>• Antepartum and postpartum prophylaxis</li> <li>-All relevant agents considered<sup>d</sup></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No prophylaxis</li> </ul>
Prevention of recurrent VTE in pregnant women with prior VTE (section 8.0)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Risk of recurrent VTE in pregnant women with:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-A single unprovoked event</li> <li>-A single event that was associated with a transient risk factor (all, estrogen-related [OCP, pregnancy])</li> <li>-Multiple prior events</li> <li>-Thrombophilia<sup>b</sup></li> </ul> </li> <li>• Choice and (if appropriate) route and dose of antithrombotic prophylaxis</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pregnant women with prior VTE</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No prophylaxis</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Symptomatic DVT, pulmonary embolism</li> <li>• Mortality</li> <li>• Major bleeding; total</li> <li>• Postthrombotic syndrome</li> </ul>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Control arms of randomized controlled trials</li> <li>• Observational studies</li> <li>-Case series</li> <li>-Cohort studies</li> <li>-Case-control studies</li> </ul>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Symptomatic recurrent DVT or pulmonary embolism</li> <li>• Major bleeding; total</li> <li>• Postthrombotic syndrome</li> </ul>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Symptomatic recurrent DVT or pulmonary embolism</li> <li>• Major bleeding; total<sup>b</sup></li> <li>• Epidural hematoma</li> <li>• Postthrombotic syndrome</li> </ul>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Randomized controlled trials</li> <li>• Observational studies</li> <li>-Case series</li> <li>-Cohort studies</li> <li>-Case-control studies</li> </ul>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Symptomatic recurrent DVT or pulmonary embolism</li> <li>• Major bleeding; total</li> <li>• Postthrombotic syndrome</li> </ul>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Randomized controlled trials</li> <li>• Observational studies</li> <li>-Case series</li> <li>-Cohort studies</li> <li>-Case-control studies</li> </ul>

(Continued)



Table 1—Continued

Section	PICO Question			
	Informal Question	Population	Intervention	Comparator
Prevention of pregnancy-related VTE in women with thrombophilia (section 9.0)	• Risk of pregnancy-related VTE in women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup>	• Pregnant women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup> and no prior VTE	• No prophylaxis	• No intervention
			• Choice, duration, and (if appropriate) route/dose of prophylaxis	• Symptomatic DVT, pulmonary embolism
Prevention of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia (section 10.0)	• Risk of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup>	• Pregnant women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup> and no prior VTE	• No antepartum prophylaxis, postpartum only	• Mortality
			• Antepartum and postpartum prophylaxis	• Major bleeding
Prevention of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia (section 10.0)	• Risk of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup>	• Pregnant women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup> and a history of pregnancy complications	• Low-molecular-weight heparin	• Symptomatic DVT, pulmonary embolism
			• Unfractionated heparin	• Mortality
Prevention of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia (section 10.0)	• Risk of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup>	• Pregnant women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup> and a history of pregnancy complications	• Other relevant agents <sup>a</sup>	• Major bleeding
			• Graduated compression stockings	• Symptomatic DVT, pulmonary embolism
Prevention of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia (section 10.0)	• Risk of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup>	• Pregnant women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup> and a history of pregnancy complications	• Combined mechanical and pharmacologic prophylaxis	• Mortality
			• Antepartum and postpartum prophylaxis	• Major bleeding
Prevention of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia (section 10.0)	• Risk of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup>	• Pregnant women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup> and a history of pregnancy complications	• Similar agents as above	• Symptomatic DVT, pulmonary embolism
			• No prophylaxis	• Mortality
Prevention of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia (section 10.0)	• Risk of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup>	• Pregnant women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup> and a history of pregnancy complications	• No intervention	• Major bleeding
			• Recurrent pregnancy complication (as defined under patient population)	• Symptomatic DVT, pulmonary embolism
Prevention of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia (section 10.0)	• Risk of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup>	• Pregnant women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup> and a history of pregnancy complications	• Recurrent early pregnancy loss <sup>f</sup>	• Mortality
			• Late pregnancy loss (single) <sup>g</sup>	• Major bleeding
Prevention of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia (section 10.0)	• Risk of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup>	• Pregnant women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup> and a history of pregnancy complications	• Late pregnancy loss (multiple) <sup>h</sup>	• Symptomatic DVT, pulmonary embolism
			• Pre-eclampsia	• Mortality
Prevention of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia (section 10.0)	• Risk of pregnancy complications in women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup>	• Pregnant women with thrombophilia <sup>b</sup> and a history of pregnancy complications	• Intrauterine growth restriction	• Major bleeding
			• Placental abruption	• Symptomatic DVT, pulmonary embolism

(Continued)

**Table 1—Continued**

Section	PICO Question			
	Informal Question	Population	Intervention	Comparator
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Choice and (if appropriate) route and duration of antithrombotic prophylaxis</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pregnant women with thrombophilia<sup>b</sup> (antiphospholipid antibodies vs congenital thrombophilia vs specific congenital thrombophilia) and a history of pregnancy complications</li> <li>-Recurrent early pregnancy loss<sup>f</sup></li> <li>-Late pregnancy loss (single)<sup>g</sup></li> <li>-Late pregnancy loss (multiple)<sup>h</sup></li> <li>-Preeclampsia</li> <li>-Intrauterine growth restriction</li> <li>-Placental abruption</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Aspirin</li> <li>Unfractionated heparin (<math>\pm</math> aspirin)</li> <li>Low-molecular-weight heparin (<math>\pm</math> aspirin)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No prophylaxis or</li> <li>Other antithrombotic strategy</li> </ul>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recurrent pregnancy complication (as defined under patient population)</li> <li>Symptomatic DVT, pulmonary embolism</li> <li>Mortality</li> <li>Major bleeding</li> </ul>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Randomized controlled trials</li> <li>Observational studies</li> <li>-Case series</li> <li>-Cohort studies</li> <li>-Case-control studies</li> </ul>
Prevention of recurrent preeclampsia or pregnancy loss in women without known thrombophilia <sup>b</sup> (section 11.0)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Choice and (if appropriate) route and duration of antithrombotic prophylaxis</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pregnant women with no known thrombophilia<sup>b</sup> and prior preeclampsia</li> <li>Pregnant women with no known thrombophilia and at least two prior pregnancy losses</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Aspirin</li> <li>Unfractionated heparin (<math>\pm</math> aspirin)</li> <li>Low-molecular-weight heparin (<math>\pm</math> aspirin)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No prophylaxis</li> </ul>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recurrent preeclampsia</li> <li>Recurrent pregnancy loss</li> </ul>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Randomized controlled trials</li> <li>Observational studies</li> <li>-Case series</li> <li>-Cohort studies</li> <li>-Case-control studies</li> </ul>
Prevention of thromboembolism in pregnant women with mechanical heart valves (section 12.0)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Risk of thromboembolism in pregnant women with mechanical heart valves</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No antithrombotic therapy</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No intervention</li> </ul>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Maternal thromboembolism</li> <li>Major bleeding: total</li> <li>Major bleeding: maternal death</li> <li>Congenital malformations</li> <li>Fetal/neonatal hemorrhage</li> <li>Pregnancy loss</li> </ul>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Control arm of randomized controlled trials</li> <li>Observational studies</li> <li>-Case series</li> <li>-Cohort studies</li> <li>-Case-control studies</li> </ul>

(Continued)

**Table 1—Continued**

Section	PICO Question				
	Informal Question	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Choice and (if appropriate) route and dose of antithrombotic therapy</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Vitamin K antagonists throughout pregnancy</li><li>• Unfractionated heparin throughout pregnancy</li><li>• Low-molecular-weight throughout pregnancy</li><li>• Vitamin K antagonists substituted with unfractionated heparin during first trimester (at or before 6 wk)</li><li>• Vitamin K antagonists substituted with low-molecular-weight heparin during first trimester (at or before 6 wk)</li><li>• Vitamin K antagonists substituted with unfractionated heparin after 6 wk</li><li>• Aspirin throughout pregnancy</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No antithrombotic therapy or</li><li>• Other antithrombotic strategy</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Maternal thromboembolism</li><li>• Major bleeding maternal death</li><li>• Congenital malformations</li><li>• Fetal/neonatal hemorrhage</li><li>• Pregnancy loss</li></ul>
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Randomized controlled trials</li><li>• Observational studies</li><li>-Case series</li><li>-Cohort studies</li><li>-Case-control studies</li></ul>

PICO = population, intervention, comparator, outcome.

<sup>a</sup>Other relevant agents included in comparisons were selected based on their relevance for a particular question and may include any or all of the following: unfractionated heparin, low-molecular-weight heparin, fondaparinux, danaparoid, direct thrombin inhibitor, novel oral anticoagulants (eg, apixaban, dabigatran, rivaroxaban), aspirin, and thrombolysis.

<sup>b</sup>Thrombophilia is one or a combination of the following: congenital, including antithrombin deficiency, protein C deficiency, protein S deficiency, activated protein C resistance, factor V Leiden, prothrombin gene mutation, persistently elevated factor VIII levels, or antiphospholipid antibodies, including elevated anticardiolipin antibody titers, nonspecific inhibitor/lupus anticoagulant, and antibodies to  $\beta_2$ -glycoprotein I.

<sup>c</sup>For this question, major bleeding would also include bleeding during oocyte harvest and embryo transfer.

<sup>d</sup>For this question, major bleeding would also include epidural hematoma.

<sup>e</sup>Elective delivery refers to planned delivery/scheduled delivery and may include induction of vaginal delivery or cesarean section.

<sup>f</sup>Preferred as defined by three early losses prior to 12 wk; if not able to extract by this definition, then authors' definition and comment were used.

<sup>g</sup>Preferred as defined by single loss at 12 wk or later; if not able to extract by this definition, then authors' definition and comment were used.

<sup>h</sup>Preferred as defined by two or more losses at 12 wk or later; if not able to extract by this definition, then authors' definition and comment were used.

In addition to considering fetal outcomes (eg, pregnancy loss, congenital malformations) and maternal outcomes (eg, mortality, VTE, major maternal hemorrhage), we also consider burden of treatment as an important outcome for pregnant women taking long-term low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) or warfarin. When considered relevant, we report deaths (preferably as disease and treatment-specific mortality). Maternal thromboembolism includes DVT and pulmonary embolism (PE) in sections discussing the treatment and prevention of VTE and systemic embolization and valve thrombosis in sections discussing the management of pregnant women with mechanical heart valves. Major nonfatal maternal hemorrhage is defined as a symptomatic bleeding complication noted during pregnancy or within 6 weeks postpartum that involves bleeding into a critical site (intracranial, intraspinal, intraocular resulting in vision changes, retroperitoneal, pericardial, intramuscular with compartment syndrome, or placental abruption), causing a fall in hemoglobin level of  $\geq 20$  g/L, and bleeding leading to transfusion of two or more units of whole blood or red cells. This definition is in part based on the definition recommended by the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis.<sup>1</sup> Where major bleeding was not explicitly defined in primary research articles, the authors' definition was accepted. Fetal loss refers to loss at any time after confirmation of a viable intrauterine pregnancy, not including elective termination.

A comprehensive English-language literature search (January 2005–January 2010) was conducted to update our existing literature base. We followed the approach articulated by Grades of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation for formulation of recommendations.<sup>2,4</sup> In making recommendations, we have placed the burden of proof with those who would claim a benefit of treatment. Therefore, when there is uncertain benefit and a probability of important harm associated with therapy, we generally recommend against intervention.

There is a paucity of high-quality studies addressing risk factors for the outcomes discussed in this article as well as for the risks and benefits of antithrombotic therapy during pregnancy. Most recommendations, therefore, are based on low- to moderate-quality evidence and mirror our limited confidence in relative effect estimates from studies of antithrombotic treatment during pregnancy. To obtain baseline risk estimates for pregnancy complications, we summarize available observational studies of pregnant women, including case reports and case series of pregnant women in the absence of studies with a cohort design. We then apply the baseline risk estimates to the relative risk estimates to establish anticipated absolute benefits and harms of intervention. In the absence of direct evidence from randomized trials of reasonable quality, indirect evidence from randomized trials in nonpregnant patients is considered applicable to the present patient population (eg, we extrapolate the effect of thromboprophylaxis with LMWH on the incidence of VTE in patients undergoing general surgery to women undergoing cesarean section).

When describing the various regimens of unfractionated heparin (UFH) and LMWH, we use the following short forms:

- Adjusted-dose UFH: UFH subcutaneously every 12 h in doses adjusted to target a midinterval activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) into the therapeutic range
- Prophylactic LMWH: for example, dalteparin 5,000 units subcutaneously every 24 h, tinzaparin 4,500 units subcutaneously every 24 h, nadroparin 2,850 units subcutaneously every 24 h, or enoxaparin 40 mg subcutaneously every 24 h (although at extremes of body weight, modification of dose may be required)
- Intermediate-dose LMWH: for example, dalteparin 5,000 units subcutaneously every 12 h or enoxaparin 40 mg subcutaneously every 12 h

- Adjusted-dose LMWH: weight-adjusted or full-treatment doses of LMWH given once daily or bid (eg, dalteparin 200 units/kg or tinzaparin 175 units/kg once daily or dalteparin 100 units/kg every 12 h or enoxaparin 1 mg/kg every 12 h)

Postpartum anticoagulation refers to vitamin K antagonists for 6 weeks with a target INR of 2.0 to 3.0, with initial UFH or LMWH overlap until the INR is  $\geq 2.0$  or prophylactic- or intermediate-dose LMWH for 6 weeks. The term “clinical vigilance” refers to patient and physician alertness to the signs and symptoms of VTE and awareness of the need for timely and appropriate objective investigation of women with symptoms suspicious of DVT or PE. A family history of VTE refers to DVT or PE in a first-degree relative.

### 1.1 The Implications of Women's Preferences and Values During Pregnancy

In considering women's choices regarding risks and benefits of antithrombotic therapy in pregnancy, two considerations are of particular importance. First, treatment decisions during pregnancy and breast-feeding have implications not only for the health and life of the mother but also for the health and life of the fetus or child. Second, many women prefer to see pregnancy as a normal part of a healthy woman's life course rather than as a medical condition. On the background of these considerations, many factors, including the frequency and type of medication administration; pain, discomfort, and possible side effects; and the need, frequency, and type of testing associated with a given regimen, will affect women's choices.

The weight given to harmful effects (eg, maternal bleeding events, congenital malformations) and burden of treatment (eg, self-injecting with LMWH for 9 months) compared with beneficial effects (eg, avoiding VTE or pregnancy loss) affects trade-offs between benefits and harms of antithrombotic treatment in pregnancy. A systematic review of patient preferences for antithrombotic treatment did not identify any studies of pregnant women.<sup>5</sup> The findings of this systematic review, and the value and preference rating exercise described in Guyatt et al<sup>4</sup> suggest that one VTE should be viewed as more or less equivalent to one major extracranial bleed. Our clinical experience and preliminary results from a cross-sectional interview study (S. M. Bates, MDCM, personal communication, March 27, 2011) to determine the willingness of women with prior VTE who are either pregnant, actively planning a pregnancy, or who may in the future consider pregnancy to receive LMWH prophylaxis during pregnancy for prevention of recurrent VTE suggest that many, but not all women will choose long-term prophylaxis when confronted with the burden of self-injecting with LMWH over several months. Therefore, in general, we only make weak recommendations for long-term prophylaxis with LMWH.

In addition, the burden of long-term prophylaxis or treatment with LMWH or warfarin throughout pregnancy will have an impact on the choice of antithrombotic therapy. Clinical experience suggests that many, but not all women give higher priority to the impact of any treatment on the health of their unborn baby than to effects on themselves, placing a low value on avoiding the pain, cost, and inconvenience of heparin therapy in order to avoid the small risk of even a minor abnormality in their child. Attempts to balance the burden of long-term prophylaxis against the disutility associated with VTE or major bleeding events are further complicated by the fact that all pregnant women will experience the disutility of long-term prophylaxis, whereas only a minority will avoid VTE with treatment (because the baseline risk of such events generally is low).

Recommendations in this article, therefore, reflect our belief that although average women considering antithrombotic therapy

will also want to avoid medicalizing their pregnancy, they will put an extremely high value on avoiding fetal risk. For women who do not share these values, some of the recommendations in this article may not apply. For most recommendations, optimal decision-making will require that physicians educate patients about their treatment options, including their relative effectiveness, the consequences for both mother and baby, the method of administration and monitoring, the likely side effects, and the uncertainty associated with the estimates of all these effects. Once educated, women can participate in the selection of the treatment regimen that best matches their preferences and values.

## 2.0 MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS OF ANTICOAGULANT THERAPY

Maternal complications of anticoagulant therapy are similar to those seen in nonpregnant patients and include bleeding (for all anticoagulants) as well as heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT), heparin-associated osteoporosis, bruising, local allergic reactions, and pain at injection sites for heparin-related compounds.

### 2.1 UFH Therapy

During pregnancy, UFH can be used for both prevention and treatment of thromboembolism. Prophylactic UFH is typically administered subcutaneously two to three times per day either in fixed doses or doses adjusted to a target a specific anti-Xa UFH level (prophylactic- or intermediate-dose UFH). When used in therapeutic doses, UFH is administered either intravenously by continuous infusion with dose adjustment to achieve a target therapeutic aPTT or subcutaneously by bid injections in doses sufficient to achieve a therapeutic aPTT 6 h after injection.

During pregnancy, the aPTT response to UFH often is attenuated likely because of increased levels of heparin-binding proteins, factor VIII, and fibrinogen.<sup>6</sup> Consequently, the use of an aPTT range that corresponds to therapeutic heparin levels in nonpregnant patients might result in higher dosing (and heparin levels) in pregnant women than in nonpregnant patients. However, it is not clear whether this translates into excessive bleeding because the reported rates of bleeding using the standard aPTT range appear to be low. In a retrospective cohort study of 100 pregnancies in 77 women,<sup>7</sup> the rate of major antepartum bleeding in pregnant women treated with UFH was 1% (95% CI, 0.2%-5.4%), which is consistent with reported rates of bleeding associated with heparin therapy in nonpregnant patients<sup>8</sup> and with warfarin therapy<sup>9,10</sup> when used for the treatment of DVT.

Therapeutic doses of subcutaneous UFH can cause a persistent anticoagulant effect, which can complicate its use prior to delivery. In a small cohort study,

prolongation of the aPTT persisted for up to 28 h after the last injection of adjusted-dose subcutaneous heparin.<sup>11</sup> The mechanism for this prolonged effect is unclear. A similar effect has not been noted with IV UFH.

Thrombocytopenia during pregnancy is not uncommon, and pregnancy-specific causes<sup>12</sup> should be differentiated from IgG-mediated thrombocytopenia or HIT, which occurs in ~3% of nonpregnant patients receiving UFH.<sup>13</sup> The diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of HIT are described in Linkins et al<sup>14</sup> in these guidelines. In pregnant women who develop HIT and require ongoing anticoagulant therapy, use of the heparinoid danaparoid sodium is recommended because it is an effective antithrombotic agent<sup>15</sup> that does not cross the placenta<sup>16-18</sup> and has low cross-reactivity with UFH<sup>19</sup>; therefore, it rarely produces HIT (danaparoid was withdrawn from the US market in 2002). Although there are reports of fondaparinux<sup>20,21</sup> being used for this indication in pregnancy, experience with this agent during pregnancy is too limited to recommend fondaparinux over danaparoid.

Long-term treatment with UFH has been reported to cause osteoporosis in both laboratory animals and humans.<sup>22-30</sup> A number of studies have attempted to quantify the risk of osteoporosis during prolonged treatment (> 1 month) with UFH. Symptomatic vertebral fractures have been reported to occur in ~2% to 3% of the patient population, and significant reductions of bone density have been reported in up to 30%.<sup>22,23</sup> A small study (n = 40) reported an even higher percentage of fractures (15%) when older nonpregnant patients were treated with bid subcutaneous injections of 10,000 units UFH for a period of 3 to 6 months.<sup>26</sup>

Adverse skin reactions to UFH include bruising, urticarial rashes, erythematous well-circumscribed lesions (because of a delayed type 4 hypersensitivity reaction), skin necrosis (often due to vasculitis), and HIT. The true incidence of skin reactions caused by UFH is unknown.<sup>31</sup>

### 2.2 LMWH Therapy

Despite a paucity of supportive data from controlled trials or even large prospective observational studies, LMWH is now commonly used for prophylaxis and treatment of maternal thromboembolism. This change in practice is based largely on the results of large trials in nonpregnant patients, showing that LMWHs are at least as safe and effective as UFH for the treatment of VTE<sup>32,33</sup> and acute coronary syndromes<sup>34</sup> as well as for prophylaxis in high-risk patients.<sup>35</sup>

Retrospective analyses and systematic reviews suggest that the incidence of bleeding in pregnant



women receiving LMWH is low.<sup>36-38</sup> A systematic review of 64 studies that included 2,777 pregnancies in which LMWH was used reported that the frequencies of significant bleeding were 0.43% (95% CI, 0.22%-0.75%) for antepartum hemorrhage, 0.94% (95% CI, 0.61%-1.37%) for postpartum hemorrhage, and 0.61% (95% CI, 0.36%-0.98%) for wound hematoma, giving an overall frequency of 1.98% (95% CI, 1.50%-2.57%).<sup>38</sup> The risk of HIT appears much lower with LMWH than with UFH.<sup>13,37,38</sup>

Evidence suggests that LMWHs carry a lower risk of osteoporosis than UFH. In a study by Monreal and colleagues<sup>26</sup> in which 80 patients (men and women; mean age, 68 years) with DVT were randomized to either subcutaneous dalteparin 5,000 units bid (intermediate dose) or subcutaneous UFH 10,000 units bid for a period of 3 to 6 months, the risk of vertebral fractures with UFH (six of 40 [15%] patients; 95% CI, 6%-30%) was higher than with dalteparin (one of 40 [3%] patients; 95% CI, 0%-11%). In another randomized trial of 44 pregnant women allocated to prophylactic doses of dalteparin (n = 21) or UFH (n = 23),<sup>27</sup> bone density did not differ between women receiving dalteparin and those in a concurrent non-randomized cohort of healthy pregnant women but was significantly lower in those receiving UFH. A prospective observational study in which 55 pregnant women treated with prophylactic LMWH and aspirin and 20 pregnant untreated volunteers reported similar results.<sup>39</sup> Finally, in an a priori substudy of an ongoing randomized comparison of prophylactic LMWH (subcutaneous dalteparin 5,000 units/d) with placebo for prevention of pregnancy complications, there was no difference between the two groups with respect to mean bone mineral density.<sup>40</sup>

Despite these reassuring data, there have been case reports<sup>41-44</sup> of symptomatic osteoporosis occurring with LMWH. Osteoporosis may be due to individual susceptibility, reflecting the presence of risk factors for osteoporosis, a variable effect of different LMWH preparations or doses on bone density, or a combination of both. Risk factors that make women susceptible to this complication when exposed to LMWH in pregnancy remain to be identified.

Adverse skin reactions similar to those seen with UFH can also occur with LMWH, although the frequency appears reduced in patients receiving the latter. The reported incidence ranges from 1.8% to 29%.<sup>38,45</sup> Most LMWH-induced skin lesions are benign; however, HIT should be excluded.<sup>46</sup>

## Recommendation

### **2.2.1. For pregnant patients, we recommend LMWH for the prevention and treatment of VTE, instead of UFH (Grade 1B).**

## 3.0 FETAL COMPLICATIONS OF ANTITHROMBOTIC THERAPY DURING PREGNANCY

### *3.1 Vitamin K Antagonist Exposure In Utero*

Vitamin K antagonists cross the placenta and have the potential to cause fetal wastage, bleeding in the fetus, and teratogenicity.<sup>47-58</sup> In a systematic review of the literature published between 1966 and 1997 that examined fetal and maternal outcomes of pregnant women with prosthetic valves, Chan and colleagues<sup>49</sup> provided pooled estimates of risks associated with the following approaches: (1) use of vitamin K antagonists throughout pregnancy, (2) replacement of vitamin K antagonists with UFH from 6 to 12 weeks, and (3) UFH use throughout pregnancy (Tables S1, S2) (tables that contain an "S" before the number denote supplementary tables not contained in the body of the article and available instead in an online data supplement; see the "Acknowledgments" for more information). The authors found that the use of vitamin K antagonists throughout pregnancy was associated with congenital anomalies in 35 of 549 live births (6.4%; 95% CI, 4.6%-8.9%). A subsequent systematic review covering the years 2000 to 2009 (Tables S1, S2) reported a slightly lower risk estimate (21/559 [3.7%]; 95% CI, 1.9%-4.8%).<sup>50</sup>

In both reviews, the most common fetal anomaly was coumarin or warfarin embryopathy consisting of midfacial hypoplasia and stippled epiphyses. Limb hypoplasia has been reported in up to one-third of cases of embryopathy.<sup>51</sup> Embryopathy typically occurs after in utero exposure to vitamin K antagonists during the first trimester of pregnancy.<sup>48</sup> The results of a recently published multicenter European study not included in the systematic reviews, in which the pregnancies of 666 consenting women who contacted one of 12 Teratology Information Services between 1988 and 2004 seeking advice about gestational exposure to vitamin K antagonists were prospectively followed, also suggests that the risk of coumarin embryopathy is not high.<sup>58</sup> Although the frequency of major birth defects after any first trimester exposure to vitamin K antagonists was increased compared with that seen in a control group of 1,094 women counseled during pregnancy about exposures known to be nonteratogenic (4.8% vs 1.4%, respectively; OR, 3.86; 95% CI, 1.86-8.00), there were only two cases of embryopathy among 356 live births (0.6%). Both cases involved exposure to phenprocoumon until at least the end of the first trimester.

The substitution of heparin at or prior to 6 weeks appears to eliminate the risk of embryopathy, raising the possibility that vitamin K antagonists are safe with regard to embryopathy during the first 6 weeks of gestation. In the systematic review by Chan and colleagues,<sup>49</sup> none of the 125 live births (95% CI,

0%-3.0%) in which vitamin K antagonists were replaced with UFH at or before 6 weeks gestation or UFH used throughout pregnancy was associated with congenital fetal anomalies. In the European multicenter Teratology Information Services study, there were no cases of embryopathy among 235 live births when vitamin K antagonists were discontinued before week 8 after the first day of the last menstrual period.<sup>58</sup>

Vitamin K antagonists have also been associated with CNS abnormalities after exposure during any trimester.<sup>48</sup> Two patterns of CNS damage have been described: dorsal midline dysplasia (agenesis of the corpus callosum, Dandy-Walker malformation, and midline cerebellar atrophy) and ventral-midline dysplasia leading to optic atrophy.<sup>48</sup> These complications are uncommon.<sup>48,49</sup>

Although one cohort study reported that the use of coumarins during the second and third trimester was not associated with major risks for abnormalities in growth and long-term development of offspring, the authors noted an increased risk of minor neurodevelopmental problems (OR, 1.7; 95% CI, 1.0-3.0) in children exposed to coumarins in the second and third trimester of pregnancy compared with age-matched nonexposed children (14% vs 8%, respectively).<sup>59</sup> However, these minor neurodevelopmental problems are likely of minor importance because there were no differences in mean IQ or performance on tests for reading, spelling, and arithmetic between exposed and nonexposed children.<sup>60</sup>

Vitamin K antagonists have been linked to an increased risk of pregnancy loss<sup>49,50,58,61</sup> and can cause fetal hemorrhagic complications likely because the fetal liver is immature and fetal levels of vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors are low. Fetal coagulopathy is of particular concern at the time of delivery when the combination of the anticoagulant effect and trauma of delivery can lead to bleeding in the neonate. The risk of delivering an anticoagulated infant can be reduced by substituting UFH or LMWH for vitamin K antagonists approximately 3 weeks prior to planned delivery<sup>61</sup> and discontinuing these medications shortly before delivery. Others have advocated the use of planned cesarean section at 38 weeks with only a brief (2 to 3 day) interruption of anticoagulant therapy.<sup>62</sup> This approach resulted in good neonatal and maternal outcomes in a study of 30 babies. Cesarean section is not without risk and is not recommended for other conditions associated with an increased risk of neonatal intracranial hemorrhage at the time of delivery (eg, immune thrombocytopenia purpura).

**3.1.1 Thromboprophylaxis in Women Using Vitamin K Antagonists and Planning Pregnancy:** Physicians should counsel women receiving vitamin K antagonist therapy and contemplating pregnancy about the risks of

vitamin K antagonist therapy before pregnancy occurs. If pregnancy is still desired, the following two options can reduce the risk of warfarin embryopathy:

1. Performance of frequent pregnancy tests and substitution of adjusted-dose LMWH or UFH for warfarin when pregnancy is achieved or
2. Replacement of vitamin K antagonists with LMWH or UFH before conception is attempted

Both approaches have limitations. The first assumes that vitamin K antagonists are safe during the first 4 to 6 weeks of gestation. Although the second approach minimizes the risks of early miscarriage associated with vitamin K antagonist therapy, it lengthens the duration of exposure to heparin and, therefore, is costly and exposes the patient to a greater burden of treatment associated with the use of parenteral anticoagulants.

### 3.2 UFH Exposure In Utero

UFH does not cross the placenta<sup>63</sup> and, therefore, does not have the potential to cause fetal bleeding or teratogenicity; although bleeding at the uteroplacental junction is possible. Several studies provide high-quality evidence that UFH therapy is safe for the fetus.<sup>7,47,64</sup>

### 3.3 LMWH Exposure In Utero

As determined by measurement of anti-Xa activity in fetal blood, LMWH also does not cross the placenta.<sup>65,66</sup> There is no evidence that LMWH causes teratogenicity or increases the risk of fetal bleeding.<sup>36</sup>

### 3.4 Danaparoid Exposure In Utero

Animal experiments and human case reports suggest negligible transport of danaparoid across the placenta<sup>16-18,67</sup> Thus, there is no demonstrable fetal toxicity with maternal danaparoid use. However, the quality of evidence available to support that claim is low. (Note: Danaparoid was withdrawn from the US market in 2002.)

### 3.5 Pentasaccharide Exposure In Utero

Although no placental passage of fondaparinux was demonstrated in a human cotyledon (small lobe on the uterine or maternal surface of the placenta) model,<sup>68</sup> anti-Xa activity (at approximately one-tenth the concentration of maternal plasma) was found in the umbilical cord plasma of five newborns of mothers treated with fondaparinux.<sup>69</sup> Although there have been a small number of reports of the successful use of this agent in pregnant woman,<sup>70-76</sup> most of these involve second trimester or later exposure. Thus, the quality of evidence regarding supporting use of fondaparinux in pregnancy is very low. Potential deleterious effects on the fetus cannot be excluded.

### 3.6 Parenteral Direct Thrombin Inhibitor Exposure In Utero

Investigations have documented placental transfer of r-hirudin in rabbits and rats.<sup>77,78</sup> Although small numbers of case reports have documented successful outcomes with r-hirudin use in pregnancy,<sup>77,79,80</sup> there are insufficient data to evaluate its safety. Three case reports have been published describing the use of argatroban late in pregnancy.<sup>81-83</sup> There are no published reports on the use of bivalirudin.

### 3.7 New Oral Direct Thrombin and Anti-Xa Inhibitor Exposure In Utero

Pregnant women were excluded from participating in clinical trials evaluating these new agents. There are no published reports describing the use of new oral direct thrombin inhibitors (eg, dabigatran) or anti-Xa inhibitors (rivaroxaban, apixaban) in pregnancy. The Summaries of Product Characteristics for dabigatran and rivaroxaban describe animal reproductive toxicity.<sup>84,85</sup> The human reproductive risks of these medications are unknown.

### 3.8 Aspirin Exposure In Utero

Aspirin crosses the placenta, and animal studies have shown that aspirin may increase the risk of congenital anomalies. Several systematic reviews have examined the safety of aspirin use during pregnancy (Tables S1-S3).<sup>86-88</sup> A meta-analysis of 31 randomized studies comparing antiplatelet agents with either placebo or no antiplatelet agents in 32,217 pregnant women at risk for developing preeclampsia<sup>86</sup> reported that aspirin therapy was not associated with an increase in the risk of pregnancy loss, neonatal hemorrhage, or growth restriction. However, in a meta-analysis of eight studies that evaluated the risk of congenital anomalies with aspirin exposure during the first trimester, aspirin use was associated with a twofold increase in the risk for gastroschisis (OR, 2.37; 95% CI, 1.44-3.88).<sup>87</sup> The validity of this risk estimate is questionable because of a significant risk of bias in the contributing studies.

One population-based study did note an increased risk of miscarriage with aspirin use that was greatest when aspirin was taken around the time of conception<sup>89</sup>; however, the number of aspirin users was small, aspirin doses were unknown, and users may have had conditions associated with an increased risk of pregnancy loss.<sup>90</sup> A meta-analysis of seven randomized trials in which women started aspirin later in pregnancy (Tables S1, S3) failed to establish or refute an increase in risk of miscarriage with aspirin compared with placebo (risk ratio [RR], 0.92; 95% CI, 0.71-1.19 for first or second trimester exposure; RR, 1.3; 95% CI, 0.63-2.69 for first trimester exposure only).<sup>88</sup>

### 3.9 Thrombolysis During Pregnancy

Although investigations with <sup>131</sup>I-labeled streptokinase or tissue plasminogen activator showed minimal transplacental passage,<sup>91</sup> concerns remain about the use of thrombolytic therapy during pregnancy due to maternal and placental effects. Although there have been reports of successful thrombolysis in pregnancy (most involving streptokinase),<sup>91-94</sup> the number of cases is small. Given this and limitations of available data regarding the safety of this intervention in pregnancy, the use of thrombolytic therapy is best reserved for life-threatening maternal thromboembolism.<sup>95</sup>

#### Recommendations

**3.0.1. For women receiving anticoagulation for the treatment of VTE who become pregnant, we recommend that LMWH over vitamin K antagonists during the first trimester (Grade 1A), in the second and third trimesters (Grade 1B), and during late pregnancy when delivery is imminent (Grade 1A).**

**3.0.2. For women requiring long-term vitamin K antagonists who are attempting pregnancy and are candidates for LMWH substitution, we suggest performing frequent pregnancy tests and substituting LMWH for vitamin K antagonists when pregnancy is achieved rather than switching to LMWH while attempting pregnancy (Grade 2C).**

*Remarks:* Women who place little value on avoiding the risks, inconvenience, and costs of LMWH therapy of uncertain duration while awaiting pregnancy and a high value on minimizing the risks of early miscarriage associated with vitamin K antagonist therapy will probably choose LMWH while attempting pregnancy.

**3.0.3. For pregnant women, we suggest limiting the use of fondaparinux and parenteral direct thrombin inhibitors to those with severe allergic reactions to heparin (including HIT) who cannot receive danaparoid (Grade 2C).**

**3.0.4. For pregnant women, we recommend avoiding the use of oral direct thrombin (eg, dabigatran) and anti-Xa (eg, rivaroxaban, apixaban) inhibitors (Grade 1C).**

#### 4.0 USE OF ANTICOAGULANTS IN BREAST-FEEDING WOMEN

In order for a drug to pose a risk to the breast-fed infant, not only must it be transferred and excreted into breast milk but also it must be absorbed from



the infant's gut. Drugs that are poorly absorbed are unlikely to affect the neonate. Lipid-soluble drugs with a low molecular weight that are not highly protein bound are more likely to be transferred into breast milk.<sup>96</sup>

#### *4.1 Use of Vitamin K Antagonists in Breast-feeding Women*

Despite a lack of data suggesting any harmful effect to breast-feeding infants, many obstetricians remain reluctant to prescribe warfarin to lactating women. This might reflect concerns that less polar, more lipophilic vitamin K antagonists rarely used in North America (eg, phenindione, anisindione, and phenprocoumon) might be excreted into breast milk.<sup>97</sup> Warfarin, the oral anticoagulant prescribed for most patients in North America, is polar, nonlipophilic, and highly protein bound. There have been two convincing reports demonstrating that warfarin is not detected in breast milk and does not induce an anticoagulant effect in the breast-fed infant when nursing mothers consume the drug.<sup>98,99</sup> Acenocoumarol, which is commonly used in Europe, has similar properties (Tables S4, S5).<sup>100,101</sup> Therefore, the use of warfarin and acenocoumarol in women who require postpartum anticoagulant therapy is safe.

#### *4.2 Use of UFH and LMWH in Breast-feeding Women*

Because of its high molecular weight and strong negative charge, UFH does not pass into breast milk and can be safely given to nursing mothers.<sup>102</sup> In a case series of 15 women receiving 2,500 International Units of LMWH after cesarean section, there was evidence of excretion of small amounts of LMWH into the breast milk in 11 patients (Tables S4, S5).<sup>103</sup> However, given the very low bioavailability of oral heparin, there is unlikely to be any clinically relevant effect on the nursing infant.

#### *4.3 Use of Danaparoid in Breast-feeding Women*

Very little is known about the passage of danaparoid into breast milk. A small number of case reports have reported no or very low anti-Xa activity in the breast milk of danaparoid-treated women.<sup>77</sup> Because danaparoid is not absorbed by the GI tract after oral intake, it is unlikely that any anticoagulant effect would appear in breast-fed infants.

#### *4.4 Use of Fondaparinux in Breast-feeding Women*

According to the manufacturer's prescribing information, fondaparinux was found to be excreted in the milk of lactating rats.<sup>104</sup> There are no published data

on the excretion of fondaparinux into human milk, and the effects on the nursing infant are unknown. As a negatively charged oligosaccharide, only minor amounts of fondaparinux are expected to pass the intestinal epithelial barrier after oral administration, and significant absorption by the nursing infant is unlikely.<sup>105</sup> However, the manufacturer recommends that caution be used when administering fondaparinux to breast-feeding women.

#### *4.5 Use of Parenteral Direct Thrombin Inhibitors in Breast-feeding Women*

In a single-case report, no r-hirudin was detected in the breast milk of a nursing mother with a therapeutic plasma hirudin level.<sup>106</sup> Enteral absorption of r-hirudin appears to be low.<sup>78</sup> Therefore, it is unlikely that exposed infants would experience a significant anticoagulant effect, even if small amounts of r-hirudin appear in breast milk.

#### *4.6 Use of New Oral Direct Thrombin and Factor Xa Inhibitors in Breast-feeding Women*

Breast-feeding women were excluded from trials evaluating new oral direct thrombin and anti-Xa inhibitors, and there are no clinical data on the effect of these agents on breast-fed infants. The Summary of Product Characteristics for rivaroxaban notes that animal data indicate that this agent is secreted into breast milk.<sup>85</sup> The manufacturers of dabigatran and rivaroxaban both recommend against using these medications in breast-feeding women.<sup>84,85</sup>

#### *4.7 Use of Aspirin in Breast-feeding Women*

Although aspirin is a polar, acidic drug that is poorly lipid soluble and highly bound to plasma proteins, maternal aspirin ingestion is associated with excretion of salicylates into breast milk.<sup>107</sup> There are, therefore, potential risks of platelet dysfunction and GI bleeding in nursing infants of mothers using high doses of this drug.<sup>107,108</sup> Metabolic acidosis has been reported in breast-fed infants of mothers taking several grams of aspirin per day.<sup>109,110</sup> Theoretically, nursing infants of mothers taking aspirin could be at risk for developing Reye syndrome.<sup>107</sup> The use of low-dose aspirin (<100 mg/d) late in pregnancy was not associated with significant effects on neonatal platelet function.<sup>111,112</sup> In a prospective study of 15 breast-feeding mothers taking aspirin therapy, no negative effects were noted (Tables S4, S5).<sup>113</sup>

### **Recommendations**

**4.0.1. For lactating women using warfarin, acenocoumarol, or UFH who wish to breast-feed, we recommend continuing the use of warfarin, acenocoumarol, or UFH (Grade 1A).**

**4.0.2. For lactating women using LMWH, danaparoid, or r-hirudin who wish to breast-feed, we recommend continuing the use of LMWH, danaparoid, or r-hirudin (Grade 1B).**

**4.0.3. For breast-feeding women, we suggest alternative anticoagulants rather than fondaparinux (Grade 2C).**

**4.0.4. For breast-feeding women, we recommend alternative anticoagulants rather than oral direct thrombin and factor Xa inhibitors (Grade 1C).**

**4.0.5. For lactating women using low-dose aspirin for vascular indications who wish to breast-feed, we suggest continuing this medication (Grade 2C).**

## 5.0 VTE IN PATIENTS USING ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY

Assisted reproductive technology, which refers to all treatments or procedures involving in vitro handling of human oocytes and sperm or embryos for the purpose of achieving pregnancy,<sup>114,115</sup> may be associated with VTE. Data regarding the frequency of VTE, however, comprise predominantly of case reports, case series, and relatively small cohort studies (Table S6).<sup>116-121</sup> In two large retrospective series of patients undergoing in vitro fertilization, thrombosis complicated 0.1% (95% CI, 0%-0.3%)<sup>116</sup> and 0.3% (95% CI, 0%-0.8%)<sup>117</sup> of cycles. A hospital-based case-control study demonstrated a fourfold increase in antenatal VTE with assisted reproductive technology for singleton pregnancies and a sixfold incidence in twin pregnancies but no statistically significant association with postpartum VTE.<sup>121</sup> Thus, although in vitro fertilization appears to be a risk factor for antepartum thromboembolism, the overall absolute incidence of symptomatic thrombosis appears to be low.

The risk of thrombosis may be higher in women with ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome, with an incidence of thrombosis of up to 4.1% (95% CI, 1.1%-13.7%) in severe cases.<sup>116</sup> In a review of thrombosis associated with assisted reproductive technology, Chan and colleagues<sup>122</sup> identified 61 reports of venous thrombosis (49 cases involving the veins of the neck and arm) and 35 arterial events. Ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome was reported in 90% of arterial cases and 78% of venous events. In 98% of cases, thrombosis occurred after ovulation induction. Venous events were delayed compared with those involving the arterial circulation (42.4 days after embryo transfer and 10.7 days post-transfer, respectively).<sup>122</sup>

## 5.1 Prevention of VTE in Patients Undergoing Assisted Reproductive Technology

The bleeding risk most relevant to this population is intraabdominal and vaginal bleeding. The estimates of normal blood loss during uncomplicated oocyte retrieval vary, ranging from approximately 230 mL in one prospective cohort of 220 women<sup>123</sup> to 13 mL (range, 0-98 mL) in a study of 83 women.<sup>124</sup> Although patient-important vaginal bleeding appears to occur in up to 2% to 3% of patients, significant intraabdominal bleeding is much less common ( $\leq 0.5\%$  procedures) (Table S7).<sup>120,125-132</sup> Whether these risks are increased with antithrombotic prophylaxis is uncertain.

All studies that address the impact of prophylaxis in in vitro fertilization have important limitations, and the number of patients who have received anticoagulants is too small to draw any conclusions about safety and efficacy (Table S8).<sup>133-135</sup> Therefore, we used indirect evidence from a meta-analysis of thromboprophylaxis in patients undergoing hip arthroplasty<sup>136</sup> to estimate the relative effects LMWH prophylaxis in assisted reproductive technology. Table 2 and Table S9 summarize the quality of evidence and anticipated absolute effects of thrombosis prophylaxis in women with and without ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome. We rate the quality of evidence as low due to indirectness of the population and intervention and due to considerable imprecision in risk estimates for major bleeding events and VTE. In women with severe ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome, thromboprophylaxis may result in 26 fewer VTE per 1,000 women treated (number needed to treat [NNT] of 39 [given an estimated baseline risk of VTE of 4%]), with no increased risk of significant bleeding. However, in women without ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome in whom the baseline risk of VTE is estimated to be  $\sim 0.2\%$ , the use of LMWH prophylaxis is of very limited value (NNT, 781).

Data regarding the risk of VTE in women with thrombophilia or prior VTE who undergo assisted reproduction are lacking. Given the low baseline risk of VTE associated with assisted reproduction, if the magnitude of relative risk increases is similar to that reported with pregnancy-related VTE (sections 8 and 9), women with low-risk thrombophilias or prior VTE associated with major transient risk factors will receive only very small benefit from prophylaxis.

Dosage and duration of thromboprophylaxis after assisted reproductive therapy has not been well studied. If LMWH is used in women who develop ovarian hyperstimulation, extension of prophylaxis for 4 to 8 weeks postresolution of hyperstimulation<sup>114</sup> or throughout any resultant pregnancy and into the postpartum period<sup>115</sup> has been suggested given that



**Table 2—[Section 5.1.1, 5.1.2] Summary of Findings: Prophylactic-Dose LMWH vs No Thromboprophylaxis for Women Who Undergo Assisted Reproductive Therapy**

Outcomes	Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	Anticipated Absolute Effects During Pregnancy	
				Risk Without Prophylaxis	Risk Difference With LMWH (95% CI)
Symptomatic VTE, DVT, and pulmonary embolism	1,953 (6 RCTs), 27-35 d postoperative	Low due to indirectness <sup>b</sup> and imprecision <sup>a</sup>	RR 0.36 (0.20-0.67)	Without severe ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome	
				2 VTE per 1,000 <sup>c</sup>	1 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 2 fewer to 0 fewer)
				With severe ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome	
				40 VTE per 1,000 <sup>c</sup>	26 fewer per 1,000 (from 32 fewer to 13 fewer)
Major bleed	5,456 (7 RCTs), 3 wks-9 mo	Low due to indirectness <sup>b</sup> and imprecision <sup>a</sup>	RR 0.43 (0.11-1.65)	30 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>c</sup>	No significant difference 17 fewer bleeding events per 1,000 (from 27 fewer to 20 more)

GRADE = Grades of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation; LMWH = low-molecular-weight heparin; RCT = randomized controlled trial; RR = risk ratio.

<sup>a</sup>Rated down for imprecision due to imprecise control group risk estimates for bleeding events and for VTE in the subset of women with ovarian hyperstimulation (Tables S6-S8).

<sup>b</sup>The population did not include pregnant women. Different doses of LMWH were used, treatment was initiated variably before or after surgery with a duration of ~7 d (in hospital). Outcomes were variably reported; meta-analysis also provides other outcomes such as mortality, asymptomatic DVT, and specific bleed outcomes (wound hematoma, transfusion). Follow-up varied between trials from 3 wk to 9 mo.

<sup>c</sup>Control group risk for VTE and major bleed come from observational studies of women undergoing assisted reproductive technology, with many studies following women until delivery (Tables S6-S8).

most reported events have developed days to weeks (range, 2 days-11 weeks) after resolution of ovarian hyperstimulation.<sup>115</sup> However, given the lack of a clear association between assisted reproductive technology and postpartum events,<sup>117,121</sup> continuing anticoagulant prophylaxis after delivery is less likely to be of benefit.

## Recommendations

**5.1.1. For women undergoing assisted reproduction, we recommend against the use of routine thrombosis prophylaxis (Grade 1B).**

**5.1.2. For women undergoing assisted reproduction who develop severe ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome, we suggest thrombosis prophylaxis (prophylactic LMWH) for 3 months postresolution of clinical ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome rather than no prophylaxis (Grade 2C).**

*Remarks:* Women who are averse to taking medication for very small benefit and those who consider self-injecting a considerable burden will be disinclined to use LMWH for extended thrombosis prophylaxis. Given that the absolute benefit decreases as time from the hyperstimulation event increases, such women will be very disinclined to continue prophylaxis throughout the entire resultant pregnancy.

## 6.0 VTE FOLLOWING CESAREAN SECTION

### 6.1 Risk of VTE Following Cesarean Section

The puerperium is the time of maximal daily risk of pregnancy-associated VTE.<sup>137,138</sup> Several observa-

tional studies have assessed the risk of VTE after cesarean section, with absolute risk estimates ranging from <1 in 1,000 up to 18 of 1,000 cesarean deliveries.<sup>121,139-148</sup> However, studies based on hospital records and disease coding may result in an underestimation of the true incidence of symptomatic VTE.<sup>149</sup> A Norwegian study of 59 low-risk women undergoing elective cesarean section who underwent screening for DVT using triplex ultrasonography (compression ultrasonography, color Doppler echocardiography, and spectral Doppler echocardiography) 2 to 5 days after delivery and followed up for 6 weeks reported that none had symptomatic or asymptomatic VTE (95% CI, 0%-6.1%).<sup>144</sup> A small prospective study in which patients after cesarean section underwent screening ultrasounds at hospital discharge and 2 weeks postpartum and were followed for 3 months reported a symptomatic event rate of five of 1,000 (95% CI, 0.1%-2.8%).<sup>147</sup> This is consistent with estimates based on hospital discharge data antedating the use of thromboprophylaxis.<sup>138,140</sup>

Observational studies provide evidence concerning risk factors for VTE in pregnant women (Tables S10, S11)<sup>121,146,148,150-152</sup>; these are likely to be relevant in women undergoing cesarean section. In assessing risk in this setting, the number of risk factors, the magnitude of risk associated with these factors, and their impact when occurring together are all relevant. Table 3 provides an overview of major and minor risk factors we suggest clinicians use to identify women at increased risk of VTE after cesarean section. The presence of one major or at least two minor risk factors will indicate whether patients qualify for

**Table 3—[Section 6.2.1-6.2.4] Risk Factors for VTE Resulting in a Baseline Risk of Postpartum VTE of > 3%**

Major risk factors (OR > 6): presence of at least one risk factor suggests a risk of postpartum VTE > 3%

Immobility (strict bed rest for  $\geq 1$  week in the antepartum period)  
 Postpartum hemorrhage  $\geq 1,000$  ml with surgery  
 Previous VTE  
 Preeclampsia with fetal growth restriction  
 Thrombophilia  
 Antithrombin deficiency<sup>a</sup>  
 Factor V Leiden (homozygous or heterozygous)  
 Prothrombin G20210A (homozygous or heterozygous)  
 Medical conditions  
 Systemic lupus erythematosus  
 Heart disease  
 Sickle cell disease  
 Blood transfusion  
 Postpartum infection

Minor risk factors (OR > 6 when combined): presence of at least two risk factors or one risk factor in the setting of emergency cesarean section suggests a risk of postpartum VTE of > 3%

BMI > 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>  
 Multiple pregnancy  
 Postpartum hemorrhage > 1 L  
 Smoking > 10 cigarettes/d  
 Fetal growth restriction (gestational age + sex-adjusted birth weight < 25th percentile)  
 Thrombophilia  
 Protein C deficiency  
 Protein S deficiency  
 Preeclampsia

Data from Jacobsen et al,<sup>121</sup> Jacobsen et al,<sup>144</sup> Lindqvist et al,<sup>146</sup> Simpson et al,<sup>148</sup> Knight,<sup>150</sup> Robertson et al,<sup>151</sup> and James et al.<sup>152</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Although the OR in a systematic review was 4.69, CIs were wide and numbers small. Further, other retrospective studies have calculated ORs of 282 (95% CI, 31-2,532) for type 1 antithrombin deficiency and 28 (95% CI, 5.5-142) for type 2 deficiency.<sup>153</sup> Thus, antithrombin deficiency has been left as a major risk factor.

our weak recommendation for thrombosis prophylaxis (section 6.2). Extrapolating from high-risk populations,<sup>154-156</sup> the combination of LMWH prophylaxis with mechanical methods may be of benefit when multiple major risk factors for VTE are present. Further, when major risk factors continue in the puerperium, consideration should be given to extending prophylaxis for the 6 weeks during which pregnancy-associated prothrombotic changes may persist.<sup>137</sup>

## 6.2 Thromboprophylaxis Following Cesarean Section

A recent systematic review<sup>157</sup> identified four studies (830 women) comparing prophylaxis with LMWH<sup>158,159</sup> or UFH<sup>160,161</sup> with placebo. There was no statistically significant difference between groups with respect to symptomatic VTE for LMWH vs placebo (two of 105 and zero of 105, respectively; RR, 2.97; 95% CI, 0.31-28.03) and UFH vs placebo (three of 297 and four of 333, respectively; RR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.19-3.76).<sup>157</sup> However, the small number

of study participants and outcome events provide insufficient evidence on which to make prophylaxis recommendations. A decision analysis model suggested that the benefits of LMWH prophylaxis exceed risks after cesarean section<sup>141</sup> but that this benefit was small in women with no risk factors and the low-quality evidence makes the assumptions that underlie the model questionable.

We use indirect evidence from patients undergoing general surgery<sup>156</sup> to generate anticipated absolute effects of LMWH on VTE and major bleeding events in pregnant women undergoing cesarean section. Table 4 and Table S12 show the quality of evidence and main findings from a meta-analysis of three trials comparing LMWH vs placebo in 4,890 patients undergoing general surgery.<sup>162</sup> We have rated down the quality of evidence because of indirectness. Extrapolating from general surgery patients (Table 4, Table S12), the balance of desirable and undesirable consequences would suggest prophylaxis for women with an absolute VTE risk of  $\geq 30$  of 1,000. With a baseline risk of five of 1,000 VTE after cesarean delivery, the presence or absence of risk factors will determine the absolute benefit of thrombosis prophylaxis. We categorize women into low risk (five of 1,000) and high risk (30 of 1,000); clinicians can use Table 3 to determine to which group their patient belongs.

Mechanical prophylaxis with elastic stockings or intermittent pneumatic compression are alternatives to pharmacologic prophylaxis in pregnant women at high risk of VTE and may be used with LMWH in women at particularly high risk of VTE. We consider evidence from a variety of populations undergoing general surgery to be applicable to pregnant women undergoing cesarean section and, therefore, refer the reader to Gould et al<sup>156</sup> in these guidelines for a detailed review of the evidence supporting the use of mechanical thromboprophylaxis with elastic stockings or intermittent pneumatic compression. In short, when compared with pharmacologic prophylaxis, mechanical prophylaxis is associated with less major bleeding (RR, 0.51; 95% CI, 0.40-0.64 for high-quality evidence) but a higher risk of VTE (RR, 1.8; 95% CI, 1.2-2.8 for low-quality evidence). Applying the baseline risk estimates for VTE and major bleeding events provided in Table 4 to 1,000 pregnant women at high risk of VTE after cesarean section, it follows that selecting mechanical prophylaxis over pharmacologic prophylaxis would result in 24 more VTE and seven fewer bleeding events. Although elastic stockings have been associated with skin breakdown when used poststroke (RR, 4.0; 95% CI, 2.4-6.9), this complication is much less likely to occur in young women. Elastic stockings and intermittent pneumatic compression may be inconvenient and cumbersome to use.

**Table 4—[ Section 6.2.1-6.2.4] Summary of Findings: LMWH vs No Thromboprophylaxis for Prevention of VTE in Women Undergoing Cesarean Section**

Outcomes	Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	Anticipated Absolute Effects Over 6 wk Postpartum	
				Risk Without Prophylaxis	Risk Difference With LMWH (95% CI)
Symptomatic VTE, DVT, and pulmonary embolism	4,890 (3 RCTs), 3 wk-9 mo	Moderate due to indirectness <sup>b</sup>	RR 0.29 (0.11-0.73)	Low risk (see Table 13)	
				5 VTE per 1,000 <sup>a</sup>	3 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 4 fewer to 1 fewer)
				High risk (see Table 13)	
				40 VTE per 1,000 <sup>a</sup>	21 fewer per 1,000 (from 27 fewer to 9 fewer)
Major bleed <sup>c</sup>	5,456 (7 RCTs), 3 wk-9 mo	Moderate due to indirectness <sup>c</sup>	RR 2.03 (1.37-3.01)	20 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>d</sup>	20 more bleeding events per 1,000 (from 8 more to 40 more)

See Table 2 legend for expansion of abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Control group risk estimates come from studies providing risk factors for VTE after cesarean section (Tables S10 and S11).

<sup>b</sup>Rated down for indirect study population (general surgery patients). We did not rate down for risk of bias, although only five of eight RCTs of LMWH vs placebo/no treatment reported mortality.

<sup>c</sup>Rated down for indirectness due to variable bleeding definitions in trials: bleeding leading to death, transfusion, reoperation, or discontinuation of therapy. Measured at end of therapy.

<sup>d</sup>Control group risk estimate comes from a decision analysis by Blondon et al.<sup>141</sup>

The optimal duration of prophylaxis after cesarean section is not established. If we extrapolate from general surgery,<sup>156,163-166</sup> treatment until discharge from the hospital, with extended prophylaxis for those with significant ongoing risk factors, may be appropriate. We express a preference for LMWH over UFH because of its favorable safety profile (see section 4.0).

There are no relevant cost-effectiveness data in this setting using UFH or LMWH; however, in one study modeling the cost-effectiveness of intermittent pneumatic compression, this intervention was considered cost-effective when the incidence of post-cesarean section DVT was at least 6.8 of 1,000<sup>167</sup> (Tables S13, S14). However, these devices are not readily available at all sites, and patients and nurses often find them to be inconvenient and cumbersome to use.

## Recommendations

**6.2.1. For women undergoing cesarean section without additional thrombosis risk factors, we recommend against the use of thrombosis prophylaxis other than early mobilization (Grade 1B).**

**6.2.2. For women at increased risk of VTE after cesarean section because of the presence of one major or at least two minor risk factors (Table 3), we suggest pharmacologic thromboprophylaxis (prophylactic LMWH), or mechanical prophylaxis (elastic stockings or intermittent pneumatic compression) in those with contraindications to anticoagulants while in the hospital following delivery rather than no prophylaxis (Grade 2B).**

*Remarks:* The reduced bleeding risk with mechanical prophylaxis should be weighed against the inconvenience of elastic stockings and intermittent pneumatic compression.

**6.2.3. For women undergoing cesarean section who are considered to be at very high risk for VTE and who have multiple additional risk factors for thromboembolism that persist in the puerperium, we suggest that prophylactic LMWH be combined with elastic stockings and/or intermittent pneumatic compression over LMWH alone (Grade 2C).**

**6.2.4. For selected high-risk patients in whom significant risk factors persist following delivery, we suggest extended prophylaxis (up to 6 weeks after delivery) following discharge from the hospital (Grade 2C).**

## 7.0 TREATMENT OF PROVEN ACUTE VTE DURING PREGNANCY

PE remains a leading cause of maternal mortality in the western world,<sup>168,169</sup> and VTE in pregnancy is an important cause of maternal morbidity.<sup>168,170,171</sup> Results from studies in which either all or most patients underwent accurate diagnostic testing for VTE report that the incidence of VTE ranges from 0.6 to 1.7 episodes per 1,000 deliveries.<sup>138,139,146,148,152,172</sup> A meta-analysis showed that two-thirds of DVT occur antepartum, with these events distributed throughout all three trimesters.<sup>173</sup> In contrast, 43% to 60%

of pregnancy-related episodes of PE appear to occur in the 4 to 6 weeks after delivery.<sup>139,148</sup> Because the antepartum period is substantially longer than the 6-week postpartum period, the daily risk of PE, as well as DVT, is considerably higher following delivery than antepartum.

### 7.1 Treatment of VTE During Pregnancy

Based on safety data for the fetus, heparin compounds are preferred over vitamin K antagonists for the treatment of VTE in pregnancy (see section 3.0). LMWH is the preferred option for most patients because of its better bioavailability, longer plasma half-life, more predictable dose response, and improved maternal safety profile with respect to osteoporosis and thrombocytopenia (see section 2.0).<sup>35-38</sup> Further, LMWH is a more convenient option because it can be given once daily, and unlike UFH, LMWH does not require aPTT monitoring.<sup>6</sup>

A systematic review of LMWH use in pregnancy<sup>38</sup> and subsequent observational studies<sup>36,150,174</sup> confirm the safety and efficacy of LMWH in this patient population when used for treatment of VTE. Our strong recommendation for LMWH over vitamin K antagonists in the treatment of VTE in pregnancy is further supported by evidence showing that in the nonpregnant population, LMWH is more effective than vitamin K antagonists in preventing recurrent VTE and postthrombotic syndrome without increasing the risk

of major bleeding events.<sup>175-178</sup> Table 5 and Table S15 summarize the quality of evidence and main findings from a systematic review of nonpregnant patients deemed applicable to the present population of pregnant women with acute VTE. Given these results, we consider the burden of self-injecting with LMWH for several months and possibility of skin reactions of lesser importance.

If LMWH is used for treatment of acute VTE in pregnancy, a weight-adjusted dosing regimen should be used. LMWH requirements may alter as pregnancy progresses because the volume of distribution of LMWH changes and glomerular filtration rate increases in the second trimester. The latter has led some to recommend a bid LMWH dosing schedule. However, many clinicians use a once-daily regimen to simplify administration and enhance compliance. Observational studies have not demonstrated any increase in the risk of recurrence with the once-daily regimen over the bid regimen.<sup>150,174</sup>

The need for dose adjustments over the course of pregnancy remains controversial. Some suggest that dose should be increased in proportion to the change in weight.<sup>181</sup> On the basis of small studies showing the need for dose-escalation to maintain therapeutic anti-Xa LMWH levels,<sup>182,183</sup> some advocate the performance of periodic (eg, every 1-3 months) antifactor Xa LMWH levels 4 to 6 h after injection with dose adjustment to maintain a therapeutic anti-Xa level (0.6-1.0 units/mL if a bid regimen is used and higher

**Table 5—[Section 7.1.2] Summary of Findings: Should LMWH Rather Than VKA Be Used for Long-term Treatment of VTE in Pregnant Women?**

Outcomes	Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	Anticipated Absolute Effects During Pregnancy <sup>b</sup>	
				Risk With VKA	Risk Difference With LMWH (95% CI)
Recurrent symptomatic VTE, DVT, and pulmonary embolism	2496 (7 RCTs); median, 6 mo	Moderate due to risk of bias <sup>a</sup>	RR 0.62 (0.46-0.84)	30 VTE per 1,000 <sup>b</sup>	11 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 16 fewer to 5 fewer)
Major bleeding	2727 (8 RCTs); median, 6 mo	Moderate due to imprecision <sup>c</sup>	RR 0.81 (0.55-1.2)	20 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>d</sup>	4 fewer bleeding events per 1,000 (from 9 fewer to 4 more)
PTS self-reported leg symptoms and signs	100 (1 RCT); median, 3 mo	Low due to risk of bias <sup>a</sup> and indirectness <sup>c</sup>	RR 0.85 (0.77-0.94)	480 PTS per 1,000 <sup>f</sup>	38 fewer bleeding events per 1,000 (from 110 fewer to 29 fewer)

Limited to LMWH regimens that used  $\geq 50\%$  of the acute treatment dose during the extended phase of treatment. Meta-analysis is based on RCTs as referenced in Kearon et al<sup>178</sup> in this guideline. PTS = postthrombotic syndrome; VKA = vitamin K antagonist. See Table 2 legend for expansion of other abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Risk of bias due to lack of blinding.

<sup>b</sup>Control group risk estimate for VTE with VKAs comes from cohort study by Prandoni et al,<sup>179</sup> adjusted to the 6-mo time frame considered applicable to the pregnancy period.

<sup>c</sup>Rated down for imprecision because CI includes both benefit and harm. Borderline decision not to rate down for risk of bias (considered this outcome less subjective, so lack of blinding not serious threat to validity).

<sup>d</sup>Control group risk estimate for major bleeding events comes from cohort studies by Prandoni et al<sup>179</sup> and Beyth et al,<sup>180</sup> adjusted to a 6-mo time frame considered applicable to the pregnancy period.

<sup>e</sup>Predictive value from 3 mo (follow-up in study) to long term is uncertain.

<sup>f</sup>Control group risk estimate for PTS comes from observational study of pregnant women (most mild).<sup>171</sup>



if a once-daily regimen is chosen). However, other researchers have demonstrated that few women require dose adjustment when therapeutic doses of LMWH are used.<sup>184-186</sup> Given the absence of large studies using clinical end points that demonstrate an optimal therapeutic anti-Xa LMWH range or that dose adjustments increase the safety or efficacy of therapy, the lack of accuracy and reliability of the measurement,<sup>187</sup> the lack of correlation with risk of bleeding and recurrence,<sup>188</sup> and the cost of the assay, routine monitoring with anti-Xa levels is difficult to justify.

Where LMWH cannot be used or when UFH is preferred (eg, in patients with renal dysfunction), UFH can be used through one of two alternatives: (1) initial IV therapy followed by adjusted-dose subcutaneous UFH given every 12 h or (2) bid adjusted-dose subcutaneous UFH. With subcutaneous therapy, UFH doses should be adjusted to prolong a midinterval (6 h postinjection) aPTT into therapeutic range, although it is recognized that aPTT monitoring is less reliable in pregnancy.<sup>6</sup> As previously discussed, the use of fondaparinux is inadvisable in pregnancy (see section 3.5). In this guideline, Linkins et al<sup>14</sup> and Kearon et al<sup>178</sup> present evidence regarding platelet count monitoring for the detection of HIT and the role of compression stockings in the acute management of DVT.

It remains unclear whether the dose of LMWH (or UFH) can be reduced after an initial phase of therapeutic anticoagulation. Some suggest that full-dose anticoagulation should be maintained throughout pregnancy and the puerperium because of the ongoing risk of recurrent VTE. However, regimens in which the intensity of LMWH is reduced later during the course of therapy to an intermediate-dose regimen<sup>26</sup> or 75% of a full-treatment dose<sup>177</sup> have been used successfully in the nonpregnant population, including in cancer patients who have a much higher risk of recurrence. A similar approach when using LMWH in pregnancy may reduce the small risks of anticoagulant-related bleeding and heparin-induced osteoporosis. Although there have been no studies directly comparing full-dose LMWH with one of these modified dosing strategies in pregnant women, a modified dosing regimen may be useful in pregnant women at increased risk of either of these two complications.

No studies have assessed optimal duration of anticoagulant therapy for treatment of pregnancy-related VTE. In nonpregnant patients with VTE, evidence supports a minimum duration of 3 months treatment (see Kearon et al<sup>178</sup> in this guideline). We consider the additional fivefold to 10-fold increase in risk for VTE in pregnant women, coupled with the high rate of proximal thrombi (compared with the nonpreg-

nant population), sufficient to recommend treatment throughout pregnancy and the postpartum period for a minimum total duration of 3 months.

The delivery options in women using anticoagulants are best considered by a multidisciplinary team. Several options are possible, including spontaneous labor and delivery, induction of labor, and elective cesarean section. The plan for delivery should take into account obstetric, hematologic, and anesthetic issues. In order to avoid an unwanted anticoagulant effect during delivery (especially with neuraxial anesthesia) in women receiving adjusted-dose subcutaneous UFH<sup>11</sup> or LMWH who have a planned delivery; twice-daily subcutaneous UFH or LMWH should be discontinued 24 h before induction of labor or cesarean section, whereas patients taking once-daily LMWH should take only 50% of their dose on the morning of the day prior to delivery (see Kunz et al<sup>189</sup> in this guideline). If spontaneous labor occurs in women receiving anticoagulation, neuraxial anesthesia should not be used. Where the level of anticoagulation is uncertain and where laboratory support allows for rapid assessment of heparin levels, then testing can be considered to guide anesthetic and surgical management. In women receiving subcutaneous UFH, careful monitoring of the aPTT is required and, if it is markedly prolonged, protamine sulfate<sup>190</sup> may be required to reduce the risk of bleeding. If bleeding occurs that is considered secondary to LMWH rather than to an obstetric cause, protamine sulfate may provide partial neutralization.<sup>191</sup>

Women with a very high risk for recurrent VTE (eg, proximal DVT or PE close to the expected date of delivery) may benefit by having a planned delivery by induction or cesarean section, as appropriate, so that the duration of time without anticoagulation can be minimized. Those at the highest risk of recurrence (eg, proximal DVT or PE within 2 weeks) can be switched to therapeutic IV UFH, which is then discontinued 4 to 6 h prior to the expected time of delivery or epidural insertion. Alternatively, a temporary inferior vena caval filter can be inserted and removed postpartum. However, the latter may be best restricted to women with proven DVT who have recurrent PE despite adequate anticoagulation because experience with these devices during pregnancy is limited,<sup>192-194</sup> and the risk of filter migration and inferior vena cava perforation may be increased during pregnancy.<sup>193,194</sup>

## Recommendations

**7.1.1. For pregnant women with acute VTE, we recommend therapy with adjusted-dose subcutaneous LMWH over adjusted-dose UFH (Grade 1B).**



**7.1.2. For pregnant women with acute VTE, we recommend LMWH over vitamin K antagonist treatment antenatally (Grade 1A).**

**7.1.3. For pregnant women with acute VTE, we suggest that anticoagulants should be continued for at least 6 weeks postpartum (for a minimum total duration of therapy of 3 months) in comparison with shorter durations of treatment (Grade 2C).**

**7.1.4. For pregnant women receiving adjusted-dose LMWH or UFH therapy and where delivery is planned, we recommend discontinuation of the heparin at least 24 h prior to induction of labor or cesarean section (or expected time of neuraxial anesthesia) rather than continuing LMWH up until the time of delivery (Grade 1B).**

## 8.0 PREVENTION OF VTE IN PREGNANT WOMEN WITH PRIOR DVT OR PE

Compared with individuals without a history of VTE, patients with previous events are at increased risk of future episodes of DVT and PE.<sup>195</sup> Women with a history of VTE have a threefold to fourfold higher risk of VTE during subsequent pregnancies than outside pregnancy.<sup>196</sup> Thromboprophylaxis during pregnancy involves long-term parenteral LMWH, which is expensive, inconvenient, and painful to administer. Although bleeding, osteoporosis, and HIT are very uncommon in patients receiving prophylactic LMWH,<sup>37-40,197</sup> injection site skin reactions may occur.<sup>45</sup> The threshold for recommending postpartum prophylaxis is lower than for antepartum prophylaxis because of the shorter length of required treatment (ie, 6 weeks) and the higher average daily risk of VTE in the postpartum period.<sup>137,173</sup> Given the distribution of DVT throughout all three trimesters,<sup>173</sup> antepartum prophylaxis, if used, should be instituted early in the first trimester.

### 8.1 Prior VTE and Pregnancy

Cohort studies evaluating the risk of recurrent VTE during pregnancy in women with a history of VTE in whom no prophylaxis is given have shown variable results (Table S16). The higher risk estimates from retrospective studies of nonconsecutive patients in which objective testing was not used routinely to confirm the diagnosis of recurrent VTE likely represent overdiagnosis.<sup>198,199</sup> Prospective studies provide lower estimates.<sup>200-203</sup>

The largest prospective study to date investigated 125 pregnant women with a single previous episode

of objectively diagnosed VTE in whom antepartum heparin was withheld and anticoagulants (usually warfarin with a target INR of 2.0-3.0 with an initial short course of UFH or LMWH) were given in the postpartum period for 4 to 6 weeks.<sup>203</sup> In this study, the incidence of antepartum recurrence was 2.4% (95% CI, 0.2%-6.9%), whereas that during the postpartum period was 2.5% (95% CI, 0.5%-7.0%). The advanced median gestational age at enrollment (~15 weeks) and the exclusion of women with known thrombophilia might have resulted in an underestimation of the risk of pregnancy-related recurrent VTE.

In subsequently published large retrospective cohort studies, the probability of antepartum VTE in women not given prophylaxis was ~6%, whereas for postpartum VTE, the observed incidence ranged from 6% to 8%.<sup>204,205</sup> Differences in study population (inclusion of women with more than one prior episode of VTE and inclusion of pregnancies not ending in live birth [ie, miscarriages]) and failure to independently adjudicate recurrent events might account for the higher risk of recurrence. However, as shown in Table S16, the overall risk of recurrent VTE antepartum in both prospective and retrospective studies was <10%, and CIs around the risk estimates of individual studies are overlapping.

Data regarding prognostic factors for recurrent VTE during pregnancy are inconsistent. A post hoc subgroup analysis of the prospective cohort study described previously identified women without thrombophilia who had a temporary risk factor (including oral contraceptive therapy or pregnancy) at the time of their prior VTE event as being at low risk of recurrence, with no recurrent events in 44 patients (0%; 95% CI, 0.0%-8.0%).<sup>203</sup> Antepartum recurrences occurred in three of 51 women with abnormal thrombophilia testing, a previous episode of thrombosis that was unprovoked, or both (5.9%; 95% CI, 1.2%-16.0%).

In the retrospective studies, the association between the presence or absence of temporary risk factors or of a definable thrombophilia and the risk of recurrent VTE associated with pregnancy was not consistent (Table S16). In these studies, it appears that women who had their first episode of VTE provoked by use of oral contraceptives or related to pregnancy or the postpartum period had a higher risk of recurrent VTE in a subsequent pregnancy than women whose first VTE was unprovoked or associated with a non-hormonal transient risk factor, although these differences did not reach statistical significance in the individual studies.<sup>204,205</sup> These findings are consistent with those from a large population-based cohort study that used administrative data<sup>206</sup> in which women who had their first VTE associated with pregnancy or the postpartum period had a higher risk of recurrence

during a subsequent pregnancy than women with an unprovoked first VTE (ie, 4.5% vs 2.7%; RR, 1.71; 95% CI, 1.0-2.8).

8.2 Prevention of Recurrent VTE in Pregnant Women

A systematic review of the effects of thromboprophylaxis in pregnant women<sup>157</sup> identified two randomized controlled trials that evaluated the safety and efficacy of prophylaxis (compared with placebo or no treatment) in pregnant women with prior VTE.<sup>158,202</sup> Both studies have major methodologic weaknesses, including very small sample sizes (n = 40 and n = 16).<sup>158,202</sup> A third, unblinded randomized trial compared LMWH prophylaxis with UFH prophylaxis in a selected group of pregnant women with prior VTE<sup>207</sup> (Table S17).

Several observational studies have evaluated the risk of recurrent VTE with various treatment regimens<sup>36-38,44,199,204,208-212</sup> (Table S18). Some of these studies stratified patients according to their perceived risk of recurrence. The estimates of the risk of recurrent VTE while using some form of pharmacologic prophylaxis range from 0% to 15%, with the higher results seen in an older study that may have over-

estimated the recurrence rate because objective diagnostic testing was not used.<sup>199</sup>

Given the low quality of the direct evidence, we use indirect evidence about the relative effects of thromboprophylaxis from other patient populations to inform our recommendations for antenatal prevention of VTE. Table 6 and Table S19 summarizes the quality of the evidence and main findings from a systematic review of thromboprophylaxis in orthopedic patients at high risk for VTE.<sup>136</sup> Our choice of indirect evidence is based on similarities in risk of VTE, the type and duration of intervention (extended prophylactic-dose LMWH), and outcomes (symptomatic VTE and major bleeding events). Our baseline risk estimates are based on observational studies of pregnant women with previous VTE (Table S16). We have categorized patients into groups at low risk (major transient risk factor for VTE), intermediate (hormone- or pregnancy-related or unprovoked VTE), or high risk (multiple prior unprovoked VTE or persistent risk factors, such as paralysis) during pregnancy. Clinicians can use these risk groups to determine the anticipated absolute effects of treatment with LMWH in their patients. Given the evidence of similar absolute risks for VTE antepartum and postpartum outlined

**Table 6—[Section 8.2.2, 8.2.3] Summary of Findings: Antepartum and Postpartum Prevention of VTE With Prophylactic-Dose LMWH vs No Prophylaxis in Pregnant Women With Prior VTE**

Outcomes	Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	Anticipated Absolute Effects During Pregnancy	
				Risk Without Prophylaxis	Risk Difference With LMWH (95% CI)
Symptomatic VTE, DVT, and pulmonary embolism	1,953 (6 RCTs), 27-35 d postoperative	Low due to indirectness <sup>c</sup> and imprecision <sup>a</sup>	RR 0.36 (0.20-0.67)	Low risk (transient risk factor)	
				20 VTE per 1,000 <sup>a</sup>	13 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 16 fewer to 7 fewer)
				Intermediate and high risk (pregnancy- or estrogen-related, idiopathic or multiple prior VTE but discontinued VKAs)	
Major bleeding <sup>b</sup>	1,953 (6 RCTs), 27-35 d postoperative	Low due to indirectness <sup>c</sup> and imprecision <sup>d</sup>	RR 0.43 (0.11-1.65)	80 VTE per 1,000 <sup>a</sup>	51 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 65 fewer to 30 fewer)
				Antepartum period	
				5 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>e</sup>	No significant difference; 3 fewer bleeding events per 1,000 (from 3 fewer to 3 more)
				Postpartum period	
				20 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>e</sup>	No significant difference; 11 fewer bleeding events per 1,000 (from 18 fewer to 13 more)

See Table 2 and 5 legends for expansion of abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Control group risk estimates for VTE in the antepartum and postpartum period come from studies summarized in Table S16. Quality of evidence is rated down because of imprecision in these risk estimates. We consider the distribution of VTE antepartum and postpartum to be equal.

<sup>b</sup>Nonfatal maternal hemorrhage (according to section 1.0) defined as a symptomatic bleeding complication noted during pregnancy or within 6 wk postpartum that involved bleeding into a critical site, bleeding causing a fall in hemoglobin level of  $\geq 2$  g/dL, or bleeding leading to transfusion of  $\geq 2$  units of whole blood or red cells.

<sup>c</sup>Population is indirect (ie, did not include pregnant women). Different doses of LMWH were used. Treatment was initiated variably before or after surgery with a duration of  $\sim 7$  days (in hospital). Outcomes variably reported. Meta-analysis also provides other outcomes such as mortality, asymptomatic DVT, and specific bleed outcomes (wound hematoma, transfusion). Follow-up varied between trials from 3 wk to 9 mo.

<sup>d</sup>Wide CIs for absolute effect of LMWH in high-risk group included benefit and harm.

<sup>e</sup>Control group risk estimate for major bleeding events comes from a systematic review by Greer et al.<sup>38</sup>

previously herein, the absolute effects of LMWH shown in Table 6 and Table S19 are applicable both to the 9-month antepartum period and the 6-week postpartum period.

LMWH is the preferred agent for prophylaxis (see section 2.0). Dose regimens include subcutaneous enoxaparin 40 mg every 24 h,<sup>27,158</sup> dalteparin 5,000 units every 24 h,<sup>207</sup> and dose-adjusted LMWH to achieve a peak anti-Xa level of 0.2 to 0.6 units/mL<sup>213-215</sup> (Table S18). Although all of the studies evaluating these regimens reported low recurrence rates, most were cohort studies and, therefore, no comparative data from untreated controls are available. Further, because different doses of anticoagulant prophylaxis have not been compared directly, the optimal dose of LMWH is unknown. Although indirect evidence (Table 6, Table S19) suggests that prophylactic-dose LMWH is effective (ie, RR of 0.36) in high-risk settings, some investigators have reported recurrent pregnancy-associated VTE in pregnant women prescribed prophylactic LMWH<sup>36,37,204,208,216</sup> However, it is unclear whether these represent true failures or were due to compliance issues with long-term daily subcutaneous injections.

Women who have an indication for long-term vitamin K antagonists, mostly because of multiple episodes of VTE, are considered at very high risk of recurrent VTE during pregnancy and the postpartum period. Dose-adjusted LMWH is a rational option for anticoagulant therapy during pregnancy, with resumption of long-term vitamin K antagonists after delivery. Alternatively, a reduced therapeutic-dose regimen (~75% of the usual therapeutic dose) may represent a reasonable option given evidence of the superior effectiveness of LMWH compared with vitamin K antagonists observed in the treatment of VTE in cancer patients.<sup>177</sup>

Increased renal clearance of LMWH during pregnancy has led to suggestions that clinicians monitor the anticoagulant effect of prophylactic-dose LMWH using anti-Xa levels.<sup>209,214</sup> However, the appropriate target range for prophylaxis is uncertain, and there is no evidence to support any specific target range. Moreover, routine monitoring of anti-Xa levels is expensive, inconvenient, and possibly unreliable<sup>187,217</sup> (see Garcia et al<sup>188</sup> in this guideline).

An alternate strategy for DVT prevention is repeated screening during the antepartum period with noninvasive tests for DVT, such as compression ultrasonography. This strategy generally is not justified for two reasons. First, if we postulate rates of recurrent VTE of 5%, given an ultrasound sensitivity of 96% and specificity of 98%, we would anticipate that 28% of positive results would be false positives. Second, there is no evidence to guide the timing of screening, and it is possible that a clinically important recur-

rence could occur between ultrasounds. We recommend that women should be investigated aggressively if symptoms suspicious of DVT or PE occur. That said, the performance of a baseline compression ultrasound of a previously affected leg prior to or early on in pregnancy may be useful to help differentiate residual thrombosis from new disease in women presenting with symptoms during pregnancy (see Bates et al<sup>218</sup> in this guideline).

## Recommendations

**8.2.1. For all pregnant women with prior VTE, we suggest postpartum prophylaxis for 6 weeks with prophylactic- or intermediate-dose LMWH or vitamin K antagonists targeted at INR 2.0 to 3.0 rather than no prophylaxis (Grade 2B).**

**8.2.2. For pregnant women at low risk of recurrent VTE (single episode of VTE associated with a transient risk factor not related to pregnancy or use of estrogen), we suggest clinical vigilance antepartum rather than antepartum prophylaxis (Grade 2C).**

**8.2.3. For pregnant women at moderate to high risk of recurrent VTE (single unprovoked VTE, pregnancy- or estrogen-related VTE, or multiple prior unprovoked VTE not receiving long-term anticoagulation), we suggest antepartum prophylaxis with prophylactic- or intermediate-dose LMWH rather than clinical vigilance or routine care (Grade 2C).**

**8.2.4. For pregnant women receiving long-term vitamin K antagonists, we suggest adjusted-dose LMWH or 75% of a therapeutic dose of LMWH throughout pregnancy followed by resumption of long-term anticoagulants postpartum rather than prophylactic-dose LMWH (Grade 2C).**

## 9.0 PREVENTION OF VTE IN PREGNANT WOMEN WITH THROMBOPHILIA AND NO PRIOR VTE

### 9.1 Risk of Pregnancy-Related VTE in Women With Thrombophilia

A number of studies have examined the association between hereditary thrombophilias and pregnancy-related VTE. Table 7 presents estimated and observed pooled risks for pregnant women with thrombophilia in the absence and presence of a positive family history.

In a systematic review of nine studies that assessed the risk of VTE in pregnant women with inherited thrombophilias but not necessarily a family history of

**Table 7—[Section 9.2.1-9.2.4] Risk of Pregnancy-Related VTE in Women With Thrombophilia Stratified by Family History for VTE**

Thrombophilic Defect, n/No. Women With Thrombophilia	Estimated Relative Risk, OR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	Observed or Estimated Absolute Risk of VTE Antepartum and Postpartum Combined, % Pregnancies (95% CI) <sup>b,c</sup>
Antithrombin/protein C/protein S deficiency combined		
Family studies, 7/169 <sup>219</sup>	...	4.1 (1.6-8.3)
Antithrombin deficiency		
Family studies, 1/33 <sup>219</sup>	...	3.0 (0.08-15.8)
Nonfamily studies, 8/11 <sup>151</sup>	4.7 (1.3-17.0)	0.7 (0.2-2.4)
Protein C deficiency		
Family studies, 1/60 <sup>219</sup>	...	1.7 (0.4-8.9)
Nonfamily studies, 23/32 <sup>151</sup>	4.8 (2.2-10.6)	0.7 (0.3-1.5)
Protein S deficiency		
Family studies, 5/76 <sup>219</sup>	...	6.6 (2.2-14.7)
Nonfamily studies, 16/28 <sup>151</sup>	3.2 (1.5-6.9)	0.5 (0.2-1.0)
Factor V Leiden, heterozygous		
Family studies, 26/828 <sup>220-222, 223</sup>	...	3.1 (2.1-4.6)
Nonfamily studies, 96/226 <sup>151</sup>	8.3 (5.4-12.7)	1.2 (0.8-1.8)
Factor V Leiden, homozygous		
Family studies, 8/57 <sup>224-226</sup>	...	14.0 (6.3-25.8)
Nonfamily studies, 29/91 <sup>153</sup>	34.4 (9.9-120.1)	4.8 (1.4-16.8)
Prothrombin G20210A mutation, heterozygous		
Family studies, 6/228 <sup>227,228</sup>	...	2.6 (0.9-5.6)
Nonfamily studies, 42/61 <sup>151</sup>	6.8 (2.5-18.8)	1.0 (0.3-2.6)
Prothrombin G20210A mutation, homozygous		
Family studies, n/a	...	...
Nonfamily studies, 2/2 <sup>151</sup>	26.4 (1.2-559.3)	3.7 (0.2-78.3)

<sup>a</sup>Data from Robertson et al<sup>151</sup>; number of VTE cases in women with the thrombophilia in question vs VTE cases in women without the specified thrombophilia.

<sup>b</sup>In the family studies, number of women with VTE out of number of women with thrombophilia. Observed absolute risks for family studies are risks observed in cohorts of families from a proband with symptomatic VTE and thrombophilia. Study numbers are pooled. Incidence is derived by adding number of events and dividing by number of pregnancies.

<sup>c</sup>Estimated absolute risks for nonfamily studies are derived by multiplying the pooled ORs with their corresponding 95% CIs from Robertson et al<sup>151</sup> with the overall baseline VTE incidence (ie, antepartum and until 6 wk postpartum combined) of 1.40 per 1,000 from a group of women aged 25 to 34 y (I. A. Greer, MD, personal communication, November 2010).

VTE, all with the exception of homozygosity for the thermolabile methylene tetrahydrofolate reductase variant (MTHFR C677T) were associated with a statistically significant increase in the risk of pregnancy-related VTE (Table 7).<sup>151</sup> The highest risks were associated with homozygosity for factor V Leiden (OR, 34.4; 95% CI, 9.9-120.1) or the prothrombin G20210A variant (OR, 26.4; 95% CI, 1.2-559.3). The most common inherited thrombophilias (ie, heterozygosity for factor V Leiden [OR, 8.3; 95% CI, 5.4-12.7], prothrombin G20210A variant [OR, 6.8; 95% CI, 2.5-18.8]) were associated with lower risks. Deficiencies of the endogenous anticoagulants were associated with similar risk increases (ORs for antithrombin, protein S, and protein C deficiency, 4.7 [95% CI, 1.30-17.0], 4.8 [95% CI, 2.2-10.7], and 3.2 [95% CI, 1.5-6.0], respectively).

In a subsequently published meta-analysis undertaken to provide an estimate of the association of the factor V Leiden mutation with pregnancy-related VTE that used slightly different study entry criteria, the risk estimate obtained from case-control studies

was similar to that in the first systematic review (OR, 8.6; 95% CI, 4.8-12.6).<sup>229</sup> However, cohort studies, which are likely to be more reliable, showed a lower pooled OR of 4.5 (95% CI, 1.8-10.9).<sup>229</sup> Given a background incidence of VTE during pregnancy of ~1/1,000 deliveries, the absolute risk of VTE in women without a prior event or family history remains low (in the range of 5-12/1,000 deliveries) for most of the inherited thrombophilias, except perhaps for homozygous carriers of the factor V Leiden or the prothrombin mutations where the OR from case-control studies suggest baseline risks of pregnancy-related VTE of ~4%.

Regardless of the presence of thrombophilia, a positive family history of VTE increases the risk for VTE twofold to fourfold.<sup>230</sup> Several family-based cohort studies found that women with inherited thrombophilia and a positive family history who have not had a previous episode of VTE have a risk of developing a first VTE during pregnancy or the postpartum period of between 1.7% for protein C deficiency<sup>219</sup> and 14.0% for homozygous carriers of the factor V



Leiden mutation<sup>224-226</sup> (Table 7).<sup>219-228,231,232</sup> These estimates are, however, imprecise, particularly for the less common thrombophilias (see wide CIs in Table 7).

Although the deficiencies of the natural anticoagulants (and in particular, antithrombin deficiency) are usually labeled as high-risk thrombophilias, this perception is based on older studies with important methodological limitations. For instance, Conard et al<sup>233</sup> reported a very high risk of pregnancy-related VTE in women with antithrombin and protein C or protein S deficiency, but many patients included in this report had a history of recurrent VTE, and all episodes of VTE were not objectively confirmed. More rigorous recent studies included in Table 7 do not support the high risk of recurrence from previous studies. Two small studies that investigated the risk in women with both the factor V Leiden and prothrombin mutations found similar risk estimates to those seen in single heterozygous carriers.<sup>226,234</sup> Based on these estimates, we suggest that serious consideration of prophylaxis is warranted only in (1) homozygous carriers of the factor V Leiden or prothrombin gene mutations (regardless of family history) and (2) women with the other inherited thrombophilias with a family history of VTE.

Acquired thrombophilias have been less well studied, but repeated positivity at least 12 weeks apart for APLAs (lupus anticoagulants [nonspecific inhibitors], moderate- or high-titer IgG or IgM anticardiolipin antibodies [ $>40$  GPL or MPL or  $>99$ th percentile], or moderate- or high-titer IgG or IgM anti- $\beta_2$ -glycoprotein I antibodies [ $>99$ th percentile]) is associated with an increased risk of VTE.<sup>235,236</sup> The VTE risk in women with APLAs and no previous venous thrombosis is uncertain.<sup>237,238</sup>

Hyperhomocysteinemia is associated with an increased risk of VTE in nonpregnant women.<sup>239</sup> However, it does not appear that homozygosity for MTHFR C667T (the genetic abnormality most commonly associated with hyperhomocysteinemia) alone leads to an increased risk of VTE in pregnant women.<sup>151</sup> As clinical events in homozygotes are likely to reflect the interaction of the genotype with a relative deficiency of vitamins, such as B12 and folic acid, the absence of an association of this genotype with gestational VTE may reflect pregnancy-related physiological reduction in homocysteine levels and the effects of folic acid supplements that are now taken widely by women in pregnancy for prevention of neural tube defects.<sup>240</sup>

## 9.2 Prevention of Pregnancy-Related VTE in Women With Thrombophilia

Because of a paucity of high-quality evidence measuring the effectiveness and safety of antithrombotic

agents in preventing VTE in this population, we used indirect evidence to inform our treatment recommendations. Given the low risk for VTE in women with thrombophilia but no family history, we restricted our analysis to women with thrombophilia and a family history of VTE (Table 8, Table S20). We estimated the baseline VTE incidence (ie, antepartum and until 6 weeks postpartum combined) as 1.40 of 1,000 (I. A. Greer, MD, personal communication, November 8, 2010). Evidence about relative effects of treatment is taken from a meta-analysis of thromboprophylaxis in patients undergoing hip arthroplasty.<sup>136</sup> We have rated the quality of evidence as low because of indirectness of the population and intervention as well as the considerable imprecision in baseline risk estimates for VTE in women with thrombophilias.

Estimates of absolute effects are relatively large in women with a positive family history of VTE who are homozygous for the factor V Leiden mutation—47 fewer VTE/1,000 antepartum and 47 fewer VTE of 1,000 postpartum when prophylaxis is used, with no increased risk of major bleeding (Table 8, Table S20). In women with a positive family history for VTE and antithrombin, protein C, or protein S deficiency, these figures are approximately 13 of 1,000 antepartum and 13 of 1,000 postpartum. For the other thrombophilias, the estimated number of VTE prevented is 10 of 1,000 both antepartum and postpartum. The evidence is, however, low quality and includes imprecise estimates.

The increased risk in women with thrombophilia and a family history of VTE begins early in pregnancy<sup>173</sup>; therefore, when antepartum prophylaxis is used, it should be commenced as early as possible in the first trimester. The burden of self-injecting with LMWH over several months and the risk of skin reactions weigh into our weak recommendation for antepartum thromboprophylaxis. For postpartum prophylaxis, we consider vitamin K antagonist therapy targeted to an INR of 2.0 to 3.0 an appropriate alternative to LMWH, except in patients with protein C or S deficiency who are at risk for developing warfarin-induced skin necrosis.<sup>241-243</sup>

## Recommendations

**9.2.1. For pregnant women with no prior history of VTE who are known to be homozygous for factor V Leiden or the prothrombin 20210A mutation and have a positive family history for VTE, we suggest antepartum prophylaxis with prophylactic- or intermediate-dose LMWH and postpartum prophylaxis for 6 weeks with prophylactic- or intermediate-dose LMWH or vitamin K antagonists targeted at INR 2.0 to 3.0 rather than no prophylaxis (Grade 2B).**



**Table 8—[Section 9.2.1-9.2.4] Summary of Findings: Antepartum and Postpartum Prophylactic-Dose LMWH vs No Thromboprophylaxis for Pregnant Women With a Known Thrombophilia**

Outcomes	Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	Anticipated Absolute Effects Antepartum and Postpartum (Different Risk Estimates for Bleeding Events)	
				Risk Without Prophylaxis	Risk Difference With LMWH (95% CI)
Symptomatic VTE, DVT, and pulmonary embolism	1,953 (6 RCTs), 27-35 d postoperative	Low due to indirectness <sup>b</sup> and imprecision <sup>c</sup>	RR 0.36 (0.20-0.67)	Positive family history VTE and heterozygous factor V Leiden or prothrombin 20210A	
				15 VTE per 1,000 <sup>c</sup>	10 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 12 fewer to 5 fewer)
				Positive family history VTE and antithrombin, protein C, or protein S deficiency	
				20 VTE per 1,000 <sup>c</sup>	13 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 16 fewer to 6 fewer)
				Positive family history VTE and homozygous factor V Leiden or prothrombin 20210A	
Major bleeding	5,456 (7 RCTs), 3 wks-9 mo	Moderate due to indirectness <sup>b</sup>	RR 0.43 (0.11-1.65)	70 VTE per 1,000 <sup>c</sup>	47 fewer per 1,000 (from 56 fewer to 31 fewer)
				No family history of VTE but homozygous factor V Leiden or prothrombin 20210A	
				20 VTE per 1,000 <sup>c</sup>	13 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 16 fewer to 6 fewer)
				Antepartum period	
				5 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>d</sup>	No significant difference; 3 fewer bleeding events per 1,000 (from 3 fewer to 3 more)
				Postpartum period	
				20 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>d</sup>	No significant difference; 11 fewer bleeding events per 1,000 (from 18 fewer to 13 more)

See Table 2 legend for expansion of abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Imprecision in control group risk estimates for all thrombophilias (see Table S20) results in imprecise anticipated absolute effects.

<sup>b</sup>The population did not include pregnant women. Different doses of LMWH were used; treatment was initiated variably before or after surgery with a duration of ~7 days in hospital and 25 d out of hospital. Outcomes were variably reported.

<sup>c</sup>Control group risk estimate for VTE comes from observational studies summarized in Table S20. Our antepartum risk estimate is based on assumed equal distribution of antepartum and postpartum VTE events based on data from observational studies (I. A. Greer, MD, personal communication, November 8, 2010).

<sup>d</sup>Control group risk estimate for major bleeding events antepartum comes from systematic review by Greer.<sup>38</sup>

**9.2.2. For pregnant women with all other thrombophilias and no prior VTE who have a positive family history for VTE, we suggest antepartum clinical vigilance and postpartum prophylaxis with prophylactic- or intermediate-dose LMWH or, in women who are not protein C or S deficient, vitamin K antagonists targeted at INR 2.0 to 3.0 rather than routine care (Grade 2C).**

**9.2.3. For pregnant women with no prior history of VTE who are known to be homozygous for factor V Leiden or the prothrombin 20210A mutation and who do not have a positive family history for VTE, we suggest antepartum clinical vigilance and postpartum prophylaxis for 6 weeks with prophylactic- or intermediate-dose LMWH or vitamin K antagonists targeted at INR 2.0 to 3.0 rather than routine care (Grade 2B).**

**9.2.4. For pregnant women with all other thrombophilias and no prior VTE who do not have a positive family history for VTE, we suggest antepartum and postpartum clinical vigilance rather than pharmacologic prophylaxis (Grade 2C).**

## 10.0 THROMBOPHILIA AND PREGNANCY COMPLICATIONS

Various pregnancy complications have been linked to thrombophilic states. Unfortunately, adverse pregnancy outcomes are not infrequent in the general population. Fifteen percent of clinically recognized pregnancies end in miscarriage, but total reproductive loss may be as high as 50%.<sup>244</sup> Five percent of women experience two or more losses, and 1% to 2% have three or more consecutive losses. Other placental-mediated pregnancy complications include preeclampsia, fetal growth restriction, and placental abruption.

Successful pregnancy outcome depends on trophoblast invasion into the uterine vasculature and on the development and maintenance of an adequate uteroplacental circulatory system. Inadequate placentation and damage to the spiral arteries with impaired flow, an increased maternal inflammatory response, and prothrombotic changes may lead to placental-mediated pregnancy complications.<sup>245</sup> Animal studies suggest that the hemostatic system plays an important role in placental and fetal development, although hypercoagulability is unlikely to be the sole mechanism by which thrombophilia increases the risk of pregnancy failure. It is more likely that effects on trophoblast differentiation and early placentation may be involved through as yet unknown mechanisms. Interestingly, both aspirin and heparin appear to influence these early trophoblast and placentation mechanisms *in vitro* as well as in a hypercoagulability mouse model.<sup>246-248</sup>

### *10.1 Risk of Pregnancy Complications in Women With Thrombophilia*

Pregnancy complications occur with increased frequency in women with APLAs. APLA syndrome can be diagnosed in women who test positive for lupus anticoagulant (nonspecific inhibitor) or moderate- to high-titer antibodies to IgG or IgM anticardiolipin (>40 GPL or MPL or >99th percentile) or IgG or IgM  $\beta_2$ -glycoprotein I (>99th percentile) on two occasions at least 12 weeks apart and who experience at least one unexplained fetal death (later than 10 weeks of gestation); three or more unexplained consecutive miscarriages (before 10 weeks of gestation); or one or more premature births of a morphologically normal neonate before the 34th week of gestation because of eclampsia, severe preeclampsia, or placental insufficiency.<sup>235</sup>

There is convincing evidence that APLAs are associated with an increased risk of recurrent and late pregnancy loss.<sup>151,249-253</sup> Lupus anticoagulants (nonspecific inhibitors) are more strongly related to pregnancy loss than are the other antibodies against phospholipids; although associations have also been seen with moderate- to high-titer IgG and IgM antibodies (>5 SDs above normal, >99th percentile, or >20 GPL/MPL units).<sup>253</sup> The importance of anti- $\beta_2$ -glycoprotein I antibodies is not clearly established.<sup>253</sup> Furthermore, there is less agreement on the association between the presence of APLAs and the occurrence of other pregnancy complications, including preeclampsia, placental abruption, and intrauterine growth restriction.<sup>151,243,254-268</sup>

The association between inherited thrombophilic disorders and miscarriage, first observed in women from families with venous thrombosis, has been confirmed in many studies.<sup>151,228,269-277</sup> A single late fetal

loss and severe preeclampsia are also associated with inherited thrombophilia,<sup>151,274,275</sup> whereas the presence of an association is controversial in women with fetal growth restriction or placental abruption.<sup>151,277</sup>

Table 9 summarizes the findings of a meta-analysis of 25 studies (predominantly case control)<sup>151</sup> examining the association between thrombophilia and various pregnancy complications. The wide CIs around the point estimates of some associations illustrate the uncertainty of the findings, particularly for the less-prevalent thrombophilias. In a meta-analysis limited to prospective cohort studies,<sup>277</sup> the pooled OR for pregnancy loss in women with factor V Leiden (absolute risk, 4.2%) compared with women without this mutation (absolute risk, 3.2%) was 1.52 (95% CI, 1.06-2.19). The meta-analysis was unable to establish or refute an association between the presence of factor V Leiden and preeclampsia (OR, 1.23; 95% CI, 0.89-1.70) or fetal growth restriction (OR, 1.0; 95% CI, 0.80-1.25). Results also failed to demonstrate or exclude an association between the prothrombin mutation and either preeclampsia (OR, 1.25; 95% CI, 0.79-1.99), fetal growth restriction (OR, 1.25; 95% CI, 0.92-1.70), or pregnancy loss (OR, 1.13; 95% CI, 0.64-2.01). Given these results, it remains unclear whether screening for inherited thrombophilias is in the best interests of women with pregnancy complications.

### *10.2 Prevention of Pregnancy Complications in Women With Thrombophilia*

Clinicians are increasingly using antithrombotic therapy in women at risk for these complications (Tables S21, S22).<sup>278-299</sup> With respect to acquired thrombophilias, of the interventions examined in a systematic review<sup>252</sup> (up to date in February 2005) that summarized the data from 13 randomized or quasi-randomized trials, including a total of 849 pregnant women with APLA and a history of at least two unexplained pregnancy losses, only UFH combined with aspirin (two trials,  $n = 150$ ) reduced the incidence of pregnancy loss.<sup>278,279</sup> Consistent findings of a third study ( $n = 72$ ),<sup>290</sup> when included, yielded an relative risk of 0.44 (95% CI, 0.30-0.66) for UFH combined with aspirin compared with aspirin alone (Table 10, Table S23). The use of higher-dose UFH and aspirin did not decrease the risk of pregnancy loss compared with low-dose UFH and aspirin (one trial,  $n = 50$ ; RR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.29-2.38).<sup>252,280</sup> On its own, aspirin (three trials,  $n = 71$ ) failed to demonstrate or exclude an effect on pregnancy loss compared with usual care<sup>251</sup> or placebo<sup>282,283</sup> (RR, 1.05; 95% CI, 0.66-1.68).<sup>252</sup> In one trial, the combination of LMWH with aspirin had also failed to demonstrate or exclude an effect on pregnancy loss when compared with

Table 9—[10.2.1,10.2.2] Association Between Pregnancy Complications and Thrombophilia

Type of Thrombophilia	Early Loss	Recurrent First Trimester Loss	Nonrecurrent Second Trimester Loss	Late Loss	Preeclampsia	Placental Abruption	Fetal Growth Restriction
Factor V Leiden (homozygous)	2.71 (1.32-5.58)	a	a	1.98 (0.40-9.69)	1.87 (0.44-7.88)	8.43 (0.41-171.20)	4.64 (0.19-115.68)
Factor V Leiden (heterozygous)	1.68 (1.09-2.58)	1.91 (1.01-3.61) <sup>a</sup>	4.12 (1.93-8.81) <sup>a</sup>	2.06 (1.10-3.86)	2.19 (1.46-3.27)	4.70 (1.13-19.59)	2.68 (0.59-12.13)
Prothrombin gene mutation (heterozygous)	2.49 (1.24-5.00)	2.70 (1.37-5.34)	8.60 (2.18-33.95)	2.66 (1.28-5.53)	2.54 (1.52-4.23)	7.71 (3.01-19.76)	2.92 (0.62-13.70)
MTHFR C677T (homozygous)	1.40 (0.77-2.55)	0.86 (0.44-1.69)	NA	1.31 (0.89-1.91)	1.37 (1.07-1.76)	1.47 (0.40-5.35)	1.24 (0.84-1.82)
Antithrombin deficiency	0.88 (0.17-4.48)	NA	NA	7.63 (0.30-196.36)	3.89 (0.16-97.19)	1.08 (0.06-18.12)	NA
Protein C deficiency	2.29 (0.20-26.43)	NA	NA	3.05 (0.24-38.51)	5.15 (0.26-102.22)	5.93 (0.23-151.58)	NA
Protein S deficiency	3.55 (0.35-35.72)	NA	NA	20.09 (3.70-109.15)	2.83 (0.76-10.57)	2.11 (0.47-9.34)	NA
Anticardiolipin antibodies	3.40 (1.33-8.68)	5.05 (1.82-14.01)	NA	3.30 (1.62-6.70)	2.73 (1.65-4.51)	1.42 (0.42-4.77)	6.91 (2.70-17.68)
Lupus anticoagulants (nonspecific inhibitor)	2.97 (1.03-9.76)	NA	14.28 (4.72-43.20)	2.38 (0.81-6.98)	1.45 (0.70-4.61)	NA	NA
Hyperhomocysteinemia	6.25 (1.37-28.42)	4.21 (1.28-13.87)	NA	0.98 (0.17-5.55)	3.49 (1.21-10.11)	2.40 (0.36-15.89)	NA

Data are presented as OR (95% CI) and derived from Robertson et al.<sup>151</sup> MTHFR = methylene tetrahydrofolate reductase variant; NA = not available.

<sup>a</sup>Homozygous and heterozygous carriers were grouped together; it is not possible to extract data for each state.

aspirin alone (RR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.39-1.57).<sup>252,284</sup> Similar results were obtained when LMWH and aspirin was compared with IV  $\gamma$ -globulin (RR, 0.37; 95% CI, 0.12-1.16).<sup>252,281</sup>

A subsequent meta-analysis that combined data from randomized trials testing the efficacy of a combination of heparin (either UFH or LMWH) and aspirin vs aspirin alone in patients with APLAs and recurrent pregnancy loss<sup>292</sup> included an additional LMWH study published since the first systematic review.<sup>293</sup> When data from five trials (n = 334) were combined, the frequency of live births was significantly higher in the aspirin and heparin group (74.3%) than in those randomized to aspirin alone (55.8%) (RR, 1.3; 95% CI, 1.0-1.7; NNT to achieve one live birth, 5.6).<sup>292</sup> When studies that used LMWH and UFH were analyzed separately, there was just a trend of higher birth rate in patients receiving aspirin and LMWH (RR, 1.1; 95% CI, 0.9-1.3). Although the relative effectiveness of UFH vs LMWH with respect to prevention of recurrent pregnancy loss in women with APLAs is not established, the results of two small pilot studies (n = 26 and n = 50) suggest that the combination of LMWH and aspirin might at least be equivalent to UFH and aspirin in preventing recurrent pregnancy loss (RR, 0.44 [95% CI, 0.17-1.00]<sup>285</sup> and 0.8 [95% CI, 0.26-2.48]<sup>286</sup> in women receiving LMWH vs UFH, respectively). Given the absence of evidence that women with APLA syndrome and a single late pregnancy loss, preeclampsia, or fetal growth restriction benefit from the addition of UFH or LMWH to aspirin, we do not recommend for or against screening for APLAs in women with these pregnancy complications.

The data addressing the use of antithrombotic therapy in women with inherited thrombophilia and pregnancy loss consists of predominantly small uncontrolled trials or observational studies with important methodological limitations.<sup>288,289,294-302</sup> Gris et al<sup>297</sup> reported that enoxaparin in women with factor V Leiden, the prothrombin gene mutation, or protein S deficiency and one previous pregnancy loss increased the live birth rate (86%) compared with low-dose aspirin alone (29%); however, the methodology and results of this randomized trial have generated much controversy,<sup>300,303-305</sup> and we have not included it in the evidence we used to make recommendations. A subsequent cohort study found the live birth rate of subsequent pregnancies after a single pregnancy loss at or later than 12 weeks gestation in carriers of factor V Leiden or the prothrombin mutation was, without intervention, 68% (95% CI, 46%-85%).<sup>306</sup>

Tables S21 and S22 summarize the methodology and results of randomized trials and nonrandomized observational studies (excluding those that used a historical control group). These data do not provide

**Table 10—[Section 10.2.1,10.2.3] Summary of Findings: Should UFH Plus Aspirin or Aspirin Alone Be Used for Pregnant Women With APLA and Recurrent Pregnancy Loss**

Outcomes	Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	Anticipated Absolute Effects During Pregnancy	
				Risk With Aspirin	Risk Difference With UFH + Aspirin (95% CI)
Pregnancy loss	212 (3 RCTs), not reported	Moderate due to risk of bias <sup>b</sup>	RR 0.44 (0.33- 0.66)	500 losses per 1,000 <sup>a</sup>	283 fewer losses per 1,000 (from 353 fewer to 172 fewer)
IUGR <sup>c</sup>	134 (3 RCTs), not reported	Low due to risk of bias <sup>b</sup> and imprecision <sup>d</sup>	RR 1.71 (0.48-6.17)	56 IUGR per 1,000 <sup>a</sup>	No significant difference; 39 more IUGR per 1,000 (from 29 fewer to 287 more)
Preeclampsia not clearly defined	134 (3 RCTs), not reported	Low due to risk of bias <sup>b</sup> and imprecision <sup>d</sup>	RR 0.43 (0.09-2.08)	74 cases per 1,000 <sup>a</sup>	No significant difference; 30 fewer cases per 1,000 (from 67 fewer to 80 more)

Data from unpublished meta-analysis based on three trials.<sup>278,279,290</sup> Major bleeding is a critical outcome that was not reported in the three trials. APLA = antiphospholipid antibody; IUGR = intrauterine growth restriction; UFH = unfractionated heparin. See Table 2 legend for expansion of other abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Control group risk estimates with aspirin come from the meta-analysis of three trials.

<sup>b</sup>Risk of bias due to issues of randomization, allocation concealment, and blinding.

<sup>c</sup>Estimated fetal weight below the 10th percentile for gestational age.

<sup>d</sup>Wide CIs include benefit and harm.

evidence that LMWH improves pregnancy outcome in women with inherited thrombophilia and recurrent pregnancy loss.

## Recommendations

**10.2.1. For women with recurrent early pregnancy loss (three or more miscarriages before 10 weeks of gestation), we recommend screening for APLAs (Grade 1B).**

**10.2.2. For women with a history of pregnancy complications, we suggest not to screen for inherited thrombophilia (Grade 2C).**

**10.2.3. For women who fulfill the laboratory criteria for APLA syndrome and meet the clinical APLA criteria based on a history of three or more pregnancy losses, we recommend antepartum administration of prophylactic- or intermediate-dose UFH or prophylactic LMWH combined with low-dose aspirin, 75 to 100 mg/d, over no treatment (Grade 1B).**

**10.2.4. For women with inherited thrombophilia and a history of pregnancy complications, we suggest not to use antithrombotic prophylaxis (Grade 2C).**

## 11.0 MANAGEMENT OF WOMEN WITH A HISTORY OF PREECLAMPSIA OR RECURRENT FETAL LOSS AND NO THROMBOPHILIA

Preeclampsia is associated with microvascular fibrin deposition indicative of activation of platelets and

coagulation<sup>307</sup> as well as widespread endothelial dysfunction.<sup>308-310</sup> The manifestations of this disease are protean,<sup>310</sup> and preeclampsia should not be considered as a single disease entity but rather as a maternal response to abnormal placentation.<sup>311,312</sup> Women with a thrombophilic disorder, whether it be acquired or heritable, may be more likely to develop preeclampsia, but for heritable thrombophilias, this association is largely based on retrospective case-control (Table 9) and cohort studies<sup>151</sup>; prospective investigations have not confirmed these findings.<sup>275,313</sup>

### 11.1 Prevention of Recurrent Preeclampsia in Women With No Thrombophilia

The observations of endothelial dysfunction and platelet dysfunction in preeclampsia led to the hypothesis that antiplatelet agents might prevent or delay the development of this condition. Systematic review results suggest that the use of antiplatelet agents (primarily low-dose aspirin) is associated with modest reductions in the relative risk of preeclampsia. Table 11<sup>314,315</sup> and Table S24 summarize the evidence and main findings from the most recent Cochrane review of 43 randomized trials with 32,590 women,<sup>314</sup> providing moderate-quality evidence of a significant reduction (RR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.77-0.89) in the risk of preeclampsia associated with the use of antiplatelet agents. The relative effect of antiplatelet therapy appears to be similar in women at low and high risk for preeclampsia (ie, no evidence of subgroup effect). However, as shown in Table 11 and Table S24, the baseline risk of preeclampsia determines the absolute effect of antiplatelet therapy, and women at low risk have a substantially lower benefit (NNT, 100) than



**Table 11—[11.1.1] Summary of Findings: Should Aspirin Rather Than No Treatment Be Used for Prevention of Preeclampsia in Women Without Thrombophilia**

Outcomes	Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	Anticipated Absolute Effects During Pregnancy	
				Risk Without Antiplatelet Therapy	Risk Difference With Antiplatelet Therapy (95% CI)
Preeclampsia defined as proteinuric preeclampsia in Cochrane Systematic Review	32,590 (43 RCTs), not reported	Moderate due to inconsistency <sup>b</sup>	RR 0.83 (0.77-0.89)	Low risk for preeclampsia <sup>c</sup>	
				60 cases per 1,000 <sup>a</sup>	10 fewer cases per 1,000 (from 14 fewer to 7 fewer)
				High risk for preeclampsia <sup>c</sup>	
				210 cases per 1,000 <sup>a</sup>	36 fewer losses per 1,000 (from 46 fewer to 23 fewer)
Major bleeding events <sup>d</sup>	95,000 (6 RCTs), 3.8-10 y	Moderate due to indirectness <sup>e</sup>	RR 1.54 (1.30-1.82)	15 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>f</sup>	8 more bleeding events per 1,000 (from 5 more to 12 more)

Data from Duley et al<sup>314</sup> and ATT Collaboration.<sup>315</sup> See Table 2 legend for expansion of abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Control group risk estimates for preeclampsia is based on control event rates in studies included in subgroup analyses in the meta-analysis.

<sup>b</sup>Heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 46\%$ ,  $P < .001$ ) might be related to different types and doses of antiplatelet agents, the lack of placebo in the control group in many of the trials, different populations of pregnant women concerning risk of preeclampsia, and effect of treatment.

<sup>c</sup>High risk was defined in the systematic review: Women who were either normotensive or had chronic hypertension without superimposed preeclampsia at trial entry were classified as being at high risk if they had one or more of the following: previous severe preeclampsia, diabetes, chronic hypertension, renal disease, or autoimmune disease. Low risk constitutes women without these characteristics.

<sup>d</sup>Major antenatal nonfatal hemorrhage.

<sup>e</sup>Rated down for indirectness due to population (primary prevention cardiovascular disease).<sup>315</sup> The Cochrane Review does not report the effects of antiplatelet therapy on major bleeding events in pregnant women.

<sup>f</sup>Control group risk estimate for major bleeding events antepartum from systematic review by Greer et al.<sup>38</sup>

women at high risk (NNT, 28). Current data from the Cochrane review do not show a difference in effect when low-dose aspirin is started before or after 20 weeks gestation.<sup>314</sup>

What constitutes high risk for preeclampsia is not always immediately clear, as available studies have used different risk stratification schemes. In identifying levels of risk, studies quantifying the risk of preeclampsia<sup>312,316,317</sup> suggested a relative risk of more than sevenfold with APLAs and previous preeclampsia and an approximately twofold increase in relative risk associated with a BMI  $\geq 35$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, preexisting diabetes, twin pregnancy, and a family history of preeclampsia. According to the Cochrane systematic review, women who were either normotensive or had chronic hypertension without superimposed preeclampsia at trial entry were classified as being at high risk if they had one or more of the following: previous severe preeclampsia, diabetes, chronic hypertension, renal disease, or autoimmune disease.<sup>314</sup>

Some have suggested anticoagulant therapy with LMWH or UFH for women at very high risk for preeclampsia. An effect of anticoagulant therapy on the risk of preeclampsia is biologically plausible not only because of a reduction in thrombosis formation but also because LMWH has been shown to have an anti-apoptotic effect on trophoblasts,<sup>248,318</sup> a potential trigger for preeclampsia. However, an observational study of 58 women with previous preeclampsia and an underlying thrombophilia found no difference in the

risk of preeclampsia between those treated with LMWH and low-dose aspirin vs those treated with low-dose aspirin alone or no prophylactic therapy.<sup>319</sup> In a randomized trial of 80 nonthrombophilic women considered to be at increased risk for preeclampsia on the basis of both a history and an underlying angiotensin-converting enzyme insertion/deletion polymorphism that examined the effect of prophylactic LMWH (dalteparin 5,000 units/d) on the pregnancy outcome, maternal BP, and uteroplacental flow,<sup>320</sup> women receiving LMWH had a lower incidence of adverse outcomes, with a 74.1% reduction in preeclampsia (RR, 0.26; 95% CI, 0.08-0.86) and a 77.5% reduction in fetal growth restriction (RR, 0.14; 95% CI, 0.03-0.56). A subsequent pilot study of 116 pregnant women with no detectable thrombophilia and previous severe preeclampsia, small for gestational age baby, placental abruption, or intrauterine fetal demise randomized to prophylactic-dose dalteparin or no dalteparin reported that dalteparin was associated with a lower rate of a composite of one or more of severe preeclampsia, birth weight in the fifth percentile or less, or major abruption (adjusted OR, 0.15; 95% CI, 0.03-0.70).<sup>321</sup>

The results of these studies need to be interpreted with some caution. First, it is not clear whether the positive effects of LMWH on prevention of preeclampsia in women with underlying angiotensin-converting enzyme insertion/deletion polymorphisms are broadly generalizable. Second, the pilot study

was stopped before reaching its planned sample size of 276 when an interim analysis performed because of slow accrual suggested a statistically significant decrease in the primary outcome, potentially exaggerating the treatment effect.<sup>322,323</sup>

## Recommendation

**11.1.1. For women considered at risk for pre-eclampsia, we recommend low-dose aspirin throughout pregnancy, starting from the second trimester, over no treatment (Grade 1B).**

### 11.2 Women Without Known Thrombophilia and at Least Two Prior Pregnancy Losses

A Cochrane systematic review from 2009 that examined the use of aspirin and anticoagulation for recurrent pregnancy loss in women without APLA syndrome<sup>324</sup> identified two randomized trials: one comparing aspirin to placebo ( $n = 54$ )<sup>283</sup> and the other comparing enoxaparin to aspirin ( $n = 107$ ).<sup>325</sup> Neither of the studies found significant differences in live birth rates, which ranged from 81% to 84%. Another systematic review, published in 2010, of LMWH vs aspirin or LMWH vs no treatment/placebo identified five randomized trials ( $n = 757$ ).<sup>326</sup> The studies reviewed varied in terms of definition of early or late pregnancy loss, thrombophilic risk factors, and number of prior pregnancy losses. No meta-analysis was performed in the systematic review due to clinical heterogeneity of the studies. Risk ratios for pregnancy loss in the individual studies ranged from 0.95 to 3.0. The authors of this systematic review concluded that there was low-quality evidence, suggesting no effect of LMWH or aspirin. Two randomized trials have

subsequently been published that provide relevant evidence on the effects of LMWH plus aspirin vs aspirin or placebo/no treatment on recurrent idiopathic pregnancy loss.<sup>327,328</sup>

**11.2.1 LMWH and Aspirin vs No Treatment or Placebo:** Table 12 and Table S25 summarize the quality of evidence and main findings from our meta-analysis of the two randomized trials that included 538 women with at least two miscarriages.<sup>327,328</sup> The meta-analysis provides moderate-quality evidence that LMWH and aspirin do not reduce miscarriage or increase major bleeding events in women with at least two recurrent miscarriages.

Women with three or more pregnancy losses might benefit from anticoagulant therapy. Two randomized trials of women with three or more pregnancy losses reported a substantial benefit of LMWH therapy on miscarriages.<sup>329,330</sup> However, both of these studies had important methodologic limitations, including a lack of blinding<sup>329</sup> or uncertain blinding,<sup>330</sup> relatively high rates of loss to follow-up,<sup>329,330</sup> lack of prospective trial registration,<sup>329,330</sup> and an unexpectedly low live birth rate in the placebo arm.<sup>330</sup> These findings are challenged by findings from the more recent high-quality randomized trials described previously in the present article. In one of these studies, a prespecified subgroup analysis of women with three or more miscarriages showed no evidence of a different relative effect of LMWH and aspirin vs placebo (test for interaction  $P = .85$ ).<sup>327</sup> The other study provided data for the same subgroup of women and found no difference in effect (27% miscarriages in treatment group vs 24% in control group), although no formal subgroup analysis was performed.<sup>326</sup> We consider these findings more credible than those of the two lower-quality randomized

**Table 12—[Section 11.2.1] Summary of Findings: Should LMWH and Aspirin Rather Than No Treatment Be Used for Prevention of Recurrent Pregnancy Loss in Women Without Thrombophilia**

Outcomes	Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	Anticipated Absolute Effects During Pregnancy	
				Risk Without Treatment	Risk Difference With LMWH + Aspirin (95% CI)
Miscarriage	496 (2 RCTs), 9 mo	Moderate due to imprecision <sup>b</sup>	RR 1.01 (0.84-1.38)	300 cases of miscarriage per 1,000 <sup>c</sup>	No significant difference; 3 more cases per 1,000 (from 48 fewer to 114 more)
Major bleeding events <sup>d</sup>	294 (1 RCTs), 9 mo	Moderate due to imprecision <sup>b</sup>	RR 1.00 (0.42-2.33)	15 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>c</sup>	No significant difference; 0 more bleeding events per 1,000 (from 9 fewer to 20 more)

Data from unpublished meta-analysis<sup>1</sup> of two RCTs by Kaandorp et al<sup>327</sup> and Clark et al.<sup>328</sup> See Table 2 for expansion of abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Wide CIs include benefit and harm.

<sup>b</sup>Meta-analysis performed in RevMan version 5 with fixed-effects model for heterogeneity.

<sup>c</sup>Control group risk for miscarriage comes from study event rates in the two available randomized trials.<sup>327,328</sup>

<sup>d</sup>Antepartum maternal major hemorrhage. Bleeding outcomes variably reported in the two trials. We use data from Clark et al<sup>328</sup> on serious adverse events and antepartum hemorrhage both to generate relative risks and baseline risks for anticipated absolute effects. Kaandorp et al<sup>327</sup> reported nosebleed, GI problems, hematuria, and bleeding gums. There were no major bleeding events (S. Middeldorp, MD, personal communication, October 2010).

<sup>e</sup>Control group risk estimate for major bleeding events antepartum with aspirin comes from systematic review by Greer et al.<sup>38</sup>

trials; however, a possible deleterious effect of aspirin on pregnancy outcome when used in combination with LMWH cannot be excluded.

**11.2.2 Aspirin vs Placebo:** Table 13 and Table S26 summarize the main findings from a randomized comparison of 104 women allocated to aspirin and 103 women with two or more unexplained recurrent miscarriages allocated to placebo.<sup>327</sup> This trial provides moderate-quality evidence that aspirin does not improve live birth rates among women with two or more unexplained recurrent miscarriages. Similarly, the randomized trial of low-dose aspirin vs placebo<sup>283</sup> that included 54 women with three or more pregnancy losses did not find a significant difference in miscarriages (RR, 1.00; 95% CI, 0.78-1.29).

Recommendation

**11.2.1. For women with two or more miscarriages but without APLA or thrombophilia, we recommend against antithrombotic prophylaxis (Grade 1B).**

12.0 MATERNAL AND FETAL RISKS RELATED TO ANTICOAGULATION DURING PREGNANCY FOR MECHANICAL PROSTHETIC VALVES

Patients with a mechanical heart valve not receiving antithrombotic therapy face a high risk of valve thrombosis and death or systemic embolism (see Whitlock et al<sup>331</sup> in this guideline). However, as outlined in section 3.0, the use of vitamin K antagonists during pregnancy carries potential for risks to the fetus, especially if these drugs are administered during the first trimester or at term. Although LMWH or UFH can be substituted for vitamin K antagonists,

doubt has been raised about their effectiveness for prevention of systemic embolism in this setting. Unfortunately, properly designed trials have not been performed, and even the small amount of data available is limited by significant heterogeneity for valve type; valve position; valve area; and presence of comorbid conditions, such as atrial fibrillation.

12.1 Anticoagulant Management of Mechanical Prosthetic Valves in Pregnant Women

Tables S27 and S28 present the available data regarding maternal outcomes in this setting.<sup>49,50,332-340</sup> In a systematic review of observational studies between 1966 and 1997 that reported on outcomes with various anticoagulant regimens in pregnant women with mechanical prosthetic valves, the regimen associated with the lowest risk of valve thrombosis/systemic embolism was the use of vitamin K antagonists throughout pregnancy (3.9%).<sup>49</sup> The use of UFH in the first trimester and near term was associated with a higher risk of valve thrombosis (9.2%).<sup>49</sup> The risk of thromboembolic complications was highest when UFH was used throughout pregnancy (33.3%),<sup>49</sup> and events occurred in women receiving both IV and adjusted-dose subcutaneous UFH and in those treated with low-dose heparin. Although these data suggest that vitamin K antagonists are more effective than UFH for thromboembolic prophylaxis of pregnant women with mechanical heart valves, some of the thromboembolic events in women treated with UFH might be explained by inadequate dosing, use of an inappropriate target aPTT range, or differences in risk profile in the patient populations treated with UFH vs those treated with vitamin K antagonists.

LMWH has advantages over UFH in terms of the maternal side effect profile, and there is increasing use of LMWH in pregnant women with prosthetic heart

**Table 13—[Section 11.2.1] Summary of Findings: Should Aspirin Rather Than No Treatment Be Used for Prevention of Recurrent Pregnancy Loss in Women Without Thrombophilia**

Outcomes	Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	Anticipated Absolute Effects During Pregnancy	
				Risk Without Aspirin	Risk Difference With Aspirin (95% CI)
Miscarriage	202 (1 RCT), 9 mo	Moderate due to imprecision <sup>a</sup>	RR 1.16 (0.80-1.69)	300 cases of miscarriage per 1,000 <sup>b</sup>	No significant difference; 48 more cases per 1,000 (from 60 fewer to 207 more)
Major bleeding events <sup>c</sup>	95 000 (6), 3.8-10 y	Moderate due to indirectness <sup>d</sup>	RR 1.54 (1.30-1.82)	15 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>e</sup>	8 more bleeding events per 1,000 (from 5 more to 12 more)

Data from Kaandorp et al,<sup>327</sup> the only study identified that compared aspirin to placebo in this population, and ATT Collaboration,<sup>315</sup> for relative effect estimate of major bleeding events. See Table 2 legend for expansion of abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Wide CIs include benefit and harm of aspirin on miscarriage.

<sup>b</sup>Baseline risk for miscarriage comes from study event rates in the two available randomized trials.<sup>327,328</sup>

<sup>c</sup>Major antenatal nonfatal hemorrhage.

<sup>d</sup>Rated down for indirectness due to population (primary prevention cardiovascular disease).<sup>315</sup> There were no major bleeding events in the Anticoagulants for Living Fetuses (ALIFE) Study.<sup>327</sup> (S. Middeldorp, MD, personal communication, October 2010).

<sup>e</sup>Control group risk estimate for major bleeding events antepartum comes from systematic review by Greer et al.<sup>38</sup>

valves. The safety of LMWH for this indication was questioned in a warning from an LMWH manufacturer.<sup>341</sup> This warning was based on postmarketing reports of valve thrombosis in an undisclosed number of patients receiving this LMWH as well as on clinical outcomes in an open randomized study comparing LMWH (enoxaparin) with warfarin and UFH in pregnant women with prosthetic heart valves.<sup>341</sup> Because of two deaths in the LMWH arm, the study was terminated after 12 of the planned 110 patients were enrolled.

In a systematic review of observational studies published between 2000 and 2009, the use of LMWH (or UFH) during the first trimester and near term or throughout pregnancy was associated with a higher risk of valve thrombosis or maternal thromboembolism (7.2% and 13.4%, respectively) than the use of vitamin K antagonists alone (2.9%).<sup>50</sup> Maternal bleeding risks were similar across the various treatment regimens.

In a review of case series and cohort studies between 1996 and 2006 involving pregnant women with mechanical heart valves who were converted to LMWH prior to pregnancy or by the end of the first trimester, maternal valve thrombosis or thromboembolism occurred in 17 of 76 (22.4%) pregnancies.<sup>332</sup> Another systematic review of LMWH use in pregnant women with mechanical prosthetic heart valves that used slightly different eligibility criteria found that valve thrombosis occurred in seven of 81 pregnancies (8.6%; 95% CI, 2.5%-14.8%), and the overall thromboembolic rate was 10 of 81 pregnancies (12.4%; 95% CI, 5.2%-19.5%).<sup>333</sup> However, nine of the 10 patients with thromboembolic complications received a fixed dose of LMWH, and in two of these, a fixed low dose was used. Among 51 pregnancies in which anti-Xa LMWH levels were monitored and doses adjusted according to the result, only one patient was reported to have experienced a thromboembolic complication. Two subsequent case series<sup>334,335</sup> and one cohort study without internal control<sup>336</sup> that evaluated LMWH given every 12 h and adjusted to maintain therapeutic peak anti-Xa LMWH levels reported risks of maternal valve thrombosis or systemic thromboembolism ranging between 4.3% and 16.7%.

As outlined in Table S29, the use of UFH or LMWH throughout pregnancy essentially eliminates the risk of congenital malformation.<sup>49,50,332-336</sup> Most published studies suggest that risks of malformation are also low (<2%) if UFH or LMWH are substituted for vitamin K antagonists during the first trimester (preferably before the 6th week of gestation).<sup>49,50,332-336</sup> The number of pregnancy losses appears higher in those patients who receive either vitamin K antagonists or a heparin throughout pregnancy than in those in whom UFH or LMWH are substituted for vitamin K antagonists in the first trimester and at term.<sup>49,50,332-340</sup>

Thus, it appears that there is no single optimal treatment approach for managing pregnant women with mechanical prosthetic valves. Given the limited and sometimes conflicting data, several approaches remain acceptable (Table 14). The decision about which regimen to use should be made after full discussion with the patient. Additional risk factors for thromboembolism as well as patient preference should be taken into consideration. For example, women at very high risk (eg, first-generation mechanical valve in the mitral position, history of thromboembolism, associated atrial fibrillation) may prefer vitamin K antagonist use throughout pregnancy. If warfarin is used, the dose should be adjusted as recommended by Whitlock et al.<sup>331</sup> If subcutaneous UFH is used, it should be initiated in high doses (17,500-20,000 units every 12 h) and adjusted to prolong a 6-h postinjection aPTT into the therapeutic range. If LMWH is used, it should be administered bid and dosed to achieve the manufacturer's peak anti-Xa level 4 h after subcutaneous injection. Extrapolating from data in nonpregnant patients with mechanical valves receiving warfarin therapy,<sup>342</sup> for the same high-risk women, the addition of aspirin 75 to 100 mg/d can be considered in an attempt to reduce the risk of thrombosis, recognizing that it increases the risk of bleeding.

## Recommendations

### 12.1.1. For pregnant women with mechanical heart valves, we recommend one of the following anticoagulant regimens in preference to no anticoagulation (all Grade 1A):

#### (a) Adjusted-dose bid LMWH throughout pregnancy. We suggest that doses be adjusted

**Table 14—[12.1.1-12.1.3] Recommended Anticoagulant Regimens in Pregnant Women With Mechanical Heart Valves**

Adjusted-dose bid LMWH throughout pregnancy, with doses adjusted to achieve the manufacturer's peak anti-Xa LMWH 4 h postsubcutaneous injection (Grade 1A).

Adjusted-dose UFH throughout pregnancy administered subcutaneously every 12 h in doses adjusted to keep the midinterval aPTT at least twice control or attain an anti-Xa heparin level of 0.35-0.70 units/mL (Grade 1A).

UFH or LMWH (as above) until the 13th week with substitution by vitamin K antagonists until close to delivery when UFH or LMWH is resumed (Grade 1A).

For women judged to be at very high risk of thromboembolism in whom concerns exist about the efficacy and safety of UFH or LMWH as dosed above (eg, older-generation prosthesis in the mitral position or history of thromboembolism), vitamin K antagonists throughout pregnancy with replacement by UFH or LMWH (as above) close to delivery (Grade 2C).

aPTT = activated partial thromboplastin time. See Table 2 and 10 legends for expansion of abbreviations.



to achieve the manufacturer's peak anti-Xa LMWH 4 h postsubcutaneous injection; or  
(b) Adjusted-dose UFH throughout pregnancy administered subcutaneously every 12 h in doses adjusted to keep the midinterval aPTT at least twice control or attain an anti-Xa heparin level of 0.35 to 0.70 units/mL; or  
(c) UFH or LMWH (as above) until the 13th week with substitution by vitamin K antagonists until close to delivery when UFH or LMWH is resumed.

*Remarks:* For pregnant women with mechanical heart valves, the decision regarding the choice of anticoagulant regimen is so value and preference dependent (risk of thrombosis vs risk of fetal abnormalities) that we consider the decision to be completely individualized. Women of childbearing age and pregnant women with mechanical valves should be counseled about potential maternal and fetal risks associated with various anticoagulant regimens, including continuation of vitamin K antagonists with substitution by LMWH or UFH close to term, substitution of vitamin K antagonists by LMWH or UFH until the 13th week and then close to term, and use of LMWH or UFH throughout pregnancy. Usual long-term anticoagulants should be resumed postpartum when adequate hemostasis is assured.

**12.1.2. In women judged to be at very high risk of thromboembolism in whom concerns exist about the efficacy and safety of UFH or LMWH as dosed above (eg, older-generation prosthesis in the mitral position or history of thromboembolism), we suggest vitamin K antagonists throughout pregnancy with replacement by UFH or LMWH (as above) close to delivery rather than one of the regimens above (Grade 2C).**

*Remarks:* The recommendation for women at very high risk of thromboembolism places a higher value on avoiding maternal complications (eg, catastrophic valve thrombosis) than on avoiding fetal complications. Women who place a higher risk on avoiding fetal risk will choose LMWH or UFH over vitamin K antagonists.

**12.1.3. For pregnant women with prosthetic valves at high risk of thromboembolism, we suggest the addition of low-dose aspirin 75 to 100 mg/d (Grade 2C).**

### 13.0 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR RESEARCH

Although new information has been published since our last review, the available evidence in this

article is still generally of low quality. Most recommendations are based on observational studies and extrapolation from other populations. There is an urgent need for appropriately designed studies to inform us of the risk of recurrent pregnancy-associated VTE and of first VTE in thrombophilic women and those undergoing cesarean section and assisted reproductive technology. Further research is needed to optimize regimens for the prevention of VTE and mechanical valve thrombosis. Given the uncertainty of baseline estimates for both the risks of the various conditions discussed in this article and the benefits of prophylactic and therapeutic interventions, knowledge of pregnant women's values and treatment preferences are crucial when making recommendations. Although investigators have explored patient values and preferences with respect to antithrombotic therapy in other contexts, no studies have been performed in pregnant women.

Although the performance of clinical trials involving pregnant women is challenging, there is a clear need for methodologically strong studies in this patient population. All pregnant women are best protected when evidence about conditions that affect them is gathered in a scientifically rigorous manner that maximizes participant safety.<sup>343</sup>

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

**Author contributions:** As Topic Editor, Dr Vandvik oversaw the development of this article, including the data analysis and subsequent development of the recommendations contained herein.

*Dr Bates:* contributed as Deputy Editor.

*Dr Greer:* contributed as a panelist.

*Dr Middeldorp:* contributed as a panelist.

*Dr Veenstra:* contributed as a resource consultant.

*Dr Prabulos:* contributed as a front line clinician.

*Dr Vandvik:* contributed as Topic Editor.

**Financial/nonfinancial disclosures:** The authors of this guideline provided detailed conflict of interest information related to each individual recommendation made in this article. A grid of these disclosures is available online at [http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2\\_suppl/e691S/suppl/DC1](http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2_suppl/e691S/suppl/DC1). In summary, the authors have reported to *CHEST* the following conflicts of interest: Dr Bates has received honoraria for lectures from Leo Pharma, Inc. (anticoagulant manufacturer), Sanofi-Aventis Canada (anticoagulant manufacturer), Boehringer Ingelheim GmbH (anticoagulant manufacturer), and Thrombosis Education, Ltd. Dr Greer has received honoraria for lectures and advisory board contributions from Leo Pharma and Sanofi-Aventis. Dr Middeldorp has received unrestricted research funding from GlaxoSmithKline plc and MedaPharma for the ALIFE study and has received speakers fees from GlaxoSmithKline plc; Boehringer Ingelheim GmbH; Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals; Leo Pharma, Inc. Dr Vandvik is a member of and prominent contributor to the GRADE Working Group. Drs Veenstra and Prabulos have reported that no potential conflicts of interest exist with any companies/organizations whose products or services may be discussed in this article.

**Role of sponsors:** The sponsors played no role in the development of these guidelines. Sponsoring organizations cannot recommend panelists or topics, nor are they allowed prepublication access to the manuscripts and recommendations. Guideline panel members, including the chair, and members of the Health & Science Policy Committee are blinded to the funding sources. Further details on the Conflict of Interest Policy are available online at <http://chestnet.org>.

**Endorsements:** This guideline is endorsed by the American Association for Clinical Chemistry, the American College of Clinical Pharmacy, the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists, the American Society of Hematology, and the International Society of Thrombosis and Hematosis. Additionally, the guidelines presented in this article have been endorsed by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.

**Additional Information:** The supplement Tables can be found in the Online Data Supplement at [http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2\\_suppl/e691S/suppl/DC1](http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2_suppl/e691S/suppl/DC1).

## REFERENCES

- Schulman S, Kearon C; Subcommittee on Control of Anticoagulation of the Scientific and Standardization Committee of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis. Definition of major bleeding in clinical investigations of antihemostatic medicinal products in non-surgical patients. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2005;3(4):692-694.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al; GRADE Working Group. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ*. 2008;336(7650):924-926.
- Guyatt G, Gutterman D, Baumann MH, et al. Grading strength of recommendations and quality of evidence in clinical guidelines: report from an American College of Chest Physicians task force. *Chest*. 2006;129(1):174-181.
- Guyatt GH, Norris SL, Schulman S, et al. Methodology for the development of antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis guidelines: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest*. 2012;141(2)(suppl):53S-70S.
- MacLean S, Mulla S, Akl E, et al. Patient values and preferences in decision making for antithrombotic therapy: a systematic review: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest*. 2012;141(2)(suppl):e1S-e23S.
- Chunilal SD, Young E, Johnston MA, et al. The APTT response of pregnant plasma to unfractionated heparin. *Thromb Haemost*. 2002;87(1):92-97.
- Ginsberg JS, Kowalchuk G, Hirsh J, Brill-Edwards P, Burrows R. Heparin therapy during pregnancy. Risks to the fetus and mother. *Arch Intern Med*. 1989;149(10):2233-2236.
- Hull RD, Delmore TJ, Carter CJ, et al. Adjusted subcutaneous heparin versus warfarin sodium in the long-term treatment of venous thrombosis. *N Engl J Med*. 1982;306(4):189-194.
- Hull RD, Hirsh J, Jay R, et al. Different intensities of oral anticoagulant therapy in the treatment of proximal-vein thrombosis. *N Engl J Med*. 1982;307(27):1676-1681.
- Kearon C, Ginsberg JS, Kovacs MJ, et al; Extended Low-Intensity Anticoagulation for Thrombo-Embolic Investigators. Comparison of low-intensity warfarin therapy with conventional-intensity warfarin therapy for long-term prevention of recurrent venous thromboembolism. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;349(7):631-639.
- Anderson DR, Ginsberg JS, Burrows R, Brill-Edwards P. Subcutaneous heparin therapy during pregnancy: a need for concern at the time of delivery. *Thromb Haemost*. 1991;65(3):248-250.
- Burrows RF, Kelton JG. Incidentally detected thrombocytopenia in healthy mothers and their infants. *N Engl J Med*. 1988;319(3):142-145.
- Warkentin TE, Levine MN, Hirsh J, et al. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia in patients treated with low-molecular-weight heparin or unfractionated heparin. *N Engl J Med*. 1995;332(20):1330-1335.
- Linkins L-A, Dans AL, Moores LK, et al. Treatment and prevention of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest*. 141(2)(suppl):e495S-e530S.
- de Valk HW, Banga JD, Wester JW, et al. Comparing subcutaneous danaparoid with intravenous unfractionated heparin for the treatment of venous thromboembolism. A randomized controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 1995;123(1):1-9.
- Peeters LLH, Hobbelen PMJ, Verkeste CM, et al. Placental transfer of Org 10172, a low-molecular weight heparinoid, in the awake late-pregnant guinea pig. *Thromb Res*. 1986;44(3):277-283.
- Henny CP, ten Cate H, ten Cate JW, Prummel MF, Peters M, Büller HR. Thrombosis prophylaxis in an AT III deficient pregnant woman: application of a low molecular weight heparinoid. *Thromb Haemost*. 1986;55(2):301.
- Greinacher A, Eckhardt T, Mussmann J, Mueller-Eckhardt C. Pregnancy complicated by heparin associated thrombocytopenia: management by a prospectively in vitro selected heparinoid (Org 10172). *Thromb Res*. 1993;71(2):123-126.
- Magnani HN. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT): an overview of 230 patients treated with organon (Org 10172). *Thromb Haemost*. 1993;70(4):554-561.
- Rubin N, Rubin J. Treatment of heparin induced thrombocytopenia with thrombosis (HITT) in pregnancy with fondaparinux [abstract]. Paper presented at: the 45th Annual Meeting of the American Society of Hematology; December 6-9, 2003; San Diego, CA.
- Parody R, Oliver A, Souto JC, Fontcuberta J. Fondaparinux (ARIXTRA) as an alternative anti-thrombotic prophylaxis when there is hypersensitivity to low molecular weight and unfractionated heparins. *Haematologica*. 2003;88(11):ECR32.
- Douketis JD, Ginsberg JS, Burrows RF, Duku EK, Webber CE, Brill-Edwards P. The effects of long-term heparin therapy during pregnancy on bone density. A prospective matched cohort study. *Thromb Haemost*. 1996;75(2):254-257.
- Dahlman TC. Osteoporotic fractures and the recurrence of thromboembolism during pregnancy and the puerperium in 184 women undergoing thromboprophylaxis with heparin. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1993;168(4):1265-1270.
- Ginsberg JS, Kowalchuk G, Hirsh J, et al. Heparin effect on bone density. *Thromb Haemost*. 1990;64(2):286-289.
- Dahlman TC, Lindvall N, Hellgren M. Osteopenia in pregnancy during long-term heparin treatment: a radiological study post partum. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1990;97(3):221-228.
- Monreal M, Lafoz E, Olive A, del Rio L, Vedia C. Comparison of subcutaneous unfractionated heparin with a low molecular weight heparin (Fragmin) in patients with venous thromboembolism and contraindications to coumarin. *Thromb Haemost*. 1994;71(1):7-11.
- Pettilä V, Leinonen P, Markkola A, Hiilesmaa V, Kaaja R. Postpartum bone mineral density in women treated for thromboprophylaxis with unfractionated heparin or LMW heparin. *Thromb Haemost*. 2002;87(2):182-186.
- Muir JM, Andrew M, Hirsh J, et al. Histomorphometric analysis of the effects of standard heparin on trabecular bone in vivo. *Blood*. 1996;88(4):1314-1320.
- Muir JM, Hirsh J, Weitz JI, Andrew M, Young E, Shaughnessy SG. A histomorphometric comparison of the effects of heparin and low-molecular-weight heparin on cancellous bone in rats. *Blood*. 1997;89(9):3236-3242.

30. Shaughnessy SG, Hirsh J, Bhandari M, Muir JM, Young E, Weitz JI. A histomorphometric evaluation of heparin-induced bone loss after discontinuation of heparin treatment in rats. *Blood*. 1999;93(4):1231-1236.
31. Harenberg J, Hoffmann U, Huhle G, Winkler M, Bayerl C. Cutaneous reactions to anticoagulants. Recognition and management. *Am J Clin Dermatol*. 2001;2(2):69-75.
32. Gould MK, Dembitzer AD, Doyle RL, Hastie TJ, Garber AM. Low-molecular-weight heparins compared with unfractionated heparin for treatment of acute deep venous thrombosis. A meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Ann Intern Med*. 1999;130(10):800-809.
33. Quinlan DJ, McQuillan A, Eikelboom JW. Low-molecular-weight heparin compared with intravenous unfractionated heparin for treatment of pulmonary embolism: a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Ann Intern Med*. 2004;140(3):175-183.
34. Eikelboom JW, Anand SS, Malmberg K, Weitz JI, Ginsberg JS, Yusuf S. Unfractionated heparin and low-molecular-weight heparin in acute coronary syndrome without ST elevation: a meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2000;355(9219):1936-1942.
35. Weitz JI. Low-molecular-weight heparins [published correction appears in *N Engl J Med*. 1997;337(21):1567]. *N Engl J Med*. 1997;337(10):688-698.
36. Lepercq J, Conard J, Borel-Derlon A, et al. Venous thromboembolism during pregnancy: a retrospective study of enoxaparin safety in 624 pregnancies. *BJOG*. 2001;108(11):1134-1140.
37. Sanson BJ, Lensing AWA, Prins MH, et al. Safety of low-molecular-weight heparin in pregnancy: a systematic review. *Thromb Haemost*. 1999;81(5):668-672.
38. Greer IA, Nelson-Piercy C. Low-molecular-weight heparins for thromboprophylaxis and treatment of venous thromboembolism in pregnancy: a systematic review of safety and efficacy. *Blood*. 2005;106(2):401-407.
39. Carlin AJ, Farquharson RG, Quenby SM, Topping J, Fraser WD. Prospective observational study of bone mineral density during pregnancy: low molecular weight heparin versus control. *Hum Reprod*. 2004;19(5):1211-1214.
40. Rodger MA, Kahn SR, Cranney A, et al; TIPPS investigators. Long-term dalteparin in pregnancy not associated with a decrease in bone mineral density: substudy of a randomized controlled trial. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2007;5(8):1600-1606.
41. Lefkou E, Khamashta M, Hampson G, Hunt BJ. Review: Low-molecular-weight heparin-induced osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures: a myth or an existing entity? *Lupus*. 2010;19(1):3-12.
42. Byrd LM, Shiach CR, Hay CRM, Johnston TA. Osteopenic fractures in pregnancy: is low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) implicated? *J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2008;28(5):539-542.
43. Hunt BJ, Doughty HA, Majumdar G, et al. Thromboprophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin (Fragmin) in high risk pregnancies. *Thromb Haemost*. 1997;77(1):39-43.
44. Bauersachs RM, Dudenhausen J, Faridi A, et al; ETHIG Investigators. Risk stratification and heparin prophylaxis to prevent venous thromboembolism in pregnant women. *Thromb Haemost*. 2007;98(6):1237-1245.
45. Bank I, Libourel EJ, Middeldorp S, Van Der Meer J, Büller HR. High rate of skin complications due to low-molecular-weight heparins in pregnant women. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2003;1(4):859-861.
46. Wütschert R, Piletta P, Bounameaux H. Adverse skin reactions to low molecular weight heparins: frequency, management and prevention. *Drug Saf*. 1999;20(6):515-525.
47. Ginsberg JS, Hirsh J, Turner DC, Levine MN, Burrows R. Risks to the fetus of anticoagulant therapy during pregnancy. *Thromb Haemost*. 1989;61(2):197-203.
48. Hall JG, Pauli RM, Wilson KM. Maternal and fetal sequelae of anticoagulation during pregnancy. *Am J Med*. 1980;68(1):122-140.
49. Chan WS, Anand S, Ginsberg JS. Anticoagulation of pregnant women with mechanical heart valves: a systematic review of the literature. *Arch Intern Med*. 2000;160(2):191-196.
50. Hassouna A, Allam H. Anticoagulation of pregnant women with mechanical heart valve prosthesis: a systematic review of the literature (2000-2009). *J Coagul Disorders*. 2010;2(1):81-88.
51. Pauli RM, Haun J. Intrauterine effects of coumarin derivatives. *Dev Brain Dysfunct*. 1993;6:229-247.
52. Ben Ismail M, Abid F, Trabelsi S, Taktak M, Fekih M. Cardiac valve prostheses, anticoagulation, and pregnancy. *Br Heart J*. 1986;55(1):101-105.
53. Born D, Martinez EE, Almeida PAM, et al. Pregnancy in patients with prosthetic heart valves: the effects of anticoagulation on mother, fetus, and neonate. *Am Heart J*. 1992;124(2):413-417.
54. Pavankumar P, Venugopal P, Kaul U, et al. Pregnancy in patients with prosthetic cardiac valve. A 10-year experience. *Scand J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 1988;22(1):19-22.
55. Larrea JL, Núñez L, Reque JA, Gil Aguado M, Matarros R, Minguez JA. Pregnancy and mechanical valve prostheses: a high-risk situation for the mother and the fetus. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1983;36(4):459-463.
56. Al-Lawati AAM, Venkitraman M, Al-Delaime T, Valliathu J. Pregnancy and mechanical heart valves replacement: dilemma of anticoagulation. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2002;22(2):223-227.
57. Iturbe-Alessio I, Fonseca MC, Mutchinik O, Santos MA, Zajarías A, Salazar E. Risks of anticoagulant therapy in pregnant women with artificial heart valves. *N Engl J Med*. 1986;315(22):1390-1393.
58. Schaefer C, Hannemann D, Meister R, et al. Vitamin K antagonists and pregnancy outcome. A multi-centre prospective study. *Thromb Haemost*. 2006;95(6):949-957.
59. Wesseling J, Van Driel D, Heymans HS, et al. Coumarins during pregnancy: long-term effects on growth and development of school-age children. *Thromb Haemost*. 2001;85(4):609-613.
60. van Driel D, Wesseling J, Sauer PJJ, van Der Veer E, Touwen BC, Smrkovsky M. In utero exposure to coumarins and cognition at 8 to 14 years old. *Pediatrics*. 2001;107(1):123-129.
61. Hirsh J, Cade JF, O'Sullivan EF. Clinical experience with anticoagulant therapy during pregnancy. *BMJ*. 1970;1(5691):270-273.
62. Vitale N, De Feo M, De Santo LS, Pollice A, Tedesco N, Cotrufo M. Dose-dependent fetal complications of warfarin in pregnant women with mechanical heart valves. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1999;33(6):1637-1641.
63. Flessa HC, Kapstrom AB, Glueck HI, Will JJ. Placental transport of heparin. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1965;93(4):570-573.
64. Clark NP, Delate T, Witt DM, Parker S, McDuffie R. A descriptive evaluation of unfractionated heparin use during pregnancy. *J Thromb Thrombolysis*. 2009;27(3):267-273.
65. Forestier F, Daffos F, Capella-Pavlovsky M. Low molecular weight heparin (PK 10169) does not cross the placenta during the second trimester of pregnancy study by direct fetal blood sampling under ultrasound. *Thromb Res*. 1984;34(6):557-560.
66. Forestier F, Daffos F, Rainaut M, Toulemonde F. Low molecular weight heparin (CY 216) does not cross the placenta during the third trimester of pregnancy. *Thromb Haemost*. 1987;57(2):234.
67. Lindhoff-Last E, Kreutzenbeck H-J, Magnani HN. Treatment of 51 pregnancies with danaparoid because of heparin intolerance. *Thromb Haemost*. 2005;93(1):63-69.



68. Lagrange F, Vergnes C, Brun JL, et al. Absence of placental transfer of pentasaccharide (Fondaparinux, Arixtra) in the dually perfused human cotyledon in vitro. *Thromb Haemost.* 2002;87(5):831-835.
69. Dempfle CE. Minor transplacental passage of fondaparinux in vivo. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;350(18):1914-1915.
70. Harenberg J. Treatment of a woman with lupus and thromboembolism and cutaneous intolerance to heparins using fondaparinux during pregnancy. *Thromb Res.* 2007;119(3):385-388.
71. Mazzolai L, Hohlfield P, Spertini F, Hayoz D, Schapira M, Duchosal MA. Fondaparinux is a safe alternative in case of heparin intolerance during pregnancy. *Blood.* 2006;108(5):1569-1570.
72. Wijesiriwardana A, Lees DA, Lush C. Fondaparinux as anticoagulant in a pregnant woman with heparin allergy. *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis.* 2006;17(2):147-149.
73. Gerhardt A, Zotz RB, Stocksclaeder M, Scharf RE. Fondaparinux is an effective alternative anticoagulant in pregnant women with high risk of venous thromboembolism and intolerance to low-molecular-weight heparins and heparinoids. *Thromb Haemost.* 2007;97(3):496-497.
74. Schapkaitz E, Jacobson BF. Delayed hypersensitivity to low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) in pregnancy. *S Afr Med J.* 2007;97(12):1255-1257.
75. Winger EE, Reed JL. A retrospective analysis of fondaparinux versus enoxaparin treatment in women with infertility or pregnancy loss. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* 2009;62(4):253-260.
76. Knol HM, Schultinge L, Erwich JJHM, Meijer K. Fondaparinux as an alternative anticoagulant therapy during pregnancy. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2010;8(8):1876-1879.
77. Lindhoff-Last E, Bauersachs R. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia-alternative anticoagulation in pregnancy and lactation. *Semin Thromb Hemost.* 2002;28(5):439-446.
78. Markwardt F, Fink G, Kaiser B, et al. Pharmacological survey of recombinant hirudin. *Pharmazie.* 1988;43(3):202-207.
79. Mehta R, Golichowski A. Treatment of heparin induced thrombocytopenia and thrombosis during the first trimester of pregnancy. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2004;2(9):1665-1666.
80. Furlan A, Vianello F, Clementi M, Prandoni P. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia occurring in the first trimester of pregnancy: successful treatment with lepirudin. A case report. *Haematologica.* 2006;91(suppl 8):ECR40.
81. Taniguchi S, Fukuda I, Minakawa M, Watanabe K, Daitoku K, Suzuki Y. Emergency pulmonary embolectomy during the second trimester of pregnancy: report of a case. *Surg Today.* 2008;38(1):59-61.
82. Young SK, Al-Mondhriy HA, Vaida SJ, Ambrose A, Botti JJ. Successful use of argatroban during the third trimester of pregnancy: case report and review of the literature. *Pharmacotherapy.* 2008;28(12):1531-1536.
83. Ekbatani A, Asaro LR, Malinow AM. Anticoagulation with argatroban in a parturient with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Int J Obstet Anesth.* 2010;19(1):S2-S7.
84. Boehringer Ingelheim. Summary of product characteristics: dabigatran etexilate. Date of text revision: March 2009
85. Bayer Schering Pharma AG. Summary of product characteristics: rivaroxaban. Date of text revision: May 2009
86. Askie LM, Duley L, Henderson-Smart DJ, Stewart LA; PARIS Collaborative Group. Antiplatelet agents for prevention of pre-eclampsia: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet.* 2007;369(9575):1791-1798.
87. Kozar E, Nikfar S, Costei AM, Boskovic R, Nulman I, Koren G. Aspirin consumption during the first trimester of pregnancy and congenital anomalies: a meta-analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2002;187(6):1623-1630.
88. Kozar E, Costei AM, Boskovic R, Nulman I, Nikfar S, Koren G. Effects of aspirin consumption during pregnancy on pregnancy outcomes: meta-analysis. *Birth Defects Res B Dev Reprod Toxicol.* 2003;68(1):70-84.
89. Li DK, Liu L, Odouli R. Exposure to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs during pregnancy and risk of miscarriage: population based cohort study. *BMJ.* 2003;327(7411):368-372.
90. James AH, Brancaccio LR, Price T. Aspirin and reproductive outcomes. *Obstet Gynecol Surv.* 2008;63(1):49-57.
91. Pfeifer GW. Distribution and placental transfer of 131-I streptokinase. *Australas Ann Med.* 1970;19(suppl 1):17-18.
92. Leonhardt G, Gaul C, Nietsch HH, Buerke M, Schleussner E. Thrombolytic therapy in pregnancy. *J Thromb Thrombolysis.* 2006;21(3):271-276.
93. Ahearn GS, Hadjiladis D, Govert JA, Tapson VF. Massive pulmonary embolism during pregnancy successfully treated with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator: a case report and review of treatment options. *Arch Intern Med.* 2002;162(11):1221-1227.
94. te Raa GD, Ribbert LSM, Snijder RJ, Biesma DH. Treatment options in massive pulmonary embolism during pregnancy; a case-report and review of literature. *Thromb Res.* 2009;124(1):1-5.
95. Holden EL, Ramu H, Sheth A, Shannon MS, Madden BP. Thrombolysis for massive pulmonary embolism in pregnancy—a report of three cases and follow up over a two year period. *Thromb Res.* 2011;127(1):58-59.
96. Berlin CM, Briggs GG. Drugs and chemicals in human milk. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2005;10(2):149-159.
97. Clark SL, Porter TF, West FG. Coumarin derivatives and breast-feeding. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2000;95(6 Pt 1):938-940.
98. Orme ML, Lewis PJ, de Swiet M, et al. May mothers given warfarin breast-feed their infants? *BMJ.* 1977;1(6076):1564-1565.
99. McKenna R, Cole ER, Vasan U. Is warfarin sodium contraindicated in the lactating mother? *J Pediatr.* 1983;103(2):325-327.
100. Houwert-de Jong M, Gerards LJ, Tetteroo-Tempelman CA, de Wolff FA. May mothers taking acenocoumarol breast feed their infants? *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 1981;21(1):61-64.
101. Fondevila CG, Meschengieser S, Blanco A, Peñalva L, Lazzari MA. Effect of acenocoumarin on the breast-fed infant. *Thromb Res.* 1989;56(1):29-36.
102. O'Reilly R. Anticoagulant, antithrombotic and thrombolytic drugs. In: Gillman AG, Goodman LS, Gillman A, eds. *The Pharmacologic Basis of Therapeutics*. 6th ed. New York: Macmillan; 1980:1347.
103. Richter C, Sitzmann J, Lang P, Weitzel H, Huch A, Huch R. Excretion of low molecular weight heparin in human milk. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2001;52(6):708-710.
104. GlaxoSmithKline. Arixtra prescribing information. Date of test revision: January 2010
105. Vetter A, Perera G, Leithner K, Klima G, Bernkop-Schnürch A. Development and in vivo bioavailability study of an oral fondaparinux delivery system. *Eur J Pharm Sci.* 2010;41(3-4):489-497.
106. Lindhoff-Last E, Willeke A, Thalhammer C, Nowak G, Bauersachs R. Hirudin treatment in a breastfeeding woman. *Lancet.* 2000;355(9202):467-468.
107. Bar-Oz B, Bulkowstein M, Benyamini L, et al. Use of antibiotic and analgesic drugs during lactation. *Drug Saf.* 2003;26(13):925-935.
108. Unsworth J, d'Assis-Fonseca A, Beswick DT, Blake DR. Serum salicylate levels in a breast fed infant. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 1987;46(8):638-639.



109. Needs CJ, Brooks PM. Antirheumatic medication during lactation. *Br J Rheumatol*. 1985;24(3):291-297.
110. Clark JH, Wilson WG. A 16-day-old breast-fed infant with metabolic acidosis caused by salicylate. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. 1981;20(1):53-54.
111. Stuart MJ, Gross SJ, Elrad H, Graeber JE. Effects of acetylsalicylic-acid ingestion on maternal and neonatal hemostasis. *N Engl J Med*. 1982;307(15):909-912.
112. Loudon KA, Broughton Pipkin F, Heptinstall S, et al. Neonatal platelet reactivity and serum thromboxane B2 production in whole blood: the effect of maternal low dose aspirin. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1994;101(3):203-208.
113. Ito S, Blajchman A, Stephenson M, Eliopoulos C, Koren G. Prospective follow-up of adverse reactions in breast-fed infants exposed to maternal medication. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1993;168(5):1393-1399.
114. Chan WS, Dixon ME. The "ART" of thromboembolism: a review of assisted reproductive technology and thromboembolic complications. *Thromb Res*. 2008;121(6):713-726.
115. Nelson SM. Prophylaxis of VTE in women-during assisted reproductive techniques. *Thromb Res*. 2009;123(suppl 3):S8-S15.
116. Mára M, Koryntová D, Rezábek K, et al. Thromboembolic complications in patients undergoing in vitro fertilization: retrospective clinical study [in Czech]. *Ceska Gynekol*. 2004;69(4):312-316.
117. Aourousseau MH, Samama MM, Belhassen A, Herve F, Hugues JN. Risk of thromboembolism in relation to an in-vitro fertilization programme: three case reports. *Hum Reprod*. 1995;10(1):94-97.
118. Delvigne A, Demoulin A, Smits J, et al. The ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome in in-vitro fertilization: a Belgian multicentric study. I. Clinical and biological features. *Hum Reprod*. 1993;8(9):1353-1360.
119. Morris RS, Miller C, Jacobs L, Miller K. Conservative management of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome. *J Reprod Med*. 1995;40(10):711-714.
120. Bergh T, Lundkvist O. Clinical complications during in-vitro fertilization treatment. *Hum Reprod*. 1992;7(5):625-626.
121. Jacobsen AF, Skjeldstad FE, Sandset PM. Ante- and post-natal risk factors of venous thrombosis: a hospital-based case-control study. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2008;6(6):905-912.
122. Chan WS. The "ART" of thrombosis: a review of arterial and venous thrombosis in assisted reproductive technology. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;21(3):207-218.
123. Dessole S, Rubattu G, Ambrosini G, Miele N, Nardelli GB, Cherchi PL. Blood loss following noncomplicated transvaginal oocyte retrieval for in vitro fertilization. *Fertil Steril*. 2001;76(1):205-206.
124. Shalev J, Davidi O, Fisch B. Quantitative three-dimensional sonographic assessment of pelvic blood after transvaginal ultrasound-guided oocyte aspiration: factors predicting risk. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2004;23(2):177-182.
125. Ragni G, Scarduelli C, Calanna G, Santi G, Benaglia L, Somigliana E. Blood loss during transvaginal oocyte retrieval. *Gynecol Obstet Invest*. 2009;67(1):32-35.
126. Bennett SJ, Waterstone JJ, Cheng WC, Parsons J. Complications of transvaginal ultrasound-directed follicle aspiration: a review of 2760 consecutive procedures. *J Assist Reprod Genet*. 1993;10(1):72-77.
127. Dicker D, Ashkenazi J, Feldberg D, Levy T, Dekel A, Ben-Rafael Z. Severe abdominal complications after transvaginal ultrasonographically guided retrieval of oocytes for in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer. *Fertil Steril*. 1993;59(6):1313-1315.
128. Tureck RW, García CR, Blasco L, Mastroianni L Jr. Perioperative complications arising after transvaginal oocyte retrieval. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1993;81(4):590-593.
129. Govaerts I, Devreker F, Delbaere A, Revelard P, Englert Y. Short-term medical complications of 1500 oocyte retrievals for in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 1998;77(2):239-243.
130. Ludwig AK, Glawatz M, Griesinger G, Diedrich K, Ludwig M. Perioperative and post-operative complications of transvaginal ultrasound-guided oocyte retrieval: prospective study of >1000 oocyte retrievals. *Hum Reprod*. 2006;21(12):3235-3240.
131. Bodri D, Guillén JJ, Polo A, Trullenque M, Esteve C, Coll O. Complications related to ovarian stimulation and oocyte retrieval in 4052 oocyte donor cycles. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2008;17(2):237-243.
132. Baber R, Porter R, Picker R, Robertson R, Dawson E, Saunders D. Transvaginal ultrasound directed oocyte collection for in vitro fertilization: successes and complications. *J Ultrasound Med*. 1988;7(7):377-379.
133. Yinon Y, Pauzner R, Dulitzky M, Elizur SE, Dor J, Shulman A. Safety of IVF under anticoagulant therapy in patients at risk for thrombo-embolic events. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2006;12(3):354-358.
134. Qublan H, Amarín Z, Dabbas M, et al. Low-molecular-weight heparin in the treatment of recurrent IVF-ET failure and thrombophilia: a prospective randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Hum Fertil*. 2008;11(4):246-253.
135. Stern C, Chamley L, Norris H, Hale L, Baker HW. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of heparin and aspirin for women with in vitro fertilization implantation failure and antiphospholipid or antinuclear antibodies. *Fertil Steril*. 2003;80(2):376-383.
136. Hull RD, Pineo GF, Stein PD, et al. Extended out-of-hospital low-molecular-weight heparin prophylaxis against deep venous thrombosis in patients after elective hip arthroplasty: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med*. 2001;135(10):858-869.
137. Pomp ER, Lenselink AM, Rosendaal FR, Doggen CJ. Pregnancy, the postpartum period and prothrombotic defects: risk of venous thrombosis in the MEGA study. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2008;6(4):632-637.
138. Heit JA, Kobbervig CE, James AH, Petterson TM, Bailey KR, Melton LJ III. Trends in the incidence of venous thromboembolism during pregnancy or postpartum: a 30-year population-based study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2005;143(10):697-706.
139. Gherman RB, Goodwin TM, Leung B, Byrne JD, Hethumumi R, Montoro M. Incidence, clinical characteristics, and timing of objectively diagnosed venous thromboembolism during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1999;94(5 pt 1):730-734.
140. Macklon NS, Greer IA. Venous thromboembolic disease in obstetrics and gynaecology: the Scottish experience. *Scott Med J*. 1996;41(3):83-86.
141. Blondon MB, Perrier A, Nendaz M, et al. Thromboprophylaxis with low-molecular-weight heparin after cesarean delivery. *Thromb Haemost*. 2010;103(1):129-137.
142. Bergqvist A, Bergqvist D, Hallböök T. Acute deep vein thrombosis (DVT) after cesarean section. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 1979;58(5):473-476.
143. Chan LY, Lam KY, Metreweli C, Lau TK. Duplex ultrasound screening for deep vein thrombosis in Chinese after cesarean section. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2005;84(4):368-370.
144. Jacobsen AF, Drolsum A, Klow NE, Dahl GF, Qvigstad E, Sandset PM. Deep vein thrombosis after elective cesarean section. *Thromb Res*. 2004;113(5):283-288.
145. Kalro BN, Davidson RA, Owen P. Low incidence of asymptomatic deep venous thrombosis following caesarean section: a colour Doppler study. *Health Bull (Edinb)*. 1999;57(6):418-421.

146. Lindqvist P, Dahlbäck B, Maršál K. Thrombotic risk during pregnancy: a population study. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1999; 94(4):595-599.
147. Sia WW, Powrie RO, Cooper AB, et al. The incidence of deep vein thrombosis in women undergoing cesarean delivery. *Thromb Res.* 2009;123(3):550-555.
148. Simpson EL, Lawrenson RA, Nightingale AL, Farmer RD. Venous thromboembolism in pregnancy and the puerperium: incidence and additional risk factors from a London perinatal database. *BJOG.* 2001;108(1):56-60.
149. White RH, Brickner LA, Scannell KA. ICD-9-CM codes poorly identified venous thromboembolism during pregnancy. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2004;57(9):985-988.
150. Knight M, UKOSS. Antenatal pulmonary embolism: risk factors, management and outcomes. *BJOG.* 2008;115(4): 453-461.
151. Robertson L, Wu O, Langhorne P, et al; Thrombosis Risk and Economic Assessment of Thrombophilia Screening (TREATS) Study. Thrombophilia in pregnancy: a systematic review. *Br J Haematol.* 2005;132(2):171-196.
152. James AH, Jamison MG, Brancaccio LR, Myers ER. Venous thromboembolism during pregnancy and the postpartum period: incidence, risk factors, and mortality. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;194(5):1311-1315.
153. McColl MD, Ramsay JE, Tait RC, et al. Risk factors for pregnancy associated venous thromboembolism. *Thromb Haemost.* 1997;78(4):1183-1188.
154. Einstein MH, Kushner DM, Connor JP, et al. A protocol of dual prophylaxis for venous thromboembolism prevention in gynecologic cancer patients. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2008; 112(5):1091-1097.
155. Kakkos SK, Caprini JA, Geroulakos G, Nicolaides AN, Stansby GP, Reddy DJ. Combined intermittent pneumatic leg compression and pharmacological prophylaxis for prevention of venous thromboembolism in high-risk patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2008;(4):CD005258.
156. Gould MK, Garcia DA, Wren SM, et al. Prevention of VTE in nonorthopedic surgical patients: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest.* 2012;141(2)(suppl):e227S-e277S.
157. Toohar R, Gates S, Dowswell T, Davis LJ. Prophylaxis for venous thromboembolic disease in pregnancy and the early postnatal period. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2010; 5(5):CD001689.
158. Gates S, Brocklehurst P, Ayers S, Bowler U; Thromboprophylaxis in Pregnancy Advisory Group. Thromboprophylaxis and pregnancy: two randomized controlled pilot trials that used low-molecular-weight heparin. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2004;191(4):1296-1303.
159. Burrows RF, Gan ET, Gallus AS, Wallace EM, Burrows EA. A randomised double-blind placebo controlled trial of low molecular weight heparin as prophylaxis in preventing venous thrombotic events after caesarean section: a pilot study. *BJOG.* 2001;108(8):835-839.
160. Hill NC, Hill JG, Sargent JM, Taylor CG, Bush PV. Effect of low dose heparin on blood loss at caesarean section. *BMJ.* 1988;296(6635):505-506.
161. Welti H. Prophylaxie thrombo-embolique par physiotherapie avec et sans heparine a faibles doses en gynecologie-obstetrique. *Rev Med Suisse Romande.* 1981;101(11):925-934.
162. Mismetti P, Laporte S, Darmon JY, Buchmüller A, Decousus H. Meta-analysis of low molecular weight heparin in the prevention of venous thromboembolism in general surgery. *Br J Surg.* 2001;88(7):913-930.
163. White RH, Zhou H, Romano PS. Incidence of symptomatic venous thromboembolism after different elective or urgent surgical procedures. *Thromb Haemost.* 2003;90(3): 446-455.
164. Bergqvist D. Prolonged prophylaxis in postoperative medicine. *Semin Thromb Hemost.* 1997;23(2):149-154.
165. Bergqvist D, Agnelli G, Cohen AT, et al; ENOXACAN II Investigators. Duration of prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism with enoxaparin after surgery for cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;346(13):975-980.
166. Rasmussen MS, Jorgensen LN, Wille-Jørgensen P, et al; FAME Investigators. Prolonged prophylaxis with dalteparin to prevent late thromboembolic complications in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery: a multicenter randomized open-label study. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2006;4(11): 2384-2390.
167. Casele H, Grobman WA. Cost-effectiveness of thromboprophylaxis with intermittent pneumatic compression at cesarean delivery. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;108(3 pt 1):535-540.
168. Chang J, Elam-Evans LD, Berg CJ, et al. Pregnancy-related mortality surveillance—United States, 1991-1999. *MMWR Surveill Summ.* 2003;52(2):1-8.
169. Lewis G, ed. *Confidential Enquiry Into Maternal and Child Health. Saving Mothers' Lives—Reviewing Maternal Deaths To Make Motherhood Safer 2003-2005.* London, England: CEMACH; 2007.
170. McColl MD, Ellison J, Greer IA, Tait RC, Walker ID. Prevalence of the post-thrombotic syndrome in young women with previous venous thromboembolism. *Br J Haematol.* 2000;108(2):272-274.
171. Rosfors S, Norén A, Hjertberg R, Persson L, Lillthors K, Törmgren S. A 16-year haemodynamic follow-up of women with pregnancy-related medically treated iliofemoral deep venous thrombosis. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2001;22(5): 448-455.
172. Andersen BS, Steffensen FH, Sørensen HT, Nielsen GL, Olsen J. The cumulative incidence of venous thromboembolism during pregnancy and puerperium—an 11 year Danish population-based study of 63,300 pregnancies. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 1998;77(2):170-173.
173. Ray JG, Chan WS. Deep vein thrombosis during pregnancy and the puerperium: a meta-analysis of the period of risk and the leg of presentation. *Obstet Gynecol Surv.* 1999;54(4):265-271.
174. Voke J, Keidan J, Pavord S, Spencer HN, Hunt BJ; British Society of Haematology Obstetric Haematology Group. The management of antenatal venous thromboembolism in the UK and Ireland: a prospective multicentre observational study. *Br J Haematol.* 2007;139(4):545-558.
175. Lopaciuk S, Bielska-Falda H, Noszczyk W, et al. Low-molecular-weight heparin versus acenocoumarol in the secondary prophylaxis of deep vein thrombosis. *Thromb Haemost.* 1999;81(1):26-31.
176. Hutten BA, Büller HR, Prins MH, van Der Heijden JF. Vitamin K antagonists or low-molecular-weight heparin for the long term treatment of symptomatic venous thromboembolism. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2000;(4):CD002001.
177. Lee AYY, Levine MN, Baker RI, et al; Randomized Comparison of Low-Molecular-Weight Heparin versus Oral Anticoagulant Therapy for the Prevention of Recurrent Venous Thromboembolism in Patients with Cancer (CLOT) Investigators. Low-molecular-weight heparin versus a coumarin for the prevention of recurrent venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;349(2):146-153.
178. Kearon C, Akl EA, Comerota AJ, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for VTE disease: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest.* 2012;141(2)(suppl):e419S-e494S.

179. Prandoni P, Lensing AWA, Piccioli A, et al. Recurrent venous thromboembolism and bleeding complications during anticoagulant treatment in patients with cancer and venous thrombosis. *Blood*. 2002;100(10):3484-3488.
180. Beyth RJ, Quinn LM, Landefeld CS. Prospective evaluation of an index for predicting the risk of major bleeding in outpatients treated with warfarin. *Am J Med*. 1998;105(2):91-99.
181. Crowther MA, Spitzer K, Julian J, et al. Pharmacokinetic profile of a low-molecular weight heparin (reviparin) in pregnant patients. A prospective cohort study. *Thromb Res*. 2000;98(2):133-138.
182. Barbour LA, Oja JL, Schultz LK. A prospective trial that demonstrates that dalteparin requirements increase in pregnancy to maintain therapeutic levels of anticoagulation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2004;191(3):1024-1029.
183. Jacobsen AF, Qvigstad E, Sandset PM. Low molecular weight heparin (dalteparin) for the treatment of venous thromboembolism in pregnancy. *BJOG*. 2003;110(2):139-144.
184. Rodie VA, Thomson AJ, Stewart FM, Quinn AJ, Walker ID, Greer IA. Low molecular weight heparin for the treatment of venous thromboembolism in pregnancy: a case series. *BJOG*. 2002;109(9):1020-1024.
185. Rey E, Rivard GE. Prophylaxis and treatment of thromboembolic diseases during pregnancy with dalteparin. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2000;71(1):19-24.
186. Smith MP, Norris LA, Steer PJ, Savidge GF, Bonnar J. Tinzaparin sodium for thrombosis treatment and prevention during pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2004;190(2):495-501.
187. Kovacs MJ, Keeney M, MacKinnon K, Boyle E. Three different chromogenic methods do not give equivalent anti-Xa levels for patients on therapeutic low molecular weight heparin (dalteparin) or unfractionated heparin. *Clin Lab Haematol*. 1999;21(1):55-60.
188. Garcia DA, Baglin TP, Weitz JI, Samama MM. Parenteral anticoagulants: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest*. 2012;141(2)(suppl):e24S-e43S.
189. Douketis JD, Spyropoulos AC, Spencer FA, et al. Perioperative management of antithrombotic therapy: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest*. 2012;141(2)(suppl):e326S-e350S.
190. Chargaff E, Olson KB. Studies on chemistry of blood coagulation. VI. Studies on the action of heparin and other anticoagulants. The influence of protamine on the anticoagulant effect in vivo. *J Biol Chem*. 1937;122:163-167.
191. Massonnet-Castel S, Pelissier E, Bara L, et al. Partial reversal of low molecular weight heparin (PK 10169) anti-Xa activity by protamine sulfate: in vitro and in vivo study during cardiac surgery with extracorporeal circulation. *Haemostasis*. 1986;16(2):139-146.
192. Gupta S, Ettles DF, Robinson GJ, Lindow SW. Inferior vena cava filter use in pregnancy: preliminary experience. *BJOG*. 2008;115(6):785-788.
193. Cheung MC, Asch MR, Gandhi S, Kingdom JCP. Temporary inferior vena caval filter use in pregnancy. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2005;3(5):1096-1097.
194. Ganguli S, Tham JC, Komlos F, Rabkin DJ. Fracture and migration of a suprarenal inferior vena cava filter in a pregnant patient. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2006;17(10):1707-1711.
195. López JA, Kearon C, Lee AY. Deep venous thrombosis. *Hematology (Am Soc Hematol Educ Program)*. 2004:439-456.
196. Pabinger I, Grafenhofer H, Kyrle PA, et al. Temporary increase in the risk for recurrence during pregnancy in women with a history of venous thromboembolism. *Blood*. 2002;100(3):1060-1062.
197. Warkentin TE, Greinacher A. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: recognition, treatment, and prevention: the Seventh ACCP Conference on Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy. *Chest*. 2004;126(3 suppl):311S-337S.
198. Badaracco MA, Vessey MP. Recurrence of venous thromboembolic disease and use of oral contraceptives. *BMJ*. 1974;1(5901):215-217.
199. Tengborn L, Bergqvist D, Mätzsch T, Bergqvist A, Hedner U. Recurrent thromboembolism in pregnancy and puerperium. Is there a need for thromboprophylaxis? *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1989;160(1):90-94.
200. Lao TT, de Swiet M, Letsky SE, Walters BN. Prophylaxis of thromboembolism in pregnancy: an alternative. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1985;92(3):202-206.
201. de Swiet M, Floyd E, Letsky E. Low risk of recurrent thromboembolism in pregnancy. *Br J Hosp Med*. 1987;38(3):264.
202. Howell R, Fidler J, Letsky E, de Swiet M. The risks of antenatal subcutaneous heparin prophylaxis: a controlled trial. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1983;90(12):1124-1128.
203. Brill-Edwards P, Ginsberg JS, Gent M, et al; Recurrence of Clot in This Pregnancy Study Group. Safety of withholding heparin in pregnant women with a history of venous thromboembolism. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;343(20):1439-1444.
204. Pabinger I, Grafenhofer H, Kaider A, et al. Risk of pregnancy-associated recurrent venous thromboembolism in women with a history of venous thrombosis. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2005;3(5):949-954.
205. De Stefano V, Martinelli I, Rossi E, et al. The risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism in pregnancy and puerperium without antithrombotic prophylaxis. *Br J Haematol*. 2006;135(3):386-391.
206. White RH, Chan WS, Zhou H, Ginsberg JS. Recurrent venous thromboembolism after pregnancy-associated versus unprovoked thromboembolism. *Thromb Haemost*. 2008;100(2):246-252.
207. Pettilä V, Kaaja R, Leinonen P, Ekblad U, Kataja M, Ikkala E. Thromboprophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin (dalteparin) in pregnancy. *Thromb Res*. 1999;96(4):275-282.
208. Rozanski C, Lazo-Langner A, Kovacs M. Prevention of venous thromboembolism (VTE) associated with pregnancy in women with a past history of VTE [abstract]. *Blood*. 2009;114(suppl):3132.
209. Blombäck M, Bremme K, Hellgren M, Siegbahn A, Lindberg H. Thromboprophylaxis with low molecular mass heparin, 'Fragmin' (dalteparin), during pregnancy—a longitudinal safety study. *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis*. 1998;9(1):1-9.
210. Brennan JE, Walker ID, Greer IA. Anti-activated factor X profiles in pregnant women receiving antenatal thromboprophylaxis with enoxaparin. *Acta Haematol*. 1999;101(1):53-55.
211. Dargaud Y, Rugeri L, Vergnes MC, et al. A risk score for the management of pregnant women with increased risk of venous thromboembolism: a multicentre prospective study. *Br J Haematol*. 2009;145(6):825-835.
212. Folkeringa N, Brouwer JL, Korteweg FJ, Veeger NJ, Erwich JJ, van der Meer J. High risk of pregnancy-related venous thromboembolism in women with multiple thrombophilic defects. *Br J Haematol*. 2007;138(1):110-116.
213. Dulitzki M, Pauzner R, Langevitz P, Pras M, Many A, Schiff E. Low-molecular-weight heparin during pregnancy and delivery: preliminary experience with 41 pregnancies. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1996;87(3):380-383.
214. Casele HL, Laifer SA, Woelkers DA, Venkataramanan R. Changes in the pharmacokinetics of the low-molecular-weight



- heparin enoxaparin sodium during pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1999;181(5 pt 1):1113-1117.
215. Ellison J, Thomson AJ, Conkie JA, McCall F, Walker D, Greer A. Thromboprophylaxis following caesarean section—a comparison of the antithrombotic properties of three low molecular weight heparins—dalteparin, enoxaparin and tinzaparin. *Thromb Haemost.* 2001;86(6):1374-1378.
  216. Roeters van Lennep JE, Meijer E, Klumper FJ, Middeldorp JM, Bloemenkamp KW, Middeldorp S. Prophylaxis with low-dose low-molecular-weight heparin during pregnancy and postpartum: is it effective? *J Thromb Haemost.* 2011;9(3):473-480.
  217. Kitchen S, Iampietro R, Woolley AM, Preston FE. Anti Xa monitoring during treatment with low molecular weight heparin or danaparoid: inter-assay variability. *Thromb Haemost.* 1999;82(4):1289-1293.
  218. Bates SM, Jaeschke R, Stevens SM, et al. Diagnosis of DVT: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest.* 2012;141(2)(suppl):e351S-e418S.
  219. Friederich PW, Sanson BJ, Simioni P, et al. Frequency of pregnancy-related venous thromboembolism in anticoagulant factor-deficient women: implications for prophylaxis. *Ann Intern Med.* 1996;125(12):955-960.
  220. Middeldorp S, Henkens CMA, Koopman MMW, et al. The incidence of venous thromboembolism in family members of patients with factor V Leiden mutation and venous thrombosis. *Ann Intern Med.* 1998;128(1):15-20.
  221. Simioni P, Sanson BJ, Prandoni P, et al. Incidence of venous thromboembolism in families with inherited thrombophilia. *Thromb Haemost.* 1999;81(2):198-202.
  222. Middeldorp S, Meinardi JR, Koopman MMW, et al. A prospective study of asymptomatic carriers of the factor V Leiden mutation to determine the incidence of venous thromboembolism. *Ann Intern Med.* 2001;135(5):322-327.
  223. Couturaud F, Leroyer C, Mottier D; Groupe d'Etude de la Thrombose de Bretagne Occidentale (G.E.T.B.O.). Risk factors and clinical presentation of venous thromboembolism according to the age of relatives of patients with factor V Leiden. *Thromb Haemost.* 2008;99(4):793-794.
  224. Middeldorp S, Libourel EJ, Hamulyak K, van der MJ, Buller HR. The risk of pregnancy-related venous thromboembolism in women who are homozygous for factor V Leiden. *Br J Haematol.* 2001;113(2):553-555.
  225. Martinelli I, Legnani C, Bucciarelli P, Grandone E, De Stefano V, Mannucci PM. Risk of pregnancy-related venous thrombosis in carriers of severe inherited thrombophilia. *Thromb Haemost.* 2001;86(3):800-803.
  226. Tormene D, Simioni P, Prandoni P, et al. Factor V Leiden mutation and the risk of venous thromboembolism in pregnant women. *Haematologica.* 2001;86(12):1305-1309.
  227. Bank I, Libourel EJ, Middeldorp S, et al. Prothrombin 20210A mutation: a mild risk factor for venous thromboembolism but not for arterial thrombotic disease and pregnancy-related complications in a family study. *Arch Intern Med.* 2004;164(17):1932-1937.
  228. Coppens M, van de Poel MH, Bank I, et al. A prospective cohort study on the absolute incidence of venous thromboembolism and arterial cardiovascular disease in asymptomatic carriers of the prothrombin 20210A mutation. *Blood.* 2006;108(8):2604-2607.
  229. Biron-Andreani C, Schved JF, Daures JP, Factor V Leiden mutation and pregnancy-related venous thromboembolism: what is the exact risk? Results from a meta-analysis. *Thromb Haemost.* 2006;96(1):14-18.
  230. Bezemer ID, van der Meer FJ, Eikenboom JC, Rosendaal FR, Doggen CJ. The value of family history as a risk indicator for venous thrombosis. *Arch Intern Med.* 2009;169(6):610-615.
  231. Simioni P, Tormene DF, Prandoni PF, et al. Incidence of venous thromboembolism in asymptomatic family members who are carriers of factor V Leiden: a prospective cohort study. *Blood.* 2002;99(6):1938-1942.
  232. De Stefano V, Martinelli I, Mannucci PM, et al. The risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism among heterozygous carriers of the G20210A prothrombin gene mutation. *Br J Haematol.* 2001;113(3):630-635.
  233. Conard J, Horellou MH, Van Dreden P, Lecompte T, Samama M. Thrombosis and pregnancy in congenital deficiencies in AT III, protein C or protein S: study of 78 women. *Thromb Haemost.* 1990;63(2):319-320.
  234. Martinelli I, Battaglioli T, De Stefano V, et al; GIT (Gruppo Italiano Trombofilia). The risk of first venous thromboembolism during pregnancy and puerperium in double heterozygotes for factor V Leiden and prothrombin G20210A. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2008;6(3):494-498.
  235. Miyakis S, Lockshin MD, Atsumi T, et al. International consensus statement on an update of the classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). *J Thromb Haemost.* 2006;4(2):295-306.
  236. Galli M, Barbui T. Antiphospholipid syndrome: clinical and diagnostic utility of laboratory tests. *Semin Thromb Hemost.* 2005;31(1):17-24.
  237. Bergrem A, Jacobsen EM, Skjeldstad FE, Jacobsen AF, Skogstad M, Sandset PM. The association of antiphospholipid antibodies with pregnancy-related first time venous thrombosis—a population-based case-control study. *Thromb Res.* 2010;125(5):e222-e227.
  238. Quenby S, Farquharson RG, Dawood F, Hughes AM, Topping J. Recurrent miscarriage and long-term thrombosis risk: a case-control study. *Hum Reprod.* 2005;20(6):1729-1732.
  239. den Heijer M, Koster T, Blom HJ, et al. Hyperhomocysteinemia as a risk factor for deep-vein thrombosis. *N Engl J Med.* 1996;334(12):759-762.
  240. Greer IA. The challenge of thrombophilia in maternal-fetal medicine. *N Engl J Med.* 2000;342(6):424-425.
  241. Broekmans AW, Bertina RM, Loeliger EA, Hofmann V, Klingemann HG. Protein C and the development of skin necrosis during anticoagulant therapy. *Thromb Haemost.* 1983;49(3):251.
  242. Loch H, Lindström FD. Severe skin necrosis following warfarin therapy in a patient with protein C deficiency. *J Intern Med.* 1993;233(3):287-289.
  243. Berkompas DC. Coumadin skin necrosis in a patient with a free protein S deficiency: case report and literature review. *Indiana Med.* 1991;84(11):788-791.
  244. Rai R, Regan L. Recurrent miscarriage. *Lancet.* 2006;368(9535):601-611.
  245. Nelson SM, Greer IA. The potential role of heparin in assisted conception. *Hum Reprod Update.* 2008;14(6):623-645.
  246. Bose P, Black S, Kadyrov M, et al. Heparin and aspirin attenuate placental apoptosis in vitro: implications for early pregnancy failure. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2005;192(1):23-30.
  247. Di Simone N, Caliendo D, Castellani R, Ferrazzani S, De Carolis S, Caruso A. Low-molecular weight heparin restores in-vitro trophoblast invasiveness and differentiation in presence of immunoglobulin G fractions obtained from patients with antiphospholipid syndrome. *Hum Reprod.* 1999;14(2):489-495.
  248. Ganapathy R, Whitley GS, Cartwright JE, Dash PR, Thilaganathan B. Effect of heparin and fractionated heparin on trophoblast invasion. *Hum Reprod.* 2007;22(9):2523-2527.



249. Ginsberg JS, Brill-Edwards P, Johnston M, et al. Relationship of antiphospholipid antibodies to pregnancy loss in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: a cross-sectional study. *Blood*. 1992;80(4):975-980.
250. Laskin CA, Bombardier C, Hannah ME, et al. Prednisone and aspirin in women with autoantibodies and unexplained recurrent fetal loss. *N Engl J Med*. 1997;337(3):148-153.
251. Rai RS, Clifford K, Cohen H, Regan L. High prospective fetal loss rate in untreated pregnancies of women with recurrent miscarriage and antiphospholipid antibodies. *Hum Reprod*. 1995;10(12):3301-3304.
252. Empson M, Lassere M, Craig JC, Scott JR. Prevention of recurrent miscarriage for women with antiphospholipid antibody or lupus anticoagulant. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2005;(2):CD002859.
253. Opatrný L, David M, Kahn SR, Shrier I, Rey E. Association between antiphospholipid antibodies and recurrent fetal loss in women without autoimmune disease: a meta-analysis. *J Rheumatol*. 2006;33(11):2214-2221.
254. Branch DW, Andres R, Digre KB, Rote NS, Scott JR. The association of antiphospholipid antibodies with severe preeclampsia. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1989;73(4):541-545.
255. Polzin WJ, Kopelman JN, Robinson RD, Read JA, Brady K. The association of antiphospholipid antibodies with pregnancies complicated by fetal growth restriction. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1991;78(6):1108-1111.
256. Lockwood CJ, Romero R, Feinberg RF, Clyne LP, Coster B, Hobbins JC. The prevalence and biologic significance of lupus anticoagulant and anticardiolipin antibodies in a general obstetric population. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1989;161(2):369-373.
257. Lockshin MD, Druzin ML, Goei S, et al. Antibody to cardiolipin as a predictor of fetal distress or death in pregnant patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *N Engl J Med*. 1985;313(3):152-156.
258. Reece EA, Gabrielli S, Cullen MT, Zheng XZ, Hobbins JC, Harris EN. Recurrent adverse pregnancy outcome and antiphospholipid antibodies. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1990;163(1 pt 1):162-169.
259. Milliez J, Lelong F, Bayani N, et al. The prevalence of autoantibodies during third-trimester pregnancy complicated by hypertension or idiopathic fetal growth retardation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1991;165(1):51-56.
260. el-Roeiy A, Myers SA, Gleicher N. The relationship between autoantibodies and intrauterine growth retardation in hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1991;164(5 pt 1):1253-1261.
261. von Tempelhoff GF, Heilmann L, Spanuth E, Kunzmann E, Hommel G. Incidence of the factor V Leiden-mutation, coagulation inhibitor deficiency, and elevated antiphospholipid-antibodies in patients with preeclampsia or HELLP-syndrome. Hemolysis, elevated liver-enzymes, low platelets. *Thromb Res*. 2000;100(4):363-365.
262. Sletnes KE, Wisløff F, Moe N, Dale PO. Antiphospholipid antibodies in pre-eclamptic women: relation to growth retardation and neonatal outcome. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 1992;71(2):112-117.
263. Lynch A, Marlar R, Murphy J, et al. Antiphospholipid antibodies in predicting adverse pregnancy outcome. A prospective study. *Ann Intern Med*. 1994;120(6):470-475.
264. Harris EN, Spinnato JA. Should anticardiolipin tests be performed in otherwise healthy pregnant women? *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1991;165(5 pt 1):1272-1277.
265. Branch DW, Porter TF, Rittenhouse L, et al; National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network. Antiphospholipid antibodies in women at risk for preeclampsia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2001;184(5):825-832, discussion 832-834.
266. Out HJ, Bruinse HW, Christiaens GC, et al. A prospective, controlled multicenter study on the obstetric risks of pregnant women with antiphospholipid antibodies. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1992;167(1):26-32.
267. Faux JA, Byron MA, Chapel HM. Clinical relevance of specific IgG antibodies of cardiolipin. *Lancet*. 1989;2(8677):1457-1458.
268. Taylor PV, Skerrow SM, Redman CW. Pre-eclampsia and anti-phospholipid antibody. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1991;98(6):604-606.
269. Sanson BJ, Friederich PW, Simioni P, et al. The risk of abortion and stillbirth in antithrombin-, protein C-, and protein S-deficient women. *Thromb Haemost*. 1996;75(3):387-388.
270. Preston FE, Rosendaal FR, Walker ID, et al. Increased fetal loss in women with heritable thrombophilia. *Lancet*. 1996;348(9032):913-916.
271. Meinardi JR, Middeldorp S, de Kam PJ, et al. Increased risk for fetal loss in carriers of the factor V Leiden mutation. *Ann Intern Med*. 1999;130(9):736-739.
272. Tormene D, Simioni P, Prandoni P, et al. The risk of fetal loss in family members of probands with factor V Leiden mutation. *Thromb Haemost*. 1999;82(4):1237-1239.
273. Middeldorp S, van de Poel MH, Bank I, et al. Unselected women with elevated levels of factor VIII:C or homocysteine are not at increased risk for obstetric complications. *Thromb Haemost*. 2004;92(4):787-790.
274. Rey E, Kahn SR, David M, Shrier I. Thrombophilic disorders and fetal loss: a meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2003;361(9361):901-908.
275. Morrison ER, Miedzybrodzka ZH, Campbell DM, et al. Prothrombotic genotypes are not associated with preeclampsia and gestational hypertension: results from a large population-based study and systematic review. *Thromb Haemost*. 2002;87(5):779-785.
276. Pabinger I, Vormittag R. Thrombophilia and pregnancy outcomes. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2005;3(8):1603-1610.
277. Rodger MA, Betancourt MT, Clark P, et al. The association of factor V Leiden and prothrombin gene mutation and placenta-mediated pregnancy complications: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *PLoS Med*. 2010;7(6):e1000292.
278. Kutteh WH. Antiphospholipid antibody-associated recurrent pregnancy loss: treatment with heparin and low-dose aspirin is superior to low-dose aspirin alone. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1996;174(5):1584-1589.
279. Rai R, Cohen H, Dave M, Regan L. Randomised controlled trial of aspirin and aspirin plus heparin in pregnant women with recurrent miscarriage associated with phospholipid antibodies (or antiphospholipid antibodies). *BMJ*. 1997;314(7076):253-257.
280. Kutteh WH, Ermel LD. A clinical trial for the treatment of antiphospholipid antibody-associated recurrent pregnancy loss with lower dose heparin and aspirin. *Am J Reprod Immunol*. 1996;35(4):402-407.
281. Cowchock S, Reece EA. Do low-risk pregnant women with antiphospholipid antibodies need to be treated? Organizing Group of the Antiphospholipid Antibody Treatment Trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1997;176(5):1099-1100.
282. Pattison NS, Chamley LW, Birdsall M, Zanderigo AM, Liddell HS, McDougall J. Does aspirin have a role in improving pregnancy outcome for women with the antiphospholipid syndrome? A randomized controlled trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2000;183(4):1008-1012.
283. Tulppala M, Marttunen M, Söderstrom-Anttila V, et al. Low-dose aspirin in prevention of miscarriage in women

- with unexplained or autoimmune related recurrent miscarriage: effect on prostacyclin and thromboxane A2 production. *Hum Reprod.* 1997;12(7):1567-1572.
284. Farquharson RG, Quenby S, Greaves M. Antiphospholipid syndrome in pregnancy: a randomized, controlled trial of treatment. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2002;100(3):408-413.
  285. Noble LS, Kutteh WH, Lashey N, Franklin RD, Herrada J. Antiphospholipid antibodies associated with recurrent pregnancy loss: prospective, multicenter, controlled pilot study comparing treatment with low-molecular-weight heparin versus unfractionated heparin. *Fertil Steril.* 2005;83(3):684-690.
  286. Stephenson MD, Ballem PJ, Tsang P, et al. Treatment of antiphospholipid antibody syndrome (APS) in pregnancy: a randomized pilot trial comparing low molecular weight heparin to unfractionated heparin. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can.* 2004;26(8):729-734.
  287. Gris JC, Quéré I, Monpeyroux F, et al. Case-control study of the frequency of thrombophilic disorders in couples with late foetal loss and no thrombotic antecedent—the Nîmes Obstetricians and Haematologists Study 5 (NOHA5). *Thromb Haemost.* 1999;81(6):891-899.
  288. Carp H, Dolitzky M, Inbal A. Thromboprophylaxis improves the live birth rate in women with consecutive recurrent miscarriages and hereditary thrombophilia. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2003;1(3):433-438.
  289. Kupferminc MJ, Fait G, Many A, et al. Low-molecular-weight heparin for the prevention of obstetric complications in women with thrombophilias. *Hypertens Pregnancy.* 2001;20(1):35-44.
  290. Goel N, Tuli A, Choudhry R. The role of aspirin versus aspirin and heparin in cases of recurrent abortions with raised anticardiolipin antibodies. *Med Sci Monit.* 2006;12(3):CR132-CR136.
  291. Triolo G, Ferrante A, Ciccio F, et al. Randomized study of subcutaneous low molecular weight heparin plus aspirin versus intravenous immunoglobulin in the treatment of recurrent fetal loss associated with antiphospholipid antibodies. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2003;48(3):728-731.
  292. Mak A, Cheung MW-L, Cheak AA, Ho RC. Combination of heparin and aspirin is superior to aspirin alone in enhancing live births in patients with recurrent pregnancy loss and positive anti-phospholipid antibodies: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and meta-regression. *Rheumatology (Oxford).* 2010;49(2):281-288.
  293. Laskin CA, Spitzer KA, Clark CA, et al. Low molecular weight heparin and aspirin for recurrent pregnancy loss: results from the randomized, controlled HepASA Trial. *J Rheumatol.* 2009;36(2):279-287.
  294. Brenner B, Hoffman R, Blumenfeld Z, Weiner Z, Younis JS. Gestational outcome in thrombophilic women with recurrent pregnancy loss treated by enoxaparin. *Thromb Haemost.* 2000;83(5):693-697.
  295. Brenner B, Hoffman R, Carp H, Dulitsky M, Younis J; LIVE-ENOX Investigators. Efficacy and safety of two doses of enoxaparin in women with thrombophilia and recurrent pregnancy loss: the LIVE-ENOX study. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2005;3(2):227-229.
  296. Brenner B, Bar J, Ellis M, Yarom I, Yohai D, Samueloff A; Live-Enox Investigators. Effects of enoxaparin on late pregnancy complications and neonatal outcome in women with recurrent pregnancy loss and thrombophilia: results from the Live-Enox study. *Fertil Steril.* 2005;84(3):770-773.
  297. Gris JC, Mercier E, Quéré I, et al. Low-molecular-weight heparin versus low-dose aspirin in women with one fetal loss and a constitutional thrombophilic disorder. *Blood.* 2004;103(10):3695-3699.
  298. Tzafettas J, Petropoulos P, Psarra A, et al. Early antiplatelet and antithrombotic therapy in patients with a history of recurrent miscarriages of known and unknown aetiology. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2005;120(1):22-26.
  299. Leduc L, Dubois E, Takser L, Rey E, David MJ. Dalteparin and low-dose aspirin in the prevention of adverse obstetric outcomes in women with inherited thrombophilia. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can.* 2007;29(10):787-793.
  300. Middeldorp S. Thrombophilia and pregnancy complications: cause or association? *J Thromb Haemost.* 2007;5(suppl 1):276-282.
  301. Walker ID, Kujovich JL, Greer IA, et al. The use of LMWH in pregnancies at risk: new evidence or perception? *J Thromb Haemost.* 2005;3(4):778-793.
  302. Lindqvist PG, Merlo J. Low molecular weight heparin for repeated pregnancy loss: is it based on solid evidence? *J Thromb Haemost.* 2005;3(2):221-223.
  303. Rodger M. Important publication missing key information. *Blood.* 2004;104(10):3413, author reply 3413-3414.
  304. Gris JC, Quere I, Dauzat M, Mares P. Response: thromboprophylaxis for first fetal loss. *Blood.* 2004;104(10):3413-3414.
  305. Rodger MA, Paidas MJ, McLintock C, et al. Inherited thrombophilia and pregnancy complications revisited. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2008;112(2 pt 1):320-324.
  306. Coppens M, Folkeringa N, Teune M, et al. Natural course of the subsequent pregnancy after a single loss in women with and without the factor V Leiden or prothrombin 20210A mutations. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2007;5(7):1444-1448.
  307. Sheppard BL, Bonnar J. An ultrastructural study of uteroplacental spiral arteries in hypertensive and normotensive pregnancy and fetal growth retardation. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol.* 1981;88(7):695-705.
  308. Steegers EA, von Dadelszen P, Duvekot JJ, Pijnenborg R. Pre-eclampsia. *Lancet.* 2010;376(9741):631-644.
  309. Young BC, Levine RJ, Karumanchi SA. Pathogenesis of pre-eclampsia. *Annu Rev Pathol.* 2010;5:173-192.
  310. Greer IA. Platelets and coagulation abnormalities in pre-eclampsia. In: Rubin P, ed. *Handbook of Hypertension: Hypertension in Pregnancy.* Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Science; 1999:163-181.
  311. Sattar N, Greer IA. Pregnancy complications and maternal cardiovascular risk: opportunities for intervention and screening? *BMJ.* 2002;325(7356):157-160.
  312. Duckitt K, Harrington D. Risk factors for pre-eclampsia at antenatal booking: systematic review of controlled studies. *BMJ.* 2005;330(7491):565-572.
  313. Clark P, Walker ID, Govan L, Wu O, Greer IA. The GOAL study: a prospective examination of the impact of factor V Leiden and ABO(H) blood groups on haemorrhagic and thrombotic pregnancy outcomes. *Br J Haematol.* 2008;140(2):236-240.
  314. Duley L, Henderson-Smart DJ, Meher S, King JF. Antiplatelet agents for preventing pre-eclampsia and its complications. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2007; (2):CD004659.
  315. Antithrombotic Trialists' (ATT) Collaboration; Baigent C, Blackwell L, Collins R, et al. Aspirin in the primary and secondary prevention of vascular disease: collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data from randomised trials. *Lancet.* 2009;373(9678):1849-1860.
  316. Greer IA. Pre-eclampsia matters. *BMJ.* 2005;330(7491):549-550.
  317. Kaaja R. Predictors and risk factors of pre-eclampsia. *Minerva Ginecol.* 2008;60(5):421-429.
  318. Hills FA, Abrahams VM, González-Timón B, et al. Heparin prevents programmed cell death in human trophoblast. *Mol Hum Reprod.* 2006;12(4):237-243.

319. Kalk JJ, Huisjes AJ, de Groot CJ, et al. Recurrence rate of pre-eclampsia in women with thrombophilia influenced by low-molecular-weight heparin treatment? *Neth J Med.* 2004;62(3):83-87.
320. Mello G, Parretti E, Fatini C, et al. Low-molecular-weight heparin lowers the recurrence rate of preeclampsia and restores the physiological vascular changes in angiotensin-converting enzyme DD women. *Hypertension.* 2005;45(1):86-91.
321. Rey E, Carneau P, David M, et al. Dalteparin for the prevention of recurrence of placental-mediated complications of pregnancy in women without thrombophilia: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2009;7(1):58-64.
322. Dao V, Rodger M. Anticoagulants to prevent placenta-mediated pregnancy complications: a review of current evidence. *Curr Opin Hematol.* 2009;16(5):386-390.
323. Bassler D, Briel M, Montori VM, et al; STOPIT-2 Study Group. Stopping randomized trials early for benefit and estimation of treatment effects: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *JAMA.* 2010;303(12):1180-1187.
324. Kaandorp S, Di Nisio M, Goddijn M, Middeldorp S. Aspirin or anticoagulants for treating recurrent miscarriage in women without antiphospholipid syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009;(1):CD004734.
325. Dolitzky M, Inbal A, Segal Y, Weiss A, Brenner B, Carp H. A randomized study of thromboprophylaxis in women with unexplained consecutive recurrent miscarriages. *Fertil Steril.* 2006;86(2):362-366.
326. Mantha S, Bauer KA, Zwicker JL. Low molecular weight heparin to achieve live birth following unexplained pregnancy loss: a systematic review. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2010;8(2):263-268.
327. Kaandorp SP, Goddijn M, van der Post JAM, et al. Aspirin plus heparin or aspirin alone in women with recurrent miscarriage. *N Engl J Med.* 2010; 362(17):1586-1596.
328. Clark P, Walker ID, Langhorne P, et al; Scottish Pregnancy Intervention Study (SPIN) Collaborators. SPIN (Scottish Pregnancy Intervention) study: a multicentre randomised controlled trial of low-molecular-weight heparin and low-dose aspirin in women with recurrent miscarriage. *Blood.* 2010;115(21):4162-4167.
329. Badawy AM, Khiary M, Sherif LS, Hassan M, Ragab A, Abdelal I. Low-molecular weight heparin in patients with recurrent early miscarriages of unknown aetiology. *J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2008;28(3):280-284.
330. Fawzy M, Shokeir T, El-Tatongy M, Warda O, El-Refaiey A-A, Mosbah A. Treatment options and pregnancy outcome in women with idiopathic recurrent miscarriage: a randomized placebo-controlled study. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2008;278(1):33-38.
331. Whitlock RP, Sun JC, Fries SE, Rubens FD, Teoh KH. Anti-thrombotic and thrombolytic therapy for valvular disease: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest.* 2012;141(2)(suppl):e576S-e600S.
332. James AH, Brancazio LR, Gehrig TR, Wang A, Ortel TL. Low-molecular-weight heparin for thromboprophylaxis in pregnant women with mechanical heart valves. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2006;19(9):543-549.
333. Oran B, Lee-Parritz A, Ansell J. Low molecular weight heparin for the prophylaxis of thromboembolism in women with prosthetic mechanical heart valves during pregnancy. *Thromb Haemost.* 2004;92(4):747-751.
334. Abildgaard U, Sandset PM, Hammerstrøm J, Gjestvang FT, Tveit A. Management of pregnant women with mechanical heart valve prosthesis: thromboprophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin. *Thromb Res.* 2009;124(3):262-267.
335. Quinn J, Von Klemperer K, Brooks R, Peebles D, Walker F, Cohen H. Use of high intensity adjusted dose low molecular weight heparin in women with mechanical heart valves during pregnancy: a single-center experience. *Haematologica.* 2009;94(11):1608-1612.
336. Yinon Y, Siu SC, Warshafsky C, et al. Use of low molecular weight heparin in pregnant women with mechanical heart valves. *Am J Cardiol.* 2009;104(9):1259-1263.
337. Kawamata K, Neki R, Yamanaka K, et al. Risks and pregnancy outcome in women with prosthetic mechanical heart valve replacement. *Circ J.* 2007;71(2):211-213.
338. Khamooshi AJ, Kashfi F, Hoseini S, Tabatabaei MB, Javadpour H, Noohi F. Anticoagulation for prosthetic heart valves in pregnancy. Is there an answer? *Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann.* 2007;15(6):493-496.
339. Lee JH, Park NH, Keum DY, Choi SY, Kwon KY, Cho CH. Low molecular weight heparin treatment in pregnant women with a mechanical heart valve prosthesis. *J Korean Med Sci.* 2007;22(2):258-261.
340. McLintock C, McCowan LME, North RA. Maternal complications and pregnancy outcome in women with mechanical prosthetic heart valves treated with enoxaparin. *BJOG.* 2009;116(12):1585-1592.
341. Injection L. (package insert). Bridgewater, NJ: Aventis Pharmaceuticals; 2004.
342. Turpie AGG, Gent M, Laupacis A, et al. A comparison of aspirin with placebo in patients treated with warfarin after heart-valve replacement. *N Engl J Med.* 1993;329(8):524-529.
343. Macklin R. Enrolling pregnant women in biomedical research. *Lancet.* 2010;375(9715):632-633.



### **VTE, Thrombophilia, Antithrombotic Therapy, and Pregnancy**

#### **Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines**

*Shannon M. Bates, MDCM; Ian A. Greer, MD, FCCP;  
Saskia Middeldorp, MD, PhD; David L. Veenstra, PharmD, PhD;  
Anne-Marie Prubulos, MD; and Per Olav Vandvik, MD, PhD*



**Table S1—[3.0.1] Systematic Reviews Examining Fetal Safety of Maternal Therapy With Oral Anticoagulants or Aspirin (Methodologic Quality)**

Study/Year	Intervention	Inclusive Literature Search	Duplicate Study Selection and Data Extraction	List of Studies (Included and Excluded) Provided	Characteristics of Included Studies Provided	Assessment of Quality of Included Studies	Appropriate Methods Used To Combine Study Findings	Assessment of Likelihood of Publication Bias
Chan et al <sup>1</sup> /2000	Studies between 1966 and 1997 examining the use of VKAs and UFH or no anticoagulation in pregnant women with mechanical heart valves	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
Hassouna and Allam <sup>2</sup> /2010	Studies between 2000 and 2009 examining the use of VKAs and UFH or no anticoagulation in pregnant women with mechanical heart valves	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
Askie et al <sup>3</sup> /2007	Randomized trials (n = 31) comparing antiplatelet agents (low-dose aspirin or dipyridamole) with either placebo or no antiplatelet agent in pregnant women (n = 32,217) for primary prevention of preeclampsia. Aspirin given alone in 27 trials (n = 31,678; 98% of women). Meta-analysis of individual patient data	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kozer et al <sup>4</sup> /2002	Controlled studies (n = 2 case controlled studies, n = 5 cohort studies, n = 1 randomized control study) examining the risk of maternal exposure to aspirin during the first trimester of pregnancy and reported on congenital malformations	Yes	Yes	Included studies only	Yes	No	Yes	No
Kozer et al <sup>5</sup> /2003	Randomized controlled studies (n = 38) examining maternal exposure to aspirin during pregnancy and reporting on seven prespecified outcomes	Yes	Yes	Included studies only	Yes	No	Yes	No

UFH = unfractionated heparin; VKA = vitamin K antagonist.

**Table S2—[Section 3.0.1] Systematic Reviews Examining Fetal Safety of Maternal Therapy With Oral Anticoagulants and Aspirin (Results)**

Anticoagulation Regimen	Spontaneous Abortions	Total Fetal Wastage <sup>a</sup>	Congenital Fetal Anomalies	Fetal or Neonatal Hemorrhage
VKAs throughout with or without heparin at term				
Chan et al <sup>1</sup> /2000	196/792 (24.7)	266/792 (33.6)	35/549 (6.4)	
Hassouna and Allam <sup>2</sup> /2010	194/833 (23.3)	274/833 (32.9)	21/559 (3.7)	Not reported
Heparin in first trimester, then VKAs throughout with or without heparin near term				
Heparin use at/before 6 wk				
Chan et al <sup>1</sup> /2000	19/129 (14.7)	21/129 (16.3)	0/108 (0.0)	Not reported
Heparin use after 6 wk				
Chan et al <sup>1</sup> /2000	19/56 (33.9)	20/56 (35.7)	4/36 (11.1)	Not reported
Heparin use at unknown time in first trimester				
Chan et al <sup>1</sup> /2000	19/45 (42.2)	20/45 (44.4)	2/30 (6.7)	Not reported
Hassouna and Allam <sup>2</sup> /2010	42/322 (13.0)	64/322 (19.9)	1/258 (0.4)	Not reported
Adjusted-dose heparin				
Chan et al <sup>1</sup> /2000	4/16 (25.0)	7/16 (43.8)	0/12 (0.0)	Not reported
Low-dose heparin				
Chan et al <sup>1</sup> /2000	1/5 (20.0)	2/5 (40.0)	0/5 (0.0)	Not reported
Regimen not specified				
Hassouna and Allam <sup>2</sup> /2010	31/157 (21.6)	61/157 (38.8)	0/96 (0.0)	Not reported
No anticoagulation				
Nothing				
Chan et al <sup>1</sup> /2000	2/35 (5.7)	7/35 (20.0)	2/33 (6.1)	Not reported
Antiplatelet agent				
Chan et al <sup>1</sup> /2000	8/67 (11.9)	13/67 (19.4)	1/59 (1.7)	Not reported
Nothing or antiplatelet agent				
Hassouna and Allam <sup>2</sup> /2010	2/31 (6.4)	4/31 (12.9)	0/27 (0.0)	Not reported

Data are presented as n/N (%). See Table S1 legend for expansion of abbreviation.

<sup>a</sup>Wastage due to abortions, stillbirths, and neonatal deaths.

**Table S3—[Section 3.0.1] Systematic Reviews of the Effect of Maternal Aspirin Use on Antithrombotic Therapy on Fetal Outcomes (Clinical Description and Results)**

Reference	Intervention	Neonatal Hemorrhage	Pregnancy Loss	Congenital Malformation	Developmental Delay	Small for Gestational Age
Askie et al/2007	Maternal aspirin (98%) and dipyridamole vs placebo or no antiplatelet agent	Antiplatelet: 287/14,583; control: 308/14,563; RR 0.93 (95% CI, 0.80-1.09)	Fetal/neonatal death antiplatelet: 484/15,412; control: 111/15,523; RR 0.90 (95% CI, 0.83-0.98)	Not reported	Not reported	Antiplatelet: 568/10,772; Control: 624/10,654; RR 0.90 (95% CI, 0.81-1.01)
Kozer et al/2002	Maternal aspirin use during the first trimester vs maternal use of other drugs or no other drugs	Not reported	Not reported	Overall: aspirin, 888/15,138; control, 1,935/49,890; OR 1.33 (95% CI, 0.94-1.89) Congenital heart defects: aspirin, 580/17,197; control, 2,406/44,774; OR 1.03 (95% CI, 0.94-1.13) Gastroschisis: aspirin, 52/261 control, 523/2,449 OR 2.37 (95% CI, 1.44-3.88)	Not reported	Not reported
Kozer et al/2003	Maternal aspirin use during pregnancy vs placebo or no aspirin	Aspirin: 238/13,003; control: 231/13,055; RR 1.03 (95% CI, 0.86-1.25)	Miscarriage (exposure in first or second trimester): aspirin, 111/7,615; control, 122/7,615; RR 0.92 (95% CI, 0.71-1.19) Miscarriage (exposure in the first trimester): aspirin, 13/53; control, 10/53; RR 1.3 (95% CI, 0.63-2.69) Perinatal mortality: aspirin, 406/14,130; control, 441/14,078; RR 0.92 (95% CI, 0.81-1.05)	Not reported	Not reported	Aspirin: 417/3,705; control: 418/3,608; RR 0.96 (95% CI, 0.87-1.07)

RR = risk ratio.

**Table S4—[Section 4.0.1, 4.0.5] Prospective Studies of the Effect of Maternal Antithrombotic Therapy on Breast-fed Infants (Methodologic Quality)**

Reference	Intervention	Study Design	Randomization Concealed	Blinding	Lost to Follow-up	Analysis	Comments
Orme et al <sup>9</sup> /1977	Warfarin exposure during breast-feeding	Case series	NA	Patients: CN Caregivers: CN Data collectors: CN Adjudicators: CN Data analysts: CN	0/7	ITT	Limited by small sample size
McKenna et al <sup>10</sup> /1983	Warfarin exposure during breast-feeding	Case series	NA	Patients: CN Caregivers: CN Data collectors: CN Adjudicators: CN Data analysts: CN	0/2	ITT	Limited by small sample size
Houwert-de Jong et al <sup>11</sup> /1981	Acenocoumarol exposure during breast-feeding	Case series	NA	Patients: CN Caregivers: CN Data collectors: CN Adjudicators: CN Data analysts: CN	0/20	ITT	Limited by small sample size
Fondevila et al <sup>12</sup> /1989	Acenocoumarol exposure during breast-feeding	Case series	NA	Patients: CN Caregivers: CN Data collectors: CN Adjudicators: CN Data analysts: CN	0/7	ITT	Limited by small sample size; two mothers had two infants included
Richter et al <sup>13</sup> /2001	LMWH exposure during breast-feeding (maternal-dose dalteparin 2,500 International Units SC)	Case series	NA	Patients: CN Caregivers: CN Data collectors: CN Adjudicators: CN Data analysts: CN	0/15	ITT	Limited by small sample size
Ito et al <sup>14</sup> /1993	Low-dose aspirin exposure during breast-feeding	Subgroup of prospective cohort	NA	Patients: CN Caregivers: CN Data collectors: CN Adjudicators: CN Data analysts: CN	0/15	ITT	Limited by small samples size; effect of aspirin on platelet function not assessed

CN = certain no; ITT = intention to treat; LMWH = low-molecular-weight heparin; NA = not applicable; SC = subcutaneous.



**Table S5—[Section 4.0.1, 4.0.5] Prospective Studies of the Effect of Maternal Antithrombotic Therapy on Breast-fed Infants (Clinical Description and Results)**

Reference	Interventions	Number Patients Analyzed	Length of Follow-up Postdelivery	Infant Hemorrhage	Presence in Breast Milk (%)	Effect in Infant Blood	Comments
Orme et al <sup>9</sup> /1977	Warfarin exposure during breast-feeding	Breast-fed infants, 7/7	Up to 10 d	0/7	Warfarin, 0/7	Warfarin, 0/7	Warfarin levels measured by chromatography (lower limit of detection, 0.08 µmol/L)
McKenna et al <sup>10</sup> /1983	Warfarin exposure during breast-feeding	Breast-fed infants, 2/2	First: 56 d Second: 130 d	0/2	Warfarin, 0/2	Elevated PT, 0/2	Presence of warfarin in breast milk detected by spectrophotometry
Houwert-de Jong et al <sup>8</sup> /1981	Acenocoumarol exposure during breast-feeding	Breast-fed infants, 7/7	Not stated	Not reported	Acenocoumarol, 0/20	Elevated thrombotest compared with normal range for age, 0/20	Presence of acenocoumarol in breast milk detected by high-performance liquid chromatography (limit of 15 ng/mL)
Fondevila et al <sup>9</sup> /1989	Acenocoumarol exposure during breast-feeding	Breast-fed infants, 7/7 (n = 4 mothers)	Not stated	No predisposition to perinatal hemorrhaging complications	Not reported	Mean INR, % PT, % factor II, % factor VII-X not different from control infants	NA
Richter et al <sup>11</sup> /2001	LMWH exposure during breast-feeding (maternal-dose dalteparin 2,500 International Units SC)	Breast-fed infants, 15/15	Up to 8 d	Not reported	Detectable anti-Xa LMWH levels, 11/15 (range, 0.006-0.037 International Units/mL)	Not reported	Therapeutic anti-Xa LMWH level, 0.5-1.5 International Units/mL 4-6 h postinjection
Ito et al <sup>11</sup> /1993	Low-dose aspirin exposure during breast-feeding	Breast-fed infants, 15/15	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	0/15 with diarrhea, drowsiness, or irritability

INR = international normalized ratio; PT = prothrombin time. See Table S4 legend for expansion of other abbreviations.

**Table S6—[Section 5.1.1, 5.1.2] Risk of Thromboembolism in Patients Undergoing Assisted Reproductive Technology**

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants/ Intervention	Follow-up	Risk of Thromboembolism	Strengths/Limitations
Mára et al <sup>13</sup> /2004	Retrospective cohort	2,748 IVF cycles	IVF cycle and pregnancy	Overall: 3/2,748 (0.1%); 95% CI, 0%-0.3%); all internal jugular DVT In women with severe ovarian hyperstimulation: 2/49 (4.1%; 95% CI, 1.1%-13.7%)	Strengths: Precision Directness Weaknesses: Single center
Aurousseau et al <sup>13</sup> /1995	Retrospective cohort	1,102 IVF procedures; no cases of ovarian hyperstimulation	Not stated	Overall: 3/1,102 (0.3%); 95% CI, 0.1%-0.8%); two arterial events and one PE	Strengths: Precision Directness Weaknesses: Single center Duration of follow-up, methods of investigation, diagnostic testing strategies not described
Delvigne et al <sup>12</sup> /1993	Multicenter retrospective cohort	128 women with ovarian hyperstimulation (cases)	Not stated	In women with ovarian hyperstimulation: 1/128 (0.8%; 95% CI, 0.1%-4.3%); cerebral thrombosis	Strengths: Multicenter Directness Weaknesses: Imprecision Unclear whether cases were consecutive Duration of follow-up, methods of investigation, diagnostic testing strategies not described 17 patients received LMWH
Morris et al <sup>14</sup> /1995	Prospective cohort	13 women with severe ovarian hyperstimulation	During hospitalization for treatment of severe ovarian hyperstimulation	In women with severe ovarian hyperstimulation: 0/13 (0%; 95% CI, 0%-22.8%)	Strengths: Directness Weaknesses: Imprecision Short follow-up

(Continued)

**Table S6—Continued**

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants/ Intervention	Follow-up	Risk of Thromboembolism	Strengths/Limitations
Bergh and Lundkvist <sup>17</sup> /1992	Survey of 12 fertility clinics	10,125 IVF cycles with 7,331 embryo transfers	Varied from clinic to clinic (range, 1-9 y)	Overall: 1/10,125 (0.01%; 95% CI, 0%-0.1%); foot thrombosis	Strengths: Multicenter Precision Directness Weaknesses: Retrospective review Diagnostic criteria and investigative protocols not specified
Jacobsen et al <sup>16</sup> /2008	Case-control study with cases from Norwegian Patient Register and controls from women who gave birth at a university hospital	268 cases diagnosed with VTE during pregnancy; 1,229 controls	Pregnancy and first 3 mo postpartum	Antepartum: singleton (adjusted OR, 4.3; 95% CI, 2.0-9.4); twins (adjusted OR, 6.6; 95% CI, 2.1-21.0) Postpartum: singleton (adjusted OR, 2.6; 95% CI, 0.8-8.5); twins (adjusted OR, 0.6; 95% CI, 0.1-7.6)	Strengths: Validated case diagnosis and comorbidities Collected data on potential confounders and potential risk factors Weaknesses: Controls from single center

IVF = in vitro fertilization; PE = pulmonary embolism. See Table S4 for expansion of other abbreviation.

**Table S7—[Section 5.1.1, 5.1.2] Risk of Bleeding in Patients Undergoing Transvaginal Oocyte Retrieval**

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants/Intervention	Follow-up	Risk of Bleeding	Strengths/Limitations and Comments
Bergh and Lundkvist <sup>18/1992</sup>	Survey of 12 fertility centers	10,125 retrievals	Varied from clinic to clinic (1-9 y)	Major bleeding: 2/10,125 (0.02%; 95% CI, 0%-0.1%); intraabdominal bleeding requiring laparotomy Vaginal bleeding: 33/10,125 (0.3%; 95% CI, 0.2%-0.5%)	Strengths: Multicenter Precision Directness Weaknesses: Retrospective definitions and methods of evaluating outcomes not specified
Ragni et al <sup>17/2009</sup>	Prospective cohort	150 consecutive retrievals	72 h	Major bleeding: 0/150 (0%; 95% CI, 0%-2.4%) Median blood loss (interquartile range): 72 (– 8 to 162 mL)	Strengths: Precision Directness Methods for detecting blood loss clearly described
Bennett et al <sup>19/1993</sup>	Prospective cohort	2,670 consecutive retrievals	Not stated	Major bleeding: 1/2,670 (0.04%; 95% CI, 0%-0.2%); intraabdominal bleeding with hypovolemic shock necessitating emergency laparotomy (1 L hemoperitoneum) Abdominal bleeding (nonmajor): 1/2,670 (0.04%; 95% CI, 0%-0.2%); trivial bleeding with 70 mL blood aspirated from the abdominal cavity Vaginal bleeding: 229/2,670 (8.6%; 95% CI, 7.6%-9.7%) > 100 mL, 22/2,670 (0.8%; 95% CI, 0.5%-1.2%) Requiring local compression, 28/2,670 (1.0%; 95% CI, 0.7%-1.5%)	Strengths: Precision Directness Prospective Weaknesses: Follow-up not described Methods for assessment of blood loss unclear
Dicker et al <sup>10/1993</sup>	Retrospective	3,656 retrievals	Not stated	Major bleeding: 3/3,656 (0.08%; 95% CI, 0%-0.2%); all intraabdominal; laparotomy and 4 units of blood required in one patient; drainage and hemostasis achieved laparoscopically in the other two patients.	Strengths: Precision Directness Weaknesses: Retrospective Follow-up not described
Tureck et al <sup>20/1993</sup>	Retrospective	674 retrievals	Not stated	Vaginal bleeding: 2/674 (0.3%; 95% CI, 0.1%-1.1%) > 100 mL, one patient required sutures Intraabdominal bleeding: 1/674 (0.1%; 95% CI, 0%-0.8%); expanding broad ligament bleed treated with diagnostic laparotomy and observation	Strengths: Precision Directness Weaknesses: Retrospective Follow-up not described

(Continued)



Table S7—Continued

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants/ Intervention	Follow-up	Risk of Bleeding	Strengths/Limitations and Comments
Govaerts et al <sup>21</sup> /1998	Retrospective	1,500 retrievals	At least to completion of IVF	Intraabdominal bleeding: 3/1,500 (0.2%; 95% CI, 0.1%-0.6%); all three required laparoscopy	Strengths: Precision Directness Weaknesses: Retrospective
Ludwig et al <sup>22</sup> /2006	Prospective cohort	1,058 retrievals	2 mo postretrieval	Vaginal bleeding: 29/1,049 (2.8%; 95% CI, 1.9%-3.9%) Requiring compression, 28/1,049 (2.7%; 95% CI, 1.9%-3.9%) Requiring tamponade for > 2 h, 1/1,049 (0.1%; 95% CI, 0%-0.5%) Intraabdominal bleeding: 0/1,049 (0%; 95% CI, 0%-0.4%)	Strengths: Precision Directness Prospective
Bodri et al <sup>23</sup> /2008	Retrospective	4,052 retrievals	2 wk	Major bleeding: 1/4,052 (0.02%; 95% CI, 0%-0.5%) intraabdominal bleeding requiring laparotomy and transfusion Other nonmajor bleeding: 13/4,052 (0.3%; 95% CI, 0.2%-0.5%); four patients had abdominal bleeding requiring laparoscopy; in the remaining nine patients, bleeding resolved spontaneously, although six were hospitalized	Strengths: Precision Directness Weaknesses: Retrospective
Baber et al <sup>24</sup> /1988	Retrospective	600 retrievals	Not stated	Vaginal bleeding: 5/600 (0.8%; 95% CI, 0.4%-1.9%); requiring insertion of vaginal pack (none had significant fall in hemoglobin level) Pelvic hematoma: 3/600 (0.5%; 95% CI, 0.2%-1.5%); all managed conservatively with no drop in hemoglobin level Overt bleeding: 1/600 (0.2%; 95% CI, 0%-0.9%); 200-mL blood loss requiring suture	Strengths: Precision Directness Weaknesses: Retrospective

**Table S8—[Section 5.1.1, 5.1.2] Risk of Thrombosis and Bleeding in Patients Receiving Prophylactic Anticoagulation Around the Time of Transvaginal Oocyte Retrieval**

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants/Intervention	Follow-up	Outcomes	Results	Strengths/Limitations and Comments
Yinon et al <sup>25</sup> /2006	Retrospective	<p>Twenty-four women considered high risk for thrombosis undergoing 73 IVF cycles and 68 oocyte retrieval procedures (five very high risk used a controlled spontaneous cycle and surrogacy). Patients received LMWH (0.6-1 mg/kg per d) starting on the day of GnRH agonist administration (when GnRH agonist protocols were used) and on the first day of gonadotropin administration in the GnRH antagonist protocol. The last injection of LMWH was administered 14-15 h prior to oocyte retrieval and resumed 12 h postprocedure. Anticoagulation was continued in pregnancy and stopped after a negative pregnancy test. Very-high-risk patients received warfarin ± aspirin prior to oocyte retrieval before transitioning to LMWH postretrieval (unless planned surrogacy).</p>	Until delivery of embryo transfer if pregnancy not achieved.	<p>Bleeding Thromboembolism</p>	<p>Bleeding: 0/24 (0; 95% CI, 0%-13.8%) Thromboembolism: 0/24 (0; 95% CI, 0%-13.8%)</p>	<p>Strengths: Directness Weaknesses: Imprecision Retrospective</p>
Qublan et al <sup>26</sup> /2008	Randomized trial	<p>Eighty-three women with at least three failed assisted reproduction cycles and at least one thrombophilia. Patients were allocated to enoxaparin 40 mg/d (n = 42) or placebo (n = 41) starting on the day of embryo transfer and continued until completion of pregnancy.</p>	<p>Until delivery. Five women in each group were lost to follow-up in the LMWH group, and three were lost to follow-up in the no-treatment group.</p>	<p>Implantation success, live births, complications</p>	<p>Bleeding: enoxaparin, 3/42 (7.1%; 95% CI, 2.5%-19.0%) Thrombocytopenia: enoxaparin, 2/42 (4.8%; 95% CI, 1.3%-15.8%) Allergic reactions: enoxaparin, 1/42 (2.4%; 95% CI, 0.4%-12.3%)</p>	<p>Strengths: Directness Randomized trial Weaknesses: Imprecision Only participants were blinded For 32% of participants, the qualifying thrombophilia was the <i>MTHFR</i> C677T mutation, which is not a risk factor for venous thrombosis Criteria for bleeding and thrombocytopenia not specified Bleeding not specifically reported for placebo group Thrombosis not specifically reported for either group</p>

(Continued)

Table S8—Continued

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants/Intervention	Follow-up	Outcomes	Results	Strengths/Limitations and Comments
Stern et al <sup>27</sup> /2003	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover trial (transfer by transfer)	One hundred forty-three women with an autoantibody (either APLA, antinuclear, or anti- $\beta_2$ -glycoprotein I) and at least 10 prior unsuccessful embryo transfers. Patients were allocated to either UFH 5,000 units bid SC and aspirin (158 transfers of 296 embryos) or placebo (142 transfers of 259 embryos) starting the day of embryo transfer until 14 wk gestation or pregnancy failure.	Until delivery or end of pregnancy	Successful implantation, live births, bleeding	Significant bleeding; heparin and aspirin, 0/158 (0; 95% CI, 0%-2.4%) Placebo, 0/142 (0; 95% CI, 0%-2.6%)	Strengths: Directness Randomized trial Double blinding Weaknesses: Criteria for significant bleeding not reported Thrombosis not specifically reported

APLA = antiphospholipid antibody; GHRH = growth-hormone-releasing hormone; GnRH = gonadotropin-releasing hormone; MTHFR = methylene tetrahydrofolate reductase variant. See Table S1 and S4 legends for expansion of other abbreviations.

**Table S9—[Section 5.1.1, 5.1.2] Evidence Profile: Prophylactic-Dose LMWH vs No Thromboprophylaxis for Women Who Undergo Assisted Reproductive Therapy**

Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Quality Assessment					Summary of Findings					
	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	Study Event Rates (%)		Anticipated Absolute Effects <sup>b</sup>		
							Without Prophylaxis <sup>a</sup>	With LMWH	Risk Without Prophylaxis <sup>a</sup>	Risk Difference With LMWH (95% CI)	
1,953 (6 RCTs), 27-35 d postoperative	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	Serious indirectness <sup>a</sup> orthopedic surgery	Serious imprecision <sup>a</sup> wide CI for control group risk estimates	Undetected	Symptomatic VTE (critical outcome), DVT, and PE Low due to indirectness and imprecision	36/862 (4.2)	15/1,091 (1.4)	RR 0.36 (0.20-0.67)	Without severe ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome 2 VTE per 1,000 <sup>d</sup>	1 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 2 fewer to 0 fewer)
5,456 (7 RCTs), 3 wk-9 mo	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	Serious indirectness <sup>a</sup> orthopedic surgery	Serious imprecision <sup>a</sup> wide CI for control group risk estimates	Undetected	Major bleed (critical outcome) Low	2/1,480 (0.14)	6/1,245 (0.48)	RR 0.43 (0.11-1.65)	30 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>d</sup>	17 fewer bleeding events per 1,000 (from 27 fewer to 20 more)

Bibliography: Hull RD, et al. Extended out of hospital low molecular weight heparin prophylaxis against deep vein thrombosis in patients after elective hip arthroplasty: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med.* 2001;135:858-869. RCT = randomized controlled trial. See Table S4 and S6 legends for expansion of other abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>The population did not include pregnant women. Different doses of LMWH were used, treatment was initiated variably before or after surgery with a duration of ~7 days (in hospital). Outcomes were variably reported, meta-analysis also provides other outcomes such as mortality, asymptomatic DVT, and specific bleed outcomes (wound hematoma, transfusion). Follow-up varied between trials from 3 wk to 9 mo.

<sup>b</sup>Time frame is 9 mo for all outcomes.

<sup>c</sup>Imprecise control group risk estimates for bleeding events and for VTE in the subset of women with ovarian hyperstimulation (Tables S6-S8).

<sup>d</sup>Control group risk for VTE and major bleed come from observational studies of women undergoing assisted reproductive technology (Tables S6-S8).



**Table S10—[Section 6.2.1-6.2.4] Risk Factors for Pregnancy-Associated VTE**

Risk Factor	Adjusted OR	95% CI
Immobility (strict bed rest for $\geq 1$ wk in the antepartum period) with BMI $\geq 25$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> (antenatal risk)	62.3	11.5-337.0
Immobility (strict bed rest for $\geq 1$ wk in the antepartum period) with BMI $\geq 25$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> (postpartum risk)	40.1	8.0-201.5
Factor V Leiden homozygosity	34.4	9.9-120.1
Prothrombin G20210A homozygosity	26.4	1.2-559.3
Previous VTE	24.8	17.1-36
Postpartum infection (clinical signs/symptoms + fever + elevated WBC) following vaginal delivery	20.2	6.4-63.5
Postpartum hemorrhage $\geq 1,000$ mL with surgery	12.0	3.9-36.9
Immobility (strict bed rest for $\geq 1$ wk in the antepartum period) with BMI $< 25$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> (postpartum risk)	10.8	4.0-28.8
Systemic lupus erythematosus	8.7	5.8-13.0
Factor V Leiden heterozygosity	8.3	5.4-12.7
Immobility (strict bed rest for $\geq 1$ wk in the antepartum period) with BMI $< 25$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> (antepartum risk)	7.7	3.2-19.0
Blood transfusion	7.6	6.2-9.4
Heart disease	7.1	6.2-8.3
Prothrombin G20210A heterozygosity	6.8	2.5-18.8
Sickle cell disease	6.7	4.4-10.1
Postpartum infection (clinical signs/symptoms + fever + elevated WBC) following cesarean section	6.2	2.4-16.2
Preeclampsia with fetal growth restriction	5.8	2.1-16
Multiple pregnancy	4.2	1.8-9.7
BMI $> 30$ kg/m <sup>2</sup>	5.3	2.1-13.5
Protein C deficiency	4.8	2.2-10.6
Antithrombin deficiency	4.7	1.3-17.0
Assisted reproductive techniques	4.3	2.0-9.4
Postpartum hemorrhage $> 1$ L	4.1	2.3-7.3
Fetal growth restriction (gestational age + sex-adjusted birth weight $< 2.5$ th percentile)	3.8	1.4-10.2
Smoking (10-30 cigarettes/d prior to or during pregnancy) (postpartum risk)	3.4	2.0-5.5
Protein S deficiency	3.2	1.5-6.9
Preeclampsia	3.1	1.8-5.3
Emergency cesarean section	2.7	1.8-4.1
Anemia	2.6	2.2-2.9
Smoking (10-30 cigarettes/d prior to or during pregnancy) (antepartum risk)	2.1	1.3-3.4
Smoking (5-9 cigarettes/d prior to or during pregnancy) (postpartum risk)	2.0	1.1-3.7
Weight gain $> 21$ kg (vs 7-21 kg)	1.6	1.1-2.6
Parity $> 1$	1.5	1.1-1.9
Age $> 35$ y	1.3	1.0-1.7
Cesarean section (nonemergent)	1.3	0.7-2.2

Data are from Jacobsen et al,<sup>16</sup> Jacobsen et al,<sup>28</sup> Lindqvist et al,<sup>29</sup> Simpson et al,<sup>30</sup> Knight,<sup>31</sup> Roberston et al,<sup>32</sup> and James et al.<sup>33</sup>

**Table S11—[6.2.1-6.2.4] Risk Factors for Postpartum VTE**

Risk Factor	Adjusted OR	95% CIs
Immobility (strict bed rest for $\geq 1$ wk in the antepartum period) with BMI $\geq 25$ kg/m <sup>2</sup>	40.1	8.0-201.5
Postpartum infection (clinical signs/symptoms + fever + elevated WBC) following vaginal delivery	20.2	6.4-63.5
Postpartum hemorrhage $\geq 1,000$ mL with surgery	12.0	3.9-36.9
Immobility (strict bed rest for $\geq 1$ wk in the antepartum period) with BMI $< 25$ kg/m <sup>2</sup>	10.8	4.0-28.8
Postpartum infection (clinical signs/symptoms + fever + elevated WBC) following cesarean section	6.2	2.4-16.2
Preeclampsia with fetal growth restriction	5.8	2.1-16
Postpartum hemorrhage $> 1$ L	4.1	2.3-7.3
Fetal growth restriction (gestational age + sex-adjusted birth weight $< 2.5$ th percentile)	3.8	1.4-10.2
Smoking (10-30 cigarettes/d prior to or during pregnancy)	3.4	2.0-5.5
Preeclampsia	3.1	1.8-5.3
Emergency cesarean section	2.7	1.8-4.1
BMI prepregnancy $> 25$ kg/m <sup>2</sup>	2.4	1.7-3.3
Smoking (5-9 cigarettes/d prior to or during pregnancy)	2.0	1.1-3.7
Cesarean section (nonemergent)	1.3	0.7-2.2
Data are from Jacobsen et al. <sup>16</sup>		

Table S12—[Section 6.2.1-6.2.4] Evidence Profile: LMWH vs No Thromboprophylaxis for Prevention of VTE in Women Undergoing Cesarean Section

Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Quality Assessment					Summary of Findings					
	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	Study Event Rates (%) <sup>a</sup>		Anticipated Absolute Effects <sup>b</sup>		
							Without Prophylaxis	With LWMH	Risk Without Prophylaxis <sup>c</sup>	Risk Difference With LWMH (95% CI)	
Symptomatic VTE (critical outcome), DVT, and PE (only symptomatic PE, not symptomatic DVT; reported separately in meta-analysis) measured at end of follow-up											
4,890 (3 RCTs), 3 wk-9 mo	No serious risk of bias <sup>c</sup>	No serious inconsistency	Serious indirectness: general surgery	No serious imprecision	Undetected	Moderate	22/2,445 (0.9)	5/2,445 (0.02)	RR 0.29 (0.11-0.73)	Low risk 5 VTE per 1,000 <sup>d</sup> 3 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 4 fewer to 1 fewer)	
High risk											
							30 VTE per 1,000 <sup>d</sup>	21 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 27 fewer to 9 fewer)			
Major bleed (critical outcome)											
5,456 (7 RCTs), 3 wk-9 mo	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	Serious indirectness <sup>e</sup> : Heterogeneous definitions of bleeding events	No serious imprecision	Undetected	Moderate	37/2,728 (0.14)	74/2,728 (0.48)	RR 2.03 (1.37-3.01)	20 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>f</sup> 20 more bleeding events per 1,000 (from 8 more to 40 more)	

**Table S13—Decision and Cost-Effectiveness Analyses/Economic Analyses Study: Methodologic Key Information**

Data Sources													
Study/Year	Type of Analysis	Type of Model	All Relevant Strategies Considered?	Perspect. of Analysis	Time Frame of Analysis	Probabilities and Rates	Costs		QOL Measures	Benefits or Costs and Benefits Completely Specified?	Are Costs and Benefits Discounted?	Were Sensitivity Analyses Performed?	Comments
							Published C/E analyses	Published C/E or decision analysis					
Casale and Grobman <sup>34/2006</sup>	Cost-effective	Markov transition state model	No, pharmacol. prophylaxis not considered	Health-care payer	Life-time of cohort	RCT from different patient population	Costs included: Underlying disease treatment complications	Costs included: QOL metrics: Not stated	Published C/E or decision analysis	Yes	Yes, 3%	Deterministic Yes Probabilistic No	Pharmacologic prophylaxis not included as a comparator Moderate uncertainty in baseline risk and effectiveness Satisfactory quality but not definitive given data uncertainties as noted by authors
Quality category*:													Very good
													Good
													Satisfactory
													Unsatisfactory

QOL = quality of life. See Table S9 legend for expansion of other abbreviation.

<sup>a</sup>Definitions: very good, 90% to 100% of quality items present; good, 80% to 89% of quality items present; satisfactory, 60% to 79% of quality items present; unsatisfactory, < 60% of quality items present.



**Table S14—Decision and Cost-Effectiveness Analyses/Economic Analyses Study: Description of Study**

Outcome Measures						
Study/Year	Strategies Analyzed	Target Populations <sup>a</sup>	Effectiveness	Cost <sup>b</sup>		Funding Sources and Potential Conflicts of Interest
Casele and Grobman <sup>34</sup> /2006	Pneumatic compression vs no prophylaxis	Pregnant women undergoing cesarean section who were not anticoagulated during pregnancy		QALYs	USD 2004	Funding source (if any) not stated
				Results (expected utilities, ICERs, etc)		
				Delta costs: + \$ 104		
				Delta QALYs: + 0.00263		
				ICER: 39,545 (range up to 200,000 +, depending on assumptions)		
						Pharmacologic prophylaxis not included as a comparator
						Moderate uncertainty in baseline risk and effectiveness
						Satisfactory quality, but not definitive given data uncertainties as noted by authors

ICER = incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; QALY = quality adjusted life year; USD = US dollar.

<sup>a</sup>Types of patients/multiple subgroups.

<sup>b</sup>Average wholesale price (eg, Redbook, Bluebook) or average sales price based estimate.

<sup>c</sup>Quality category definitions: very good, 90% to 100% of quality items present; good, 80% to 89% of quality items present; satisfactory, 60% to 79% of quality items present; unsatisfactory, < 60% of quality items present.

**Table S15—[Section 7.1.2] Evidence Profile: Should LMWH Rather Than VKAs Be Used for Long-term Treatment of VTE in Pregnant Women?**

Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Quality Assessment				Summary of Findings			
	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Study Event Rates (%)		Anticipated Absolute Effects During Pregnancy
						Overall Quality of Evidence	Relative Effect (95% CI)	
2,496 (7 RCTs), 6 mo	Serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	Recurrent symptomatic VTE (critical outcome), DVT, and PE		
	No studies were blinded					Moderate due to risk of bias	RR 0.62 (0.46-0.84)	30 VTE per 1,000
2,727 (8 RCTs), 6 mo	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious imprecision	Undetected	Major bleeding (critical outcome)	RR 0.81 (0.55-1.2)	20 bleeding events per 1,000
	Lack of blinding not serious <sup>b</sup>			CI includes important benefit and harm				4 fewer bleeding events per 1,000 (from 9 fewer to 4 more)
100 (1 RCT), not reported	Serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	Serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	PTS (important outcome): self-reported leg symptoms and signs	RR 0.85 (0.77-0.94)	480 PTS per 1,000
	Patients and investigators not blinded		Predictive value from 3 mo to long term uncertain					72 fewer PTS per 1,000 (from 110 fewer to 29 fewer)

Bibliography: Kearon C, Akl EA, Comerato AJ, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for VTE disease: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest*. 2012;141(2)(suppl 1):e418S-e494S. Prandoni P, Lensing AW, Piccoli A, et al. Recurrent venous thromboembolism and bleeding complications during anticoagulant treatment in patients with cancer and venous thrombosis. *Blood*. 2002;100:3484-3489. Beyth RJ, Quinn LM, Landefeld CS. Prospective evaluation of an index for predicting the risk of major bleeding in outpatients treated with warfarin. *Am J Med*. 1998;105:91-99.

Meta-analysis is based on RCTs as referenced in the text of Kearon et al<sup>175</sup> in this guideline. Limited to LMWH regimens that used  $\geq 50\%$  of the acute treatment dose during the extended phase of treatment. PTS = postthrombotic syndrome. See Table S1, S3, S4, S6, and S9 legends for expansion of other abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Control group risk estimate for VTE with VKAs comes from cohort study by Prandoni et al, adjusted to 6-mo time frame.

<sup>b</sup>Outcome less subjective: Borderline decision.

<sup>c</sup>Control group risk estimate for major bleeding events comes from cohort studies by Prandoni et al and Beyth et al, adjusted to 6-mo time frame.

<sup>d</sup>Control group risk estimate for PTS comes from observational study of pregnant women (most mild).<sup>171</sup>

**Table S16—[Section 8.2.2, 8.2.3] Risk of Recurrent VTE in Pregnant Women Without Antepartum Thrombosis Prophylaxis**

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants	Intervention	Outcomes	Follow-up	Results	Strengths	Limitations
Prospective studies								
Howell et al <sup>35/1983</sup>	RCT	N = 40; n = 20 in control arm	No antepartum prophylaxis; postpartum UFH 8,000 bid	Bleeding Osteopenia Recurrent VTE	6 wk postpartum	Antepartum: 1 (5%; 95% CI, 0.1%-25%) Postpartum: 0	RCT, concealment of allocation adequate	Primary outcome was side effects (osteopenia, antenatal bleeding) rather than VTE, no ITT analysis, mean gestational age at entry 14 wk (range, 8-37 wk), no objective diagnosis of first VTE, no description of diagnostic techniques for recurrent DVT
Lao et al <sup>36/1985</sup> and de Swiet et al <sup>37/1987</sup> (latter report provides additional data to the first)	Prospective cohort study	N = 59; 25% women had single previous VTE related to pregnancy; 39% had single previous VTE during OCP use	No antepartum prophylaxis (two protocol violations in which patients received antepartum anticoagulants) Dextran during delivery and heparin or warfarin for 6 wk postpartum	Recurrent VTE	6 wk postpartum	Antepartum: 0 Postpartum: 0		
Brill-Edwards et al <sup>38/2000</sup>	Prospective cohort	N = 125 women with one objectively diagnosed previous VTE	No antepartum prophylaxis; postpartum prophylaxis with warfarin	Recurrent VTE antepartum Recurrent VTE postpartum	3 mo postpartum	Antepartum: 3/125; 2.4% (95% CI, 0.2%-6.9%)	Prospective, single episode of prior VTE only	Inclusion at median gestational age of 15 wk, exclusion of women with known thrombophilia

(Continued)

Table S16—Continued

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants	Intervention	Outcomes	Follow-up	Results	Strengths	Limitations
						No recurrences in women with no thrombophilia and a provoked first VTE (0/44, 0%; 95% CI, 0%-8.0%); of women with an idiopathic first VTE or abnormal thrombophilia testing, 3/51 (5.9%; 95% CI, 1.2%-16.2%) developed recurrence Postpartum: 3/122 (2.5%; 95% CI, 0.5%-7.0%)		
Retrospective studies								
Badaracco et al <sup>39</sup> /1974	Retrospective cohort study	N = 30 women with previous VTE	Not stated	Recurrent VTE during pregnancy or postpartum period	Not stated	Total: 6/15 (40%; (95% CI, 16.3%-67.7%); distribution between antepartum and postpartum not provided		Retrospective, included women with more than one previous VTE, questionnaire data, no objective diagnosis
Tengborn et al <sup>40</sup> /1989	Retrospective cohort study	N = 72 women with previous VTE (87 pregnancies; 67 pregnancies without antepartum prophylaxis)	No antepartum prophylaxis Postpartum: no prophylaxis (n = 30) Various anticoagulant regimens, including UFH and dextran (n = 57)	Recurrent VTE; superficial thrombophlebitis (not included in this table)	Until postpartum; time not stated	Antepartum: 5/67 (7.5%; 95% CI, 2%-14%) Postpartum: 2/30 (6.7%; 95% CI, 0%-21%) All recurrences in women with previous VTE elicited by pregnancy or OCP use		Retrospective, questionnaire data, no objective diagnosis, women with multiple previous episodes of VTE included, some women with hereditary thrombophilia (anticoagulant inhibitor deficiencies n = 3; defective fibrinolysis n = 18), wide range of postpartum regimens, potential confounding by indication

(Continued)



Table S16—Continued

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants	Intervention	Outcomes	Follow-up	Results	Strengths	Limitations
Pabinger et al <sup>11</sup> /2005	Retrospective cohort study	N = 109 women with previous VTE (197 pregnancies; 284 postpartum periods, including after terminations, miscarriages, stillbirths, and live births)	No antepartum prophylaxis; inconsistent use of postpartum prophylaxis, mainly low-dose LMWH (details not stated)	Cumulative incidence of recurrent VTE antepartum Recurrent VTE postpartum	6 wk postpartum	Antepartum: 8/197 (cumulative incidence, 6.2%; 95% CI, 1.6%-10.6%)  No predictive value of thrombophilia or whether first episode was idiopathic  Recurrence risk in subgroup of women with first VTE associated with OCP use 10% vs 2.7% if first VTE not associated with OCP use (ns)  Postpartum: overall, 15/284 (5.3%); 95% CI, 3.0%-8.6%); without prophylaxis, 10/187 (5.4%); 95% CI, 2.6%-9.6%); with prophylaxis, 5/97 (5.2%); 95% CI, 1.7%-11.6%)	Assessed risk for full period of pregnancy (ie, including early terminations, miscarriages)	Retrospective, included women with more than one previous VTE, not all VTE objectively diagnosed, potential confounding by indication

(Continued)

Table S16—Continued

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants	Intervention	Outcomes	Follow-up	Results	Strengths	Limitations
De Stefano et al <sup>12</sup> /2006	Retrospective cohort study	N = 88 women with previous VTE (155 pregnancies)	No antepartum prophylaxis; 120 pregnancies without postpartum prophylaxis	Cumulative incidence of recurrent VTE antepartum Recurrent VTE postpartum	6 wk postpartum if delivery after 16 wk gestational age	Antepartum: 9/155 (5.8%; 95% CI, 3.0%-10.6%) Subgroup of women with and without thrombophilia: 7.9% vs 4.2%	Subgroup of women with first VTE hormonally provoked (ie, related to pregnancy or OCP use), 9.5% vs unprovoked, 4.2%, vs transient nonhormonal risk factor, 0% Postpartum: 8.3% (95% CI, 4.5%-14.6%) First pregnancy-related VTE, 15.5% vs first event-related to OCP use, 0%, vs unprovoked, 3.1%, vs transient nonhormonal risk factor, 7.1%	Retrospective, included more than one previous VTE, not all VTE objectively diagnosed, potential confounding by indication

ns = not significant; OCP = oral contraception. See Table S1, S4, and S9 for expansion of other abbreviations.

**Table S17—[Section 8.2.2, 8.2.3] Risk of Symptomatic Recurrent VTE and Bleeding in Pregnant Women Receiving Antepartum Thrombosis Prophylaxis: RCTs**

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants	Intervention	Control	Outcomes	Follow-up	Results	Strengths	Limitations
Howell et al <sup>39</sup> /1983	RCT	N = 40; n = 20 in prophylaxis arm	Antepartum prophylaxis UFH 10,000 units bid starting at enrollment (mean, 14 wk; range, 8-37 wk) Postpartum UFH 8,000 units bid for 6 wk	No antepartum prophylaxis Postpartum UFH 8,000 units bid	Bleeding Osteopenia Recurrent VTE	6 wk postpartum	Recurrent VTE Intervention arm: Antepartum, 0/20 Postpartum, 0/20 Control arm: antepartum, 1/20 (5%; 95% CI, 0.1%-25%); postpartum, 0/20 (0%; 95% CI, 0%-16.8%); estimate of effect size, RR 0.33 (95% CI, 0.01-7.72) Bleeding Intervention arm, 2/20 (10%; 95% CI, 1.24%-31.7%); control arm, 0/20 (0%; 95% CI, 0%-16.8%); estimate of effect size, RR 5.00 (95% CI, 0.26-98.00)	Concealment of allocation adequate	Primary outcome was side effects (osteopenia, antenatal bleeding) rather than VTE, no ITT analysis, mean gestational age at entry 16 wk (range 8-37 wk), no objective diagnosis of first VTE, no description of diagnostic techniques of recurrence
Gates et al <sup>40</sup> /2004	RCT	N = 16	Enoxaparin 40 mg started from antenatal recruitment until 6 wk postpartum	Placebo (1 mL saline)	Symptomatic confirmed VTE Symptomatic osteoporotic fractures	6 mo postpartum	Recurrent VTE Intervention arm: antepartum, 0/8 (0.0%; 95% CI, 0.0%-36.9%); postpartum, 0/8 (0.0%; 95% CI, 0.0%-36.9%) Control arm: antepartum, 0/8 (0.0%; 95% CI, 0.0%-36.9%); postpartum, 1/8 (12.5%; 95% CI, 3.1%-52.7%); estimate of effect size, RR 0.33 (95% CI, 0.02-7.14) Bleeding: none reported	Adequate concealment of allocation Adequate blinding of patients and caregivers	Pilot study, too small to draw any conclusions, recruitment at all gestational ages, the majority > 20 wk, some crossover between groups postpartum

(Continued)

**Table S17—Continued**

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants	Intervention	Control	Outcomes	Follow-up	Results	Strengths	Limitations
Pettiti et al <sup>4</sup> /1994	RCT	n = 102 women with previous proximal VTE or previous distal VTE and protein S and protein C deficiency, activated protein C resistance, or associated with pregnancy or OCP use (three women with VTE during current pregnancy excluded)	Antepartum dalteparin once daily at starting dose of 5,000 International Units (<85 kg) or 7,500 International Units (>85 kg), then dose adjusted to maintain anti-Xa levels > 0.20 units/mL 3 h after injection	Antepartum UFH bid SC starting at 7,500 International Units, then dose adjusted to maintain aPTT 5-15 s above upper limit of normal	Recurrent VTE Bleeding episodes	6 wk postpartum	Recurrent VTE Dalteparin arm: 0/48 UFH arm: 0/54 safety, any bleeding complication Dalteparin arm: 9/50 (18%; 95% CI, 7.0%-29%) UFH arm: 35/55 (64%; 95% CI, 51%-77%)	Concealment of allocation adequate, objectively confirmed previous VTE	Not blinded

aPTT = activated partial thromboplastin time. See Table S1, S3, S4, S16 for expansion of other abbreviations.



**Table S18—[8.2.2, 8.2.3] Risk of Recurrent VTE in Pregnant Women Receiving Antepartum Thrombosis Prophylaxis: Observational Studies**

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants	Intervention	Outcomes	Follow-up	Results	Strengths	Limitations
Prospective studies								
Blombäck et al <sup>13</sup> /1998	Prospective cohort study	25 women with previous VTE	Dalteparin weight-adjusted starting dose, then adjusted to target anti-Xa levels of 0.20–0.40 units/mL 3 h postinjection	Recurrent VTE Anti-Xa levels	6 wk postpartum	Antepartum recurrent VTE: 0/25 (0%; 95% CI, 0%–13.7%)	Objective diagnosis of first VTE	Uncontrolled, 14 women were recruited in the second trimester of pregnancy; 3 women did not complete the study and were withdrawn from the analysis
Brennand et al <sup>16</sup> /1999	Prospective cohort study	16 women with an indication for thrombosis prophylaxis during pregnancy; 14 had a history of previous VTE	Enoxaparin 40 mg once daily; postpartum not stated	Anti-Xa levels Recurrent VTE reported in the article	Not stated	Antepartum: 0/14 (0%; 95% CI, 0%–23.2%) Postpartum: 1/14 (7.1%; 95% CI, 0.2%–34%)		Pharmacodynamic study
Bauersachs et al <sup>17</sup> /2007	Prospective cohort management study	810 pregnant women at increased risk for VTE; 225 with previous VTE	Antepartum clinical surveillance; intermediate- and high-dose dalteparin according to risk stratification based on history (see legend) Women with previous VTE/total: low-risk group, 49/225; high-risk group, 339/469; very-high-risk group, 104/116	Symptomatic recurrent VTE, clinically relevant bleeding, serious bleeding	Not stated	Low-risk group: 0/49 (95% CI, 0%–7.3%) High-risk group: 2/338 (0.6%; 95% CI, 0.0%–2.1%) Very-high-risk group: 2/104 (1.9%; 95% CI, 0.2%–6.8%)		Uncontrolled, included women with multiple episodes of VTE, includes women without history of VTE, data in this table deduced from article
Dargaud et al <sup>18</sup> /2009	Prospective cohort management study	286 pregnant women at increased risk for VTE; 183 with previous VTE	Antepartum clinical surveillance; enoxaparin 40 mg once daily (60 mg in BMI > 35 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) starting in the third trimester or starting early in pregnancy according to a risk score (see legend); all in addition to class 2 stockings	Symptomatic recurrent VTE, bleeding, HIT, symptomatic osteoporosis, serious urticarial rash related to heparin	Not stated, likely 8 wk postpartum	No antenatal LMWH (risk score <3): 0/25 (95% CI, 0%–13.7%) LMWH start in third trimester (risk score 3–5): antepartum, 0/89 (0%; 95% CI, 0%–4.1%); postpartum, 1/89 (1.1%; 95% CI, 0.0%–6.1%)		Uncontrolled, may have included women with multiple episodes of VTE, included women without history of VTE, data in this table deduced from article

(Continued)

Table S18—Continued

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants	Intervention	Outcomes	Follow-up	Results	Strengths	Limitations
			No antenatal LMWH, n = 25 Start LMWH in third trimester, n = 89 LMWH throughout pregnancy, n = 69			LMWH throughout pregnancy (risk score $\geq 6$ ): antepartum, 0/69 (0%; 95% CI, 0%-5.2%); postpartum, 1/69 (1.5%; 95% CI, 0.0%-7.8%) Bleeding: one postpartum hemorrhage in a woman not receiving LMWH		
Folkeringa et al <sup>49</sup> /2007	Prospective cohort study	55 women from families with antithrombin, protein C, and protein S deficiency; 22 with a history of VTE. 19 women received thrombosis prophylaxis during pregnancy; 18 were antithrombin, protein C, or protein S deficient	Adjusted-dose UFH or LMWH before 16 wk of gestation and after 36 wk of gestation, with VKAs in between or adjusted-dose LMWH throughout pregnancy	Fetal loss Symptomatic recurrent VTE reported in the article	Not stated	Not stated whether antepartum or postpartum: 2/19 (10.5%; 95% CI, 1.3%-33.1%) No major bleeding reported		Uncontrolled, may have included women with multiple episodes of VTE, included women without history of VTE, data in this table deduced from article

(Continued)

**Table S18—Continued**

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants	Intervention	Outcomes	Follow-up	Results	Strengths	Limitations
Rozanski et al <sup>50</sup> /2009	Prospective cohort management study	90 pregnant women at increased risk for VTE	Antepartum clinical surveillance in women with previous provoked VTE (major risk factor), n = 30; 37 pregnancies Dalteparin (dose not stated) in women with previous idiopathic VTE n = 60; 99 pregnancies	Symptomatic recurrent VTE	Not stated	Previous provoked VTE, clinical surveillance: antepartum recurrent VTE, 1/37 (2.7%; 95% CI, 0.5%-13.8%); postpartum, 0/36 Previous idiopathic VTE, dalteparin: antepartum, recurrent VTE 3/99 (3.0%; 95% CI, 1.0%-8.5%); postpartum, 0/96 Major bleeding: None		Uncontrolled, abstract only, definition of provoked vs idiopathic previous VTE unclear
Retrospective studies								
Tengborn et al <sup>40</sup> /1989	Retrospective cohort study	72 women with previous VTE, 87 pregnancies; 20 pregnancies with antepartum prophylaxis	Antepartum: UFH 5,000 International Units bid (n = 11), 10,000 International Units bid (n = 1), 12,500 International Units bid (n = 2), aPTT-based regimen (n = 1) UFH started at median of 16th (range, before conception-30 wk) gestational age Postpartum: UFH, dose not stated (n = 13); dextran, dose not stated (n = 42); UFH and dextran (n = 1); UFH and antithrombin concentrate (n = 1)	Recurrent VTE, superficial thrombophlebitis (not included in this table)	Until postpartum, time not stated	Antepartum: 3/20 (15%, 95% CI, 0%-31%); two occurring in lowest UFH dose; one in woman with antithrombin deficiency who had aPTT-adjusted dose UFH Postpartum: 2/57 (3.5%; 95% CI, 0.4%-12.1%) despite prophylaxis All recurrences in women with previous VTE elicited by pregnancy or OCP use		Retrospective, questionnaire data, no objective diagnosis, women with multiple previous episodes of VTE included, some women with hereditary thrombophilia (anticoagulant inhibitor deficiencies, n = 3; defective fibrinolysis, n = 18), potential confounding by indication

(Continued)

Table S18—Continued

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants	Intervention	Outcomes	Follow-up	Results	Strengths	Limitations
Sanson et al <sup>17</sup> /1999	Systematic review of published cohort studies and cohorts from international interest group	486 pregnancies from 21 reports; 149 pregnancies in women with previous VTE	Several doses and types of LMWH; low-dose defined as <75 anti-Xa units/kg; intermediate dose defined as 75-150 anti-Xa units/kg; high dose defined as > 150 anti-Xa units/kg	Adverse pregnancy events; adverse fetal/neonatal events Secondary: VTE, thrombocytopenia, osteoporosis, hemorrhagic episodes	Not stated	3/149 (2%; 95% CI, 0.7-5.6%) had phlebitis; 2 had thrombophilia; 1 had APLAs; 1 low-dose; 2 intermediate-dose	Review of small case series and ad hoc identified cohorts, potential for publication and reporting bias, risk per dose LMWH cannot be calculated from the published data, diagnostic criteria first and recurrent VTE not stated, number of previous VTE not stated	
Lepercq et al <sup>12</sup> /2001	Retrospective cohort study	604 women with 649 pregnancies	Several doses and indications of enoxaparin thrombosis prophylaxis in 574 cases	Maternal safety, pregnancy outcome, neonatal safety, VTE recurrence		Antepartum recurrence, n = 5 (denominator unclear), all in women who had had previous VTE in current pregnancy while taking 40 mg Postpartum recurrence, n = 3	Primary outcome safety rather than recurrent VTE Denominator of women with history of VTE cannot be extracted from the article, unclear whether recurrences are real recurrences or extensions from recent acute VTE, diagnostic criteria first and recurrent VTE not stated, number of previous VTE not stated (Continued)	

Table S18—Continued

Study/Year	Type of Study	Participants	Intervention	Outcomes	Follow-up	Results	Strengths	Limitations
Pabinger et al <sup>14</sup> /2005	Retrospective cohort study	Unknown number of women with previous VTE who had 87 pregnancies with antepartum prophylaxis 284 postpartum periods, including after terminations, miscarriages, stillbirths, and live births	Antepartum UFH 5,000 bid or low-dose enoxaparin or dalteparin (dose not stated); inconsistent use of postpartum prophylaxis, mainly low-dose LMWH (details not stated)	Cumulative incidence of recurrent VTE antepartum, recurrent VTE postpartum	6 wk postpartum	Antepartum: 0/87 (0%; 95% CI, 0%-4.1%) Postpartum: overall, 15/284 (5.3%); 95% CI, 3.0%-8.6%; without prophylaxis, 10/187 (5.3%); 95% CI, 2.6%-9.6%; with prophylaxis, 5/97 (5.2%; 95% CI, 1.7%-11.6%)	Assessed risk of full period of pregnancy (ie, including early terminations, miscarriages)	Included women with more than one previous VTE, not all VTE objectively diagnosed, potential confounding by indication

Bauersachs et al<sup>17</sup>: Risk stratification for women with previous VTE: (1) low-risk patients, prior secondary VTE (not associated with thrombophilia, pregnancy, oral contraception); (2) high-risk patients, prior VTE and thrombophilia, prior idiopathic VTE, prior VTE during pregnancy or oral contraception, recurrent secondary VTE; (3) very-high-risk patients, antithrombin deficiency and prior VTE, antiphospholipid syndrome and prior VTE or arterial thromboembolism, acute VTE in current pregnancy after day 11. Dargaud et al<sup>18</sup>: Risk stratification using an individual risk score, see Table 1 in original publication. HIT = heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. See Table S1, S4, S8, S16, and S17 legends for expansion of other abbreviations.



**Table S19—[Section 8.2.2, 8.2.3] Antepartum and Postpartum Prevention of VTE With Prophylactic-Dose LMWH vs No Prophylaxis in Pregnant Women With Prior VTE**

Participants (Studies), Follow up	Quality Assessment					Summary of Findings					
	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	Study Event Rates (%)		Relative Effect (95% CI)	Anticipated Absolute Effects During Pregnancy	
							Without Prophylaxis	With LWMH			
1,953 (6 RCTs), 27-35 d postoperative	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	Serious indirectness <sup>a</sup> orthopedic surgery	Serious imprecision <sup>b</sup> Wide CI for control group risk estimates	Undetected	Low due to indirectness and imprecision	36/862 (4.2)	15/1,091 (1.4)	RR 0.36 (0.20-0.67)	Low risk (transient risk factor) 20 VTE per 1,000 <sup>c</sup> 13 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 16 fewer to 7 fewer)	
											Intermediate and high risk (pregnancy or estrogen related, idiopathic, or multiple prior VTE but discontinued VKA)
											40 VTE per 1,000 <sup>c</sup> 26 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 32 fewer to 13 fewer)
											(Continued)

(Continued)

Table S19—Continued

Participants (Studies), Follow up	Quality Assessment					Summary of Findings			
	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	Study Event Rates (%)		Anticipated Absolute Effects During Pregnancy
							Without Prophylaxis	With LMWH	
5,456 (7 RCTs), 3 wk-9 mo	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	Serious indirectness <sup>a</sup> orthopedic surgery	Serious imprecision CI includes benefit and harm	Undetected	Low due to indirectness and imprecision	2/1,480 (0.14)	6/1,245 (0.48)	RR 0.43 (0.11-1.65)
Major bleed (critical outcome) <sup>d</sup>							Antepartum period		
							5 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>e</sup>	3 fewer bleeding events per 1,000 (from 4 fewer to 3 more)	
							Postpartum period		
							20 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>e</sup>	11 fewer bleeding events (from 18 fewer to 13 more)	

Hull RD et al. Extended out of hospital low molecular weight heparin prophylaxis against deep vein thrombosis in patients after elective hip arthroplasty: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med.* 2001;135:858-869. Greer IA, Nelson-Piercy C. Low molecular weight heparins for thromboprophylaxis and treatment of venous thromboembolism in pregnancy: a systematic review of safety and efficacy. *Blood.* 2005;106:401-407. See Table S3, S4, S6, S9 legends for expansion of abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Population is indirect; the population did not include pregnant women. Different doses of LMWH were used, treatment was initiated variably before or after surgery with a duration of ~7 days (in hospital). Outcomes variably reported. Meta-analysis also provides other outcomes, such as mortality, asymptomatic DVT, and specific bleed outcomes (wound hematoma, transfusion). Follow-up varied among trials from 3 wk to 9 mo.

<sup>b</sup>Baseline risk estimates for VTE in the antepartum and postpartum period come from studies summarized in Table S16. Quality of evidence is rated down because of imprecision in these risk estimates. We consider the distribution of VTE antepartum and postpartum to be equal.

<sup>c</sup>Baseline risk estimates for VTE in the antepartum and postpartum period come from studies summarized in Table S16. We consider the distribution of VTE antepartum and postpartum to be equal.

<sup>d</sup>Defined nonfatal maternal hemorrhage (according to section 1.0) as a symptomatic bleeding complication noted during pregnancy or within 6 weeks postpartum that involved bleeding into a critical site, bleeding causing a fall in hemoglobin level of 2 g/dL or more, and bleeding leading to transfusion of ≥2 units of whole blood or red cells.

<sup>e</sup>Baseline risk estimate for major maternal hemorrhage comes from a systematic review by Greer et al.<sup>38</sup>

**Table S20—[Section 9.2.1-9.2.4] Evidence Profile: Antepartum and Postpartum Prophylactic-Dose LMWH vs No Thromboprophylaxis for Pregnant Women With a Known Thrombophilia**

Participants Studies), Follow-up	Quality Assessment					Summary of Findings					
	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	Study Event Rates (%)		Relative Effect (95% CI)	Anticipated Absolute Effects Antepartum and Postpartum (Different Risk Estimates for Bleeding Events)	
							Without Prophylaxis	With LMWH			
											Risk Without Prophylaxis
Symptomatic VTE (critical outcome), DVT, and PE											
1,953 (6 RCTs), 27-35 d postoperative	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	Serious indirectness <sup>a</sup> orthopedic surgery	Serious imprecision <sup>b</sup> Wide CI for control group risk estimates	Undetected	Low due to indirectness and imprecision	36/862 (4.2)	15/1,091 (1.4)	RR 0.36 (0.20-0.67)	Positive family history VTE and heterozygous factor V Leiden or prothrombin 20210A	
							15 VTE per 1,000 <sup>c</sup>	10 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 12 fewer to 5 fewer)			
							Positive family history VTE and antithrombin, protein C or protein S deficiency				
							20 VTE per 1,000 <sup>c</sup>	13 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 16 fewer to 6 fewer)			
							Positive family history VTE and homozygous factor V Leiden or prothrombin 20210A				
							70 VTE per 1,000 <sup>c</sup>	47 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 56 fewer to 21 fewer)			
							No family history of VTE but homozygous factor V Leiden or prothrombin 20210A				
							20 VTE per 1,000 <sup>c</sup>	13 fewer VTE per 1,000 (from 16 fewer to 6 fewer)			

(Continued)

(Continued)

Table S20—Continued

Quality Assessment							Summary of Findings				
Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	Study Event Rates (%)		Anticipated Absolute Effects Antepartum and Postpartum (Different Risk Estimates for Bleeding Events)		
							Without Prophylaxis	With LMWH	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk Without Prophylaxis Risk Difference With LMWH (95% CI)	
Major bleed (critical outcome)											
5,456 (7 RCTs), 3 wk-9 mo	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	Serious indirectness orthopedic surgery	Serious imprecision CI includes benefit and harm	Undetected	Low due to indirectness and imprecision	2/1,480 (0.14)	6/1,245 (0.48)	RR 0.43 (0.11-1.65)	Antepartum period 5 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>d</sup> 3 fewer bleeding events per 1,000 (from 4 fewer to 3 more)	
								</			

Bibliography: Hull RD, et al. Extended out of hospital low molecular weight heparin prophylaxis against deep vein thrombosis in patients after elective hip arthroplasty: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med.* 2001;135:858-869. Greer IA, Nelson-Piercy C. Low molecular weight heparins for thromboprophylaxis and treatment of venous thromboembolism in pregnancy: a systematic review of safety and efficacy. *Blood.* 2005;106:401-407. See Table S3, S4, S6, and S9 legends for expansion of abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>The population did not include pregnant women. Different doses of LMWH were used, treatment was initiated variably before or after surgery with a duration of ~7 days in hospital and 25 days out of hospital. Outcomes were variably reported.

<sup>b</sup>Imprecision in baseline risk estimates for all thrombophilias (see Table S22) results in imprecise anticipated absolute effects.

<sup>c</sup>Baseline risk estimate for VTE comes from observational studies summarized in Table S22. Our antepartum risk estimate is based on assumed equal distribution of antepartum and postpartum VTE events based on data from observational studies (I. A. Greer, MD, personal communication, November 8, 2010).

<sup>d</sup>Baseline risk estimate for major bleeding events antepartum and postpartum come from systematic review by Greer.

**Table S21—[Section 10.2.3. 10.2.4] Randomized Trials and Observational Studies of the Prevention of Complications in Pregnant Women With Thrombophilia: Clinical Description and Results**

Study/Year	Intervention	Number Patients Analyzed	Length of Follow-up	Pregnancy Loss (%)	Fetal Growth Restriction (%)	Preeclampsia (%)	Placental Abruption (%)	Maternal Bleeding (%)	Comments
Randomized trials									
Thrombophilia-APLA									
Aspirin vs placebo									
Cowchock and Reece <sup>53</sup> /1997	Aspirin 81 mg/d  Usual care	Aspirin = 11  Usual = 8	Pregnancy loss or delivery	Aspirin = 1/11 (9.1)  Usual = 0/8 RR 2.25 (0.10-49.04)	Aspirin = 0/10  Usual = 1/8 (12.5) RR 0.27 (0.01-5.92)	NR	NR	NR	
Tulppala et al <sup>54</sup> /1997	Aspirin 50 mg/d  Placebo	Aspirin = 6  Placebo = 6	Pregnancy loss or delivery	Aspirin = 5/6 (83)  Placebo = 3/6 (50.0) RR 1.20 (0.48-2.99)	NR	NR	NR	NR	Pregnancy losses include: ASA 1/6 = blighted ovum; control 3/6 = blighted ovum or ectopic pregnancy
Pattison et al <sup>55</sup> /2000	Aspirin 75 mg/d  Placebo	Aspirin = 20/25 (80.0%)  Placebo = 20/25 (80.0%)	Pregnancy loss or delivery	Aspirin = 4/20 (20.0)  Placebo = 3/20 (15.0) RR 1.33 (0.34-5.21)	Aspirin = 1/16 (6.2)  Placebo = 4/17 (23.5) RR 0.27 (0.03- 2.13)	Aspirin = 3/20 (15.0)  Placebo = 3/20 (15.0) RR 1.00 (0.23- 4.37)	NR	Aspirin = 9/20 (45.0)  Placebo = 7/20 (35.0) RR 1.29 (0.6-2.72)	All bleeding events minor
UFH + aspirin vs aspirin alone									
Rai et al <sup>56</sup> /1997	UFH 5,000 units SC bid + aspirin 75 mg/d  Aspirin 75 mg/d	UFH + aspirin = 45/45  Aspirin = 45/45	Pregnancy loss or delivery	UFH + aspirin = 13/45 (28.9)  Aspirin = 26/45 (57.8) RR 0.50 (0.30- 0.84)	UFH + aspirin = 3/32 (9.4)  Aspirin = 1/19 (5.3) RR 1.78 (0.20-15.93)	UFH + aspirin = 0/32 (0.0)  Aspirin = 1/19 (2.2) RR 0.33 (0.01-7.92)	NR	NR	
Goel et al <sup>57</sup> /2006	UFH 5,000 International Units SC bid + aspirin 80 mg/d	UFH + aspirin = 33/33 (100%)	Pregnancy loss or delivery	Overall: UFH + aspirin = 5/33 (15.2)	UFH + aspirin = 2/28 (15)	UFH + aspirin = 0/28 (0)	NR	No major bleeding due to heparin	(Continued)



**Table S21—Continued**

Study/Year	Intervention	Number Patients Analyzed	Length of Follow-up	Pregnancy Loss (%)	Fetal Growth Restriction (%)	Preeclampsia (%)	Placental Abruption (%)	Maternal Bleeding (%)	Comments
	Aspirin 80 mg/d	Aspirin = 39/39 (100%)		Aspirin = 15/39 (38.5) RR 0.39 (0.16-0.97) First trimester loss: UFH + aspirin = 4/33 (12.1) Aspirin = 13/39 (33.3)	Aspirin = 1/24 (4.2)	Aspirin = 2/24 (8.3)			
Kuttel <sup>59</sup> /1996	UFH 5,000 units SC bid adjusted to attain 6 h aPTT at 1.2-1.5 times baseline + aspirin 81 mg/d Aspirin 81 mg/d	UFH + aspirin = 25/25	Pregnancy loss or delivery	UFH + aspirin = 5/25 (20.0)	UFH + aspirin = 3/20 (15.0)	UFH + aspirin = 2/20 (10.0)	NR	UFH + aspirin = 3/20 (15.05)	All bleeding events considered minor
		Aspirin = 25/25		Aspirin = 14/25 (56.0) RR 0.36 (0.15- 0.84)	Aspirin = 1/11 (9.1) RR 1.65 (0.19-14.03)	Aspirin = 1/11 (9.1) RR 1.10 (0.11-10.81)		Aspirin = 1/11 (9.1) RR 1.65 (0.19- 14.03)	
				LMWH + aspirin vs aspirin alone					
Farquharson et al <sup>60</sup> /2002	LMWH 5,000 units/d SC until delivery + aspirin 75 mg/d Aspirin 75 mg/d	LMWH + aspirin = 51/51	Pregnancy loss or delivery	LMWH + aspirin = 11/51 (21.6)	NR	NR	NR	NR	
		Aspirin = 47/47		Aspirin = 13/47 (27.6) RR 0.78 (0.39- 1.57)					
Laskin et al <sup>60</sup> /2009	LMWH 5,000 International Units SC daily until delivery + aspirin 81 mg/d	LMWH + aspirin = 22/22 (100%)	Pregnancy loss or delivery	LMWH + aspirin = 5/22 (22.7)	LMWH + aspirin = 3/17 (17.6)	NR	NR	NR	Early losses not stratified by thrombophilia type

(Continued)

Table S21—Continued

Study/Year	Intervention	Number Patients Analyzed	Length of Follow-up	Pregnancy Loss (%)	Fetal Growth Restriction (%)	Preeclampsia (%)	Placental Abruption (%)	Maternal Bleeding (%)	Comments
	Aspirin 81 mg/d	Aspirin = 20/20 (100%)		Aspirin = 5/20 (25.0)	Aspirin = 6/15 (40) (IUGR numbers include one twin who was stillborn)				
				RR 0.91 (0.31-2.68)					
				LMWH + aspirin vs UFH + aspirin					
Stephenson et al <sup>6</sup> /2004	Aspirin 81 mg/d starting prior to conception + LMWH luteal phase or first trimester dalteparin 2,500 International Units SC once daily; second trimester dalteparin 5,000 International Units SC once daily; third trimester dalteparin 7,500 International Units SC once daily	LMWH + aspirin = 14/14 (100.0)	Pregnancy loss or delivery	LMWH + aspirin = 4/13 (30.7)	NR	LMWH + aspirin = 1/9 (11.1)	NR	NR	
	Aspirin 81 mg/d starting prior to conception + UFH luteal phase or first trimester 5,000 units SC bid; second trimester 7,500 units SC bid; third trimester 10,000 units SC bid	UFH + aspirin = 14/14 (100.0)		UFH + aspirin = 9/13 (69.2)		UFH + aspirin = 0/4 (0.0)			
				RR 0.44 (0.18-1.08)		RR 3.00 (0.13-67.52)			(Continued)

Table S21—Continued

Study/Year	Intervention	Number Patients Analyzed	Length of Follow-up	Pregnancy Loss (%)	Fetal Growth Restriction (%)	Preeclampsia (%)	Placental Abruption (%)	Maternal Bleeding (%)	Comments
Hereditary thrombophilia									
LMWH vs aspirin									
Gris et al <sup>62</sup> /2004	LMWH (enoxaparin 40 mg/d SC) + folic acid 5 mg/d	LMWH = 80/80	Pregnancy loss or delivery	LMWH = 11/80 (13.7)	LMWH = 7/71 (9.9)	LMWH = 4/71 (5.6)	NR	NR	
	Aspirin 100 mg/d + folic acid 5 mg/d	Aspirin = 80/80		Aspirin = 57/80 (71.2)	Aspirin = 7/23 (30.4)	Aspirin = 3/23 (13)			
				RR 0.19 (0.11- 0.34)	RR 0.32 (0.13-0.83)	RR 1.33 (4.77-0.69)			
Observational Studies									
Thrombophilia—APLA									
LMWH + aspirin vs UFH + aspirin									
Noble et al <sup>63</sup> /2005	Aspirin 81 mg daily starting prior to conception + LMWH (enoxaparin 40 mg/d SC)	LMWH + aspirin = 25/25	2 wk postdelivery	LMWH + aspirin = 4/25 (16.0)	LMWH + aspirin = 1/21 (4.8)	LMWH + aspirin = 0/21 (0)	NR	LMWH + aspirin = 3/25 (12.0)	All bleeding events classified as minor
	Aspirin 81 mg daily starting prior to conception + UFH (5,000-6,000 units SC bid, depending on weight)	UFH + aspirin = 25/25		UFH + aspirin = 5/25 (20.0)	UFH + aspirin = 1/20 (5.0)	UFH + aspirin = 0/20 (0)		UFH + aspirin = 2/25 (5.0)	
				RR 0.80 (0.24-2.64)	RR 0.95 (0.06-14.22)	RR 1.00 (0.02-48.53)		RR 1.5 (0.27-8.22)	
UFH higher dose + aspirin vs UFH lower dose + aspirin									
Kutteh and Ermele <sup>64</sup> /1996	UFH 5,000 units SC bid adjusted to maintain aPTT at 1.2-1.5 × baseline (higher dose) + aspirin 81 mg/d	UFH higher dose + aspirin = 25/25	Pregnancy loss or delivery	UFH higher dose + aspirin = 5/25 (20.0)	NR	NR	NR	NR	

(Continued)

Table S21—Continued

Study/Year	Intervention	Number Patients Analyzed	Length of Follow-up	Pregnancy Loss (%)	Fetal Growth Restriction (%)	Preeclampsia (%)	Placental Abruption (%)	Maternal Bleeding (%)	Comments
	UFH 5,000 units SC bid adjusted to maintain aPTT at upper limit of normal (lower dose) + aspirin 81 mg/d	UFH lower dose + aspirin = 25/25		UFH lower dose + aspirin = 6/25 (24.0)					
				RR 0.83 (0.29-2.38)					
Hereditary thrombophilia									
LMWH vs control									
Carp et al <sup>66</sup> /2003	LMWH (enoxaparin 40 mg/d SC) Retrospective control (no prophylaxis)	LMWH = 37/37 (100%) Control = 48/48 (100%)	Pregnancy loss or delivery	LMWH = 11/37 (29.7) Control = 27/48 (56.3)	NR	LMWH = 2/26 (7.6) Control = 1/21 (4.8)	NR	NR	
				RR 1.89 (1.09-3.29)		RR 2.00 (0.19-0.72)			
LMWH vs control (untreated)									
Leduc et al <sup>66</sup> /2007	LMWH (dalteparin) varied between 3,500 and 7,500 International Units SC bid Aspirin 80 mg/d	LMWH = 13/13 (100%) Aspirin = 11/11 (100%)	Chart review 1994-2001	Data on pregnancy loss not stratified by treatment	LMWH OR 0.81 Aspirin OR 0.88	LMWH OR 0.92 Aspirin OR 0.87	Data on placental abruption not stratified by treatment	No major bleeding or maternal mortality reported	OR: the probability of developing an obstetric complication despite prophylactic therapy (interpreted to mean the odds of complication compared with no treatment) (Continued)

Table S21—Continued

Study/Year	Intervention	Number Patients Analyzed	Length of Follow-up	Pregnancy Loss (%)	Fetal Growth Restriction (%)	Preeclampsia (%)	Placental Abruption (%)	Maternal Bleeding (%)	Comments
LMWH + aspirin vs control (untreated)									
Leduc et al <sup>66</sup> /2007	LMWH (dalteparin) varied between 3500 IU SC and 7500 IU SC bid + aspirin 80 mg/d	LMWH + aspirin = 26/26 (100%)	Chart review 1994-2001	NR	LMWH + aspirin OR 0.70	LMWH + aspirin OR 0.80	NR	NR	OR: the probability of developing an obstetric complication despite prophylactic therapy (interpreted to mean the odds of complication compared with no treatment)
	LMWH (dalteparin) varied between 3500 and 7,500 International Units SC bid	LMWH = 13/13 (100%)			LMWH OR 0.81	LMWH OR 0.92			
Aspirin vs control (untreated)									
Leduc et al <sup>66</sup> /2007	LMWH (dalteparin) varied between 3,500 and 7,500 International Units SC bid + aspirin 80 mg/d	LMWH + aspirin = 26/26 (100%)	Chart review 1994-2001	NR	LMWH + aspirin OR 0.70	LMWH + aspirin OR 0.80	NR	NR	OR: the probability of developing an obstetric complication despite prophylactic therapy (interpreted to mean the odds of complication compared with no treatment)
	Aspirin 80 mg/d	Aspirin = 11/11 (100%)			Aspirin 0.88	Aspirin 0.87			

ASA = acetylsalicylic acid; IUGR = intrauterine growth restriction; NR = not reported. See Table S1, S3, S4, S8, S9, and S17 legends for expansion of other abbreviations.



**Table S22—[Section 10.2.3, 10.2.4] Randomized Trials and Observational Studies of the Prevention of Complications in Pregnant Women With Thrombophilia: Methodologic Quality**

Randomized Trials						
Study/Year	Intervention	Study Design	Randomize Concealed	Blinding	Lost to Follow-up	Analysis
Thrombophilia-APLA						
Aspirin vs placebo						
Cowchock et al <sup>53/1997</sup>	Aspirin 81 mg/d Usual care	RCT, multicenter	PN	Patients: PN Caregivers: PN Data Collectors: PN Adjudicators: PN Data Analysts: PN	Aspirin = 0/11 Usual care = 0/9	ITT  Population: pregnant women with APLA and either 0 (10/19 patients) or 1 (9/19 patients) prior spontaneous abortion. Women with thrombosis history or lupus excluded.  No definition of usual care.
Tulppala et al <sup>54/1997</sup>	Aspirin 50 mg/d Placebo	RCT, single center	PY	Patients: CY Caregivers: PY Data Collectors: PY Adjudicators: PN Data Analysts: PN	Aspirin = 0/6 Placebo = 0/6	ITT  Population: subgroup of 66 pregnant women with three to eight consecutive losses; 12 pregnant women with APLA of whom two had blighted ova (one in each treatment arm), and two had ectopic pregnancies (placebo group).
Pattison et al <sup>55/2000</sup>	Aspirin 75 mg/d Placebo	RCT, single center	CY	Patients: CY Caregivers: CY Data Collectors: CY Adjudicators: PN Data Analysts: PN	Aspirin = 5/25 Placebo = 5/25 (5 postrandomization exclusions from each treatment arm)	Not ITT  Population: pregnant women with APLA and three or more fetal losses. Women with thrombosis history or lupus excluded.  Ten exclusions after randomization because of inappropriate inclusion. Randomization not balanced for mean gravidity and number of first trimester losses (both favoring aspirin).
UFH + aspirin vs aspirin alone						
Rai et al <sup>56/1997</sup>	UFH 5,000 units SC bid + aspirin 75 mg/d Aspirin 75 mg/d	RCT, single center	PN	Patients: CN Caregivers: CN Data Collectors: PN Adjudicators: PN Data Analysts: PN	UFH + aspirin = 0/45 Aspirin = 0/45	ITT  Population: pregnant women with APLA and three or more consecutive miscarriages. Women with prior thrombosis or lupus excluded.  Treatment stopped at 34 wk or miscarriage.

(Continued)

Table S22—Continued

Randomized Trials							
Study/Year	Intervention	Study Design	Randomize Concealed	Blinding	Lost to Follow-up	Analysis	Comments
Goel et al <sup>57/2006</sup>	UFH 5,000 International Units SC bid + aspirin 80 mg/d Aspirin 80 mg/d	RCT, multicenter	NR	Patients: DN Caregivers: DN Data Collectors: PN Adjudicators: PN Data Analysts: PN	UFH + aspirin = 0/39 Aspirin = 0/33	ITT	Population: pregnant women with APLA (anticardiolipin) with two or more first or second trimester miscarriages. Treatment discontinued at 36 wk gestation.
Küttel <sup>58/1996</sup>	UFH 5,000 units SC bid adjusted to attain 6 h postinjection aPTT at 1.2-1.5 times baseline + aspirin 81 mg/d Aspirin 81 mg/d	RCT, single center	Quasi-randomized	Patients: DN Caregivers: DN Data Collectors: PN Adjudicators: PN Data Analysts: PN	UFH + aspirin = 0/25 Aspirin = 0/25	No	Population: pregnant women with APLA and three or more consecutive miscarriages (women with NSI or lupus excluded). Alternate assignment of treatment. USPSTF rating of evidence is II-1.
LMWH + aspirin vs aspirin alone							
Farquharson et al <sup>59/2002</sup>	LMWH 5,000 units/d SC until delivery + aspirin 75 mg/d Aspirin 75 mg/d	RCT, single center	CY	Patients: CN Caregivers: CN Data Collectors: CN Adjudicators: CN Data Analysts: CN	LMWH = 0/51 Aspirin = 0/47	ITT	Population: pregnant women with APLA and at least three consecutive losses or two losses with fetal death after 10 wk.
Laskin et al <sup>60/2009</sup>	LMWH 5,000 International Units SC daily until delivery + aspirin 81 mg/d Aspirin 81 mg/d	Open label, RCT, single center	Not NR	Patients: DN Caregivers: DN Data Collectors: PN Adjudicators: PN Data Analysts: PN	LMWH + aspirin = 0/22 (0%) Aspirin = 0/20 (0%)	ITT	Population: pregnant women with APLA or inherited thrombophilia or ANA (only APLA able to be considered here) with two or more unexplained consecutive pregnancy losses prior to 32 wk. Treatment with LMWH stopped at 35 wk or delivery.
(Continued)							

(Continued)

**Table S22—Continued**

Randomized Trials							
Study/Year	Intervention	Study Design	Randomize Concealed	Blinding	Lost to Follow-up	Analysis	Comments
LMWH + aspirin vs UFH + aspirin							
Stephenson et al <sup>61</sup> /2004	Aspirin 81 mg/d starting prior to conception + LMWH (luteal phase or first trimester dalteparin 2,500 International Units SC once daily; second trimester dalteparin 5,000 International Units SC once daily; third trimester dalteparin 7,500 International Units SC once daily) Aspirin 81 mg/d starting prior to conception + UFH (luteal phase or first trimester 5,000 units SC bid; second trimester 7,500 units SC bid; third trimester 10,000 units SC bid)	Open-label, RCT, single center	CY	Patients: CN Caregivers: CN Data Collectors: CN Adjudicators: PN Data Analysts: PN	In patients who became pregnant: LMWH + aspirin = 0/13 UFH + aspirin = 0/13	Unclear whether ITT	Population: women with APLA and recurrent losses. Patients randomized prior to conception (one patient in each group did not become pregnant during study). Hereditary thrombophilia.
Hereditary thrombophilia							
LMWH vs aspirin alone							
Gris et al <sup>62</sup> /2004	LMWH (enoxaparin 40 mg/d SC) and folic acid 5 mg/d Aspirin 100 mg/d and folic acid 5 mg/d	RCT	CY	Patients: CN Caregivers: CN Data Collectors: PN Adjudicators: PN Data Analysts: PN	14/174 (8%) lost prior to allocation LMWH = 0/80 Aspirin = 0/80	Unclear whether ITT	Population: women with factor V Leiden, prothrombin gene mutation, or protein S deficiency and a single loss after 10 wk. Women with other hereditary thrombophilia, lupus, APLA, or prior thrombosis were excluded.
(Continued)							

(Continued)

**Table S22—Continued**

Observational Studies						
Study/Year	Intervention	Study Design	Intervention/Control Setting Similar?	Intervention/Control Time Frame Similar?	Adjustment	Effectively Blinded Assessment of Outcome
Noble et al <sup>63</sup> /2005	Aspirin 81 mg/d starting prior to conception + LMWH (enoxaparin 40 mg/d SC) Aspirin 81 mg/d starting prior to conception + UFH (5,000-6,000 units SC bid, depending on weight)	Prospective cohort, two center	Very	Identical	All relevant variables	No
						<p>Population: women with APLA and three or more pregnancy losses before 20 wk.</p> <p>Treatment regimen based on enrolling center.</p> <p>LMWH stopped 3 wk before estimated due date or 5 d prior to scheduled induction or cesarean section.</p> <p>UFH discontinued with spontaneous labor.</p>
UFH higher dose + aspirin vs UFH lower dose + aspirin						
Kuttel and Erme <sup>64</sup> /1996	UFH 5,000 units SC bid adjusted to maintain aPTT at 1.2 to 1.5 times baseline (higher dose) + aspirin 81 mg/d UFH 5,000 units SC bid adjusted to maintain aPTT at upper limit of normal (lower dose) + aspirin 81 mg/d	Prospective cohort, single center	Very	Close	All relevant variables	No
						<p>Population: pregnant women with APLA and at least three consecutive miscarriages. Women with NSI or lupus excluded.</p> <p>Alternate assignment of treatments.</p>

(Continued)

Table S22—Continued

Observational Studies						
Study/Year	Intervention	Study Design	Intervention/Control Setting Similar?	Intervention/Control Time Frame Similar?	Adjustment	Effectively Blinded Assessment of Outcome
Hereditary thrombophilia						
LMWH vs control						
Carp et al <sup>65</sup> /2003	LMWH (enoxaparin 40 mg/d SC) Retrospective control (no prophylaxis)	Prospective cohort, single center	Very	Close	Most	No
			LMWH = 0/37 Control = 0/48		Population: women with hereditary thrombophilia and three or more consecutive losses in first or second trimesters. Patients were excluded if prior thrombosis or APLA. Controls were women retrieved from a database, matched for number of miscarriages, maternal age, and time taken to conceive.	
LMWH + aspirin vs aspirin vs LMWH						
Leduc et al <sup>66</sup> /2007	LMWH (dalteparin) varied between 3,500 and 7,500 International Units SC bid + aspirin 80 mg/d Aspirin 80 mg/d LMWH (dalteparin) varied between 3,500 and 7,500 International Units SC bid	Retrospective cohort, single center	Very	Identical	Some: use of LMWH or ASA and gestation age	No
			LMWH + aspirin = 0/26 Aspirin = 0/11 LMWH = 0/13		Population: women who received antithrombotic prophylaxis during pregnancy between 1997 and 2001 or a history of previous pregnancy complicated by severe preeclampsia, placental abruption, fetal growth restriction, second or third trimester fetal loss, and associated hereditary thrombophilia. Excluded if previous thromboembolic disorder or APLA.	

ANA = antinuclear antibody; CY = certain yes; DN = definite no; NSI = nonspecific inhibitor; PN = probable no; PY = probable yes. See Table S1, S4, S8, S9, and legends S17 for expansion of other abbreviations.



**Table S23—[Section 10.2.1,10.2.3] Evidence Profile: Should UFH Plus Aspirin or Aspirin Alone Be Used for Pregnant Women With APLA and Recurrent Pregnancy Loss?**

Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Quality Assessment					Summary of Findings			
	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	Study Event Rates (%)		Anticipated Absolute Effects <sup>a</sup>
							With Aspirin <sup>b</sup>	With UFH + Aspirin	
212 (3 RCTs), not reported	Serious risk of bias Issues of randomization, allocation concealment, and blinding	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	Moderate due to risk of bias	55/109 (50)	23/103 (22)	RR 0.44 (0.30-0.66) 500 pregnancy losses per 1,000 (from 172 fewer to 353 fewer)
134 (3 RCTs), not reported	Serious risk of bias Issues of randomization, allocation concealment, and blinding	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Very serious imprecision CI includes important benefit and harm	Undetected	Very low due to risk of bias and imprecision	3/54 (5.6)	8/80 (10)	RR 1.71 (0.48-6.17) 56 IUGR per 1,000 (from 29 fewer to 287 more)

(Continued)

Table S23—Continued

Quality Assessment						Summary of Findings					
Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	Study Event Rates (%)		Anticipated Absolute Effects <sup>a</sup>		
							With Aspirin <sup>b</sup>	With UFH + Aspirin	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With Aspirin <sup>b</sup>	Risk Difference With Addition of UFH (95% CI)
134 (3 RCTs), not reported	Serious risk of bias Issues of randomization, allocation concealment, and blinding	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious imprecision CI includes important benefit and harm	Undetected	Low due to risk of bias and imprecision	4/54 (7.4)	2/80 (2.5)	RR 0.43 (0.09-2.08)	74 preeclampsia per 1,000 42 fewer preeclampsia per 1,000 (from 67 fewer to 80 more)	
Major Bleeding (critical outcome) not reported <sup>c</sup>											

Bibliography: Data from unpublished meta-analysis based on three trials: Kuttah WH. Antiphospholipid antibody-associated recurrent pregnancy loss: treatment with heparin and low-dose aspirin is superior to low-dose aspirin alone. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1996;174:1584-1589. Rai R, Cohen H, Dave M, et al. Randomised controlled trial of aspirin and aspirin plus heparin in pregnant women with recurrent miscarriage associated with phospholipid antibodies (or antiphospholipid antibodies). *BMJ*. 1997;314:253-257. Goel N, Tuli A, Choudhry R. The role of aspirin versus aspirin and heparin in cases of recurrent abortions with raised anticardiolipin antibodies. *Med Sci Monit*. 2006;(3):CR132-CR136. PO Vandvik, MD, personal communication, October 2010. See Table S1, S3, S9, and S21 legends for expansion of abbreviation.

<sup>a</sup>Time frame is 9 mo for all outcomes.

<sup>b</sup>Estimates for baseline risk with aspirin comes from the meta-analysis of three trials.

<sup>c</sup>Although a patient important outcome defined as in 1, none of the three trials reported major bleeding events.

**Table S24—[Section 11.1.1] Evidence Profile: Should Aspirin Rather Than No Treatment Be Used for Prevention of Preeclampsia in Women Without Thrombophilia?**

Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Quality Assessment					Summary of Findings				
	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	Study Event Rates (%)		Anticipated Absolute Effects During Pregnancy	
							Without Prophylaxis	With LMWH	Risk Without Prophylaxis	Risk Difference With LMWH (95% CI)
32,590 (43 RCTs), not reported	Preeclampsia (critical outcome) defined as proteinuric preeclampsia in Cochrane systematic review									
	No serious risk of bias Variability across trials but not considered to introduce bias	Serious inconsistency <sup>a</sup> $I^2 = 46$ , $P < .001$	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision Benefit even at lower end of CI	Undetected	Moderate due to inconsistency	1,292/16,194 (8)	1,081/16,396 (6.6)	RR 0.83 (0.77-0.89)	Low risk for preeclampsia
										60 cases per 1,000 <sup>b</sup> (from 14 fewer to 7 fewer)
95,000 (6 RCTs), 3.8-10 y	Major bleed (critical outcome) <sup>c</sup>									
	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	Serious indirectness <sup>d</sup> Primary prevention cardiovascular disease	No serious imprecision	Undetected	Moderate due to indirectness	219/47,500 (0.5)	335/47,500 (0.7)	RR 1.54 (1.30-1.82)	15 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>e</sup> (from 5 more to 12 more)
										8 more bleeding events per 1,000 (from 5 more to 12 more)
										High risk for preeclampsia
										210 per 1,000 <sup>b</sup> (from 46 fewer to 23 fewer)

Bibliography: Duley L, Henderson-Smart DJ, Meher S, King JF. Antiplatelet agents for preventing pre-eclampsia and its complications. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2007;(2):CD004659. Greer IA, Nelson-Piercy C. Low molecular weight heparins for thromboprophylaxis and treatment of venous thromboembolism in pregnancy: a systematic review of safety and efficacy. *Blood*. 2005;106:401-407. See Table S3, S4, and S9 legends for expansion of abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup> Heterogeneity might be related to different types and doses of antiplatelet agents, the lack of placebo in the control group in many of the trials, different populations of pregnant women concerning risk of preeclampsia, and effect of treatment.

<sup>b</sup> Control group risk estimate for preeclampsia is based on control event rates in studies included in subgroup analyses in the meta-analysis. High risk was defined in the systematic review as women who either were normotensive or had chronic hypertension without superimposed preeclampsia at trial entry and were classified as being at high risk if they had one or more of the following: previous severe preeclampsia, diabetes, chronic hypertension, renal disease, or autoimmune disease.

<sup>c</sup> Major antenatal maternal hemorrhage.

<sup>d</sup> Rated down for indirectness due to population (people included in trials of primary prevention cardiovascular disease). The Cochrane review does not report the effects of antiplatelet therapy on major bleeding events in pregnant women.

<sup>e</sup> Control group risk estimate for major bleeding events antepartum from systematic review by Greer et al.

**Table S25—[Section 11.2.1] Evidence Profile: Should LMWH and Aspirin Rather Than No Treatment Be Used for Prevention of Recurrent Pregnancy Loss in Women Without Thrombophilia?**

Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Quality Assessment					Summary of Findings					
	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	Study Event Rates (%)			Anticipated Absolute Effects During Pregnancy	
							Without Treatment	With LMWH and Aspirin	Relative Effect (95% CI)		
294 (2 RCTs), 9 mo	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious imprecision CI includes important benefit and harm	Undetected	Moderate due to imprecision	62/250 (25.2)	62/244 (25.4)	RR 1.01 (0.84-1.38)	300 cases per 1,000 <sup>a</sup>	3 more per 1,000 (from 48 fewer to 114 more)
294 (1 RCT), 9 mo	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious imprecision CI includes benefit and harm	Undetected	Moderate due to imprecision	10/147 (6.8)	10/147 (6.8)	RR 1.00 (0.42-2.33)	15 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>a</sup>	0 more bleeding events per 1,000 (from 9 fewer to 20 more)

Bibliography: Greer IA, Nelson-Piercy C. Low molecular weight heparins for thromboprophylaxis and treatment of venous thromboembolism in pregnancy: a systematic review of safety and efficacy. *Blood*. 2005;106:401-407. Data from an unpublished meta-analysis<sup>d</sup> of two RCTs by Kaandorp SP, Mariette Goddijn M, van der Post JAM, et al. Aspirin combined with low-molecular-weight heparin and aspirin alone in women with recurrent miscarriage. A randomized placebo-controlled trial: the ALIFE study. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;29:1586-1596 and Clark P, Walker ID, Langhorne P, et al. SPIN (Scottish Pregnancy Intervention) study: a multicenter, randomized controlled trial of low-molecular-weight heparin and low-dose aspirin in women with recurrent miscarriage. *Blood*. 2010;115:4162-4167. See Table S3, S4, and S9 legends for expansion of abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Control group risk for miscarriage comes from study event rates in the two available randomized trials by Kaandorp and Clark.

<sup>b</sup>Bleeding outcomes variably reported in the two trials. We use data from Clark et al on serious adverse events and antepartum hemorrhage to generate both relative risks and baseline risks for anticipated absolute effects. Kaandorp et al reported nose bleed, GI problem, hematuria, and bleeding gums. There were no major bleeding events (S. Middeldorp, MD, personal communication, October 2010).

<sup>c</sup>Control group risk estimate for major bleeding events antepartum comes from systematic review by Greer et al.

<sup>d</sup>Meta-analysis performed in RevMan version 5 with fixed-effects model for heterogeneity.

**Table S26—[Section 11.2.1] Evidence Profile: Should Aspirin Rather Than No Treatment Be Used for Prevention of Recurrent Pregnancy Loss in Women Without Thrombophilia?**

Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Quality Assessment					Summary of Findings			
	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	Study Event Rates (%)		Anticipated Absolute Effects During Pregnancy
							Without Treatment	With Aspirin	
202 (1 RCTs), 9 mo	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious imprecision: CI includes important benefit and harm	Undetected	Moderate due to imprecision	34/103 (33)	38/99 (38)	RR 1.16 (0.80-1.69) 300 cases per 1,000 <sup>a</sup> 48 more per 1,000 (from 60 fewer to 207 more)
95,000 (6 RCTs), 3.8-10 y	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	Serious indirectness: Primary prevention cardiovascular disease	No serious imprecision	Undetected	Moderate due to indirectness	219/47,500 (0.5)	335/47,500 (0.7)	RR 1.54 (1.30-1.82) 15 bleeding events per 1,000 <sup>d</sup> 8 more bleeding events per 1,000 (from 5 more to 12 more)

Bibliography: Kaandorp SP, Mariëtte Goddijn M, van der Post JAM et al. Aspirin combined with low-molecular-weight heparin and aspirin alone in women with recurrent miscarriage. A randomized placebo-controlled trial: the ALIFE study. *New Engl J Med.* 2010;29:362:1586-1596. Clark P, Walker ID, Langhorne P, et al. SPIN (Scottish Pregnancy Intervention) study: a multicenter, randomized controlled trial of low-molecular-weight heparin and low-dose aspirin in women with recurrent miscarriage. *Blood.* 2010;115:4162-4167. Greer IA, Nelson-Piercy C. Low molecular weight heparins for thromboprophylaxis and treatment of venous thromboembolism in pregnancy: a systematic review of safety and efficacy. *Blood.* 2005;106:401-407. See Table S3 and S9 legends for expansion of abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Baseline risk for miscarriage comes from study event rates in the two available randomized trials by Kaandorp et al and Clark et al.

<sup>b</sup>Major antenatal nonfatal hemorrhage.

<sup>c</sup>Rated down for indirectness due to population (primary prevention cardiovascular disease). There were no major bleeding events in the ALIFE Study (personal communication with authors).

<sup>d</sup>Control group risk estimate for major bleeding events antepartum comes from systematic review by Greer et al.

<sup>e</sup>Only study identified that compared aspirin to placebo in this population.



**Table S27—[Section 12.1.1-12.1.3] Systematic Reviews Examining Maternal and Fetal Safety of Anticoagulant Regimens in Pregnant Women With Mechanical Heart Valves (Methodologic Quality)**

Study/Year	Intervention	Inclusive Literature Search	List of Studies			Assessment of Quality of Included Studies	Appropriate Methods Used to Combine Study Findings	Assessment of Likelihood of Publication Bias
			Duplicate Study Selection and Data Extraction	(Included and Excluded) Provided	Characteristics of Included Studies Provided			
Chan et al <sup>1</sup> /2000	Studies between 1966 and 1997 examining the use of VKAs and UFH or no anticoagulation in pregnant women with mechanical heart valves	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
Hassouma and Allam <sup>2</sup> /2010	Studies between 2000 and 2009 examining the use of VKAs and UFH or no anticoagulation in pregnant women with mechanical heart valves	No	Bi	No	No	No	Yes	No
James et al <sup>67</sup> /2006	Studies between 1966 and 2006 involving pregnant women with mechanical heart valves receiving LMWH	No	No	No	Yes	No	N/A	No
Oran et al <sup>68</sup> /2004	Studies between 1989 and 2004 involving pregnant women with mechanical heart valves who received heparin	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	N/A	No

See Table S1 and S4 legends for expansion of abbreviations.

**Table S28—[Section 12.1.1.1-12.1.3] Antithrombotic Therapy in Pregnant Women With Mechanical Heart Valves—Maternal Outcomes (Clinical Description and Results)**

Country, Study/Year	Number of Patients and Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Maternal Deaths, n/N (%)	Maternal Intracranial Bleeding events, n/N (%)	Maternal Extracranial Bleeding events, n/N (%)	Maternal Systemic Thromboembolism, n/N (%)
Cohort studies with comparator groups							
Iran, retrospective, Khamooshi et al <sup>69</sup> /2007	110 women 196 pregnancies	Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves Valve type Tilting: 98 Bileaflet: 98 Valve position Aortic: 26 Mitral: 128 Aortic and mitral: 42	Regimen 1 warfarin throughout pregnancy; INR checked monthly and kept 2.5-3.5  Regimen 2 SC UFH for the first trimester; warfarin until week 36, then UFH for remainder of pregnancy; aPTT kept 2 times control	Regimen 1, 5/142 (3.5)	Regimen 1, 0/142 (0.0)	Regimen 1, 0/142 (0.0)	Regimen 1, 6/142 (3.1) (3 valve thrombosis, 3 embolism)
				Regimen 2, 2/54 (3.7)	Regimen 2, 0/54 (0.0)	Regimen 2, 2/54 (3.7) (2 vaginal bleeding events treated conservatively)	Regimen 2, 9/54 (16.7) (7 valve thrombosis, 2 embolism)
				No fatal maternal bleeding events		No major GI or major obstetrical bleeding events	
Korea, retrospective, Lee et al <sup>70</sup> /2007	25 women 31 pregnancies	Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves Valve position Aortic: 4 Mitral: 21 Double valve replacement: 5	Regimen 1 coumarin and aspirin throughout pregnancy with target INR 2.5-3.5, then nadroparin 7,500 units SC q12h for 2 wk before due date  Regimen 2 nadroparin 7,500 units SC q12h until week 12, then coumarins until week 38 when nadroparin resumed.  Aspirin 100 mg/d throughout pregnancy	Regimen 1, 0/8 (0)	Regimen 1, 0/8 (0.0)	Regimen 1, 0/23 (0.0)	Regimen 1, 2/8 (25.0) (1 valve thrombosis, 1 TIA)
				Regimen 2, 0/23 (0)	Regimen 2, 0/23 (0.0)	Regimen 2, 0/23 (0.0)	Regimen 2, 3/23 (13.0) (2 valve thrombosis, 1 TIA)
				No fatal maternal bleeding events		No maternal major GI or major obstetrical bleeding events	

(Continued)

Country, Study/Year	Number of Patients and Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Maternal Deaths, n/N (%)	Maternal Intracranial Bleeding events, n/N (%)	Maternal Extracranial Bleeding events, n/N (%)	Maternal Systemic Thromboembolism, n/N (%)
New Zealand, retrospective audit, McLintock et al <sup>71</sup> /2009	31 women 47 pregnancies	Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves  Valve type Starr-Edwards: 12 Tilting disc or bileaflet: 19  Valve position Mitral: 14 Aortic: 4  Mitral and aortic: 13	Regimen 1 predominantly warfarin and aspirin (100-150 mg) throughout with enoxaparin (1 mg/kg SC q12h) and aspirin substituted between weeks 6 and 12 and at 34 and 36 wk gestation  Regimen 2 enoxaparin (1 mg/kg SC q12h) and aspirin (100-150 mg) predominantly  In both regimens, enoxaparin monitored by anti-Xa levels every 3-7 d; dose adjusted to attain a target predose level: 0.4-0.7 International Units/mL	Regimen 1, 0/13 (0.0)          Regimen 2, 0/34 (0.0)   No fatal maternal bleeding events	Regimen 1, 0/13 (0.0)          Regimen 2, 0/34 (0.0)	Unable to separate by regimen  Maternal major GI bleeding events: 0/47 (1 minor hematemesis with enoxaparin)  Maternal major obstetrical bleeding events: 19/47 (2 abruptions and 1 antepartum hemorrhage with enoxaparin; 1 additional abruption during IV UFH around delivery; 1 additional minor antepartum hemorrhage with enoxaparin; 6 primary postpartum hemorrhages and 9 secondary postpartum hemorrhages)  Major bleeding from other site: 1/47 (rectus sheath and epistaxis with IV UFH around delivery)	Regimen 1, 0/13 (0)          Regimen 2, 5/34 (14.7) (1 valve thrombosis, 4 TIA, 3/5 associated with noncompliance, 2 events postpartum)

Table S28—Continued

Country, Study/Year	Number of Patients and Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Deaths, n/N (%)	Maternal Intracranial Bleeding events, n/N (%)	Maternal Extracranial Bleeding events, n/N (%)	Maternal Systemic Thromboembolism, n/N (%)
Single-group cohort studies							
Norway, retrospective, Abildgaard et al <sup>72</sup> /2009	11 women 12 pregnancies	Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves Valve position Mitral: 4 Aortic: 6 Aortic and mitral: 2	Therapeutic doses of LMWH q12h throughout pregnancy. Aspirin 75 mg/d recommended but discontinued 1 wk before expected delivery date  Doses adjusted to attain peak anti-Xa level of 0.7-1.2 units/mL	0/12 (0.0) 1 subject who died suddenly at 11 wk with no autopsy evidence of bleeding or thrombosis excluded from analysis No maternal fatal bleeding events reported	0/12 (0.0)	4/12 (33.3) No maternal major GI bleeding events Maternal major obstetrical bleeding events: 4 (all postpartum or postcesarean section)	2/12 (16.7) (both associated with subtherapeutic dosing of LMWH) Maternal ischemic stroke: 1 Maternal nonstroke systemic embolism: 0 Maternal valve thrombosis: 1
Japan, retrospective, Kawamata et al <sup>73</sup> /2007	12 women 16 pregnancies	Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves Valve position Mitral: 7 Aortic: 2 Tricuspid: 7	Substitution of warfarin with UFH starting between 6-13 wk and until term  Doses adjusted to maintain aPTT levels 2-3 times control (between 20,000 and 30,000 International Units/d)	1/16 (6.3) (death of mother and fetus during replacement of thrombosed valve) No fatal maternal bleeding events	2/16 (12.5)	7/16 (43.8) No maternal major GI bleeding events Maternal major obstetrical bleeding events: 7 (4 perinatal bleeding, 3 subchorionic bleeding events)	3/16 (13.8) Maternal ischemic stroke: 0 No maternal nonstroke systemic embolism: 0 Maternal valve thrombosis: 2

(Continued)

Table S28—Continued

Country, Study/Year	Number of Patients and Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Maternal Deaths, n/N (%)	Maternal Intracranial Bleeding events, n/N (%)	Maternal Extracranial Bleeding events, n/N (%)	Maternal Systemic Thromboembolism, n/N (%)
England, prospective, Quinn et al <sup>75</sup> /2009	11 women 12 pregnancies	Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves Valve position Mitral: 4 Aortic: 2 Aortic and mitral: 3 Systemic right atrioventricular valve: 2	Dalteparin 100 International Units/kg q12h (8) or enoxaparin 1 mg/kg q12h (4) + aspirin 81 mg/d LMWH doses adjusted to attain an anti-Xa level 1.0-1.2 units/mL	0/12 (0.0) No maternal fetal bleeding events reported	Not reported	6/12 (50.0) (3 minor; epistaxis, placental hematoma, secondary postpartum hemorrhage; 3 major as below) No maternal major GI bleeding events reported Maternal major obstetrical bleeding events: 3 (ante-partum hemorrhage with placenta previa, persistent cervical hematoma and vaginal bleeding leading to cesarean section, postpartum hemorrhage)	1/12 (8.3) (associated with subtherapeutic anti-Xa levels) No maternal ischemic stroke reported. No maternal nonstroke systemic embolism reported. Maternal valve thrombosis: 1
Canada, prospective, Ymon et al <sup>76</sup> /2009	17 women 23 pregnancies	Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves Valve position Mitral: 14 Aortic: 8 Aortic and mitral: 1	LMWH SC q12h. Low-dose aspirin (81 mg/d) administered to all patients LMWH dose adjusted to maintain 4 h postinjection anti-Xa level 1-1.2 International Units/mL	1/23 (4.3) (fatal TIA/valve thrombosis) No fatal maternal bleeding events	0/23 (0.0)	3/23 (13.0) (2 minor postpartum and 1 major bleeding events as below) No major maternal GI bleeding events Major maternal obstetrical bleeding events: 1/23 (large uterine hematoma postcesarean section requiring transfusion)	1/23 (4.3) Maternal ischemic stroke: 1 Maternal nonstroke systemic embolism: 0 Maternal valve thrombosis: 1

(Continued)



Table S28—Continued

Country, Study/Year	Number of Patients and Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Deaths, n/N (%)	Maternal Intracranial Bleeding events, n/N (%)	Maternal Extracranial Bleeding events, n/N (%)	Maternal Systemic Thromboembolism, n/N (%)
Systematic reviews							
Canada, Chan/2000	976 women 1,234 pregnancies	Studies between 1966 and 1997 involving pregnant women with mechanical heart valves Valve type Cage and ball: 433 Single-tilting disc: 356 Bileaflet: 62 Other: 20 Heterograft: 1 Unknown: 104 Position Mitral: 647 Aortic: 202 Tricuspid: 1 > 1 valve: 126 Valve position Mitral: 647 Aortic: 202 Tricuspid: 1 > 1 valve: 126 Total: 976	Regimen 1 oral anticoagulants throughout pregnancy Regimen 2 substitution of UFH in the first trimester either at or before 6 wk, after 6 wk, or at unknown time in the first trimester Regimen 3 UFH throughout pregnancy-adjusted dose or low dose ( $\leq 15,000$ units/d)  Regimen 4 no anticoagulants, including use of antiplatelet agents alone	Overall: 25/854 (2.9%)  Regimen 1, 10/561 (1.8) Regimen 2, 7/167, (4.2)  Regimen 3, 3/20 (15.0) Adjusted-dose UFH: 1/15 (6.7) Low-dose UFH: 2/5 (40) Regimen 4, 5/106, (4.7) Nothing: 2/3, (5.4) Antiplatelet: 3/69 (4.3) Fatal maternal bleeding events: 2	Overall: 0/1234 (0.0)	Overall major bleeding events: 31/1234 (2.5) (25 at delivery, 6 outside delivery); unable to separate by regimen or specific location	Regimen 1, 31/788 (3.9) Regimen 2, 21/229 (9.2)  Regimen 3, 7/21 (33.3) Adjusted-dose UFH: 4/16 (25.0) Low-dose UFH: 3/5 (60.0)  Regimen 4, 26/107 (24.3) Nothing: 6/38 (15.8) Antiplatelet: 20/69 (29) Maternal ischemic stroke: 0 Maternal nonstroke systemic embolism: 0 Maternal valve thrombosis: 17 [all fatal]

(Continued)

Table S28—Continued

Country, Study/Year	Number of Patients and Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Maternal Deaths, n/N (%)	Maternal Intracranial Bleeding events, n/N (%)	Maternal Extracranial Bleeding events, n/N (%)	Maternal Systemic Thromboembolism, n/N (%)
United States, James et al <sup>67</sup> /2006	76 pregnancies	Studies between 1966 and 2006 involving pregnant women with mechanical heart valves receiving LMWH	Conversion to LMWH prior to pregnancy or by the end of first trimester LMWH Enoxaparin: 32 Nadroparin: 20 Dalteparin: 13 Tinzaparin: 2 Reviparin: 1 Unknown: 8 Addition of low-dose aspirin: 13 Varying regimens ranging from a fixed subtherapeutic dose to weight-adjusted therapeutic doses Monitoring of anti-factor Xa levels: 43. The minimum value for the target ranges was 0.5, and the maximum was 1.2.	3/76 (3.9) Fatal maternal bleeding events: 1 (1 intracranial bleed during conversion to warfarin postdelivery)	1/76 (1.3) (1 intracranial bleed during conversion to warfarin postdelivery)	6/76 (7.9) (4 minor bleeding events [2 hematomas, 1 subchorionic hematoma, 1 delayed broad ligament hematoma] and 2 major bleeding events as below) No major maternal GI bleeding events Major maternal obstetrical bleeding events: 2 (1 peripartum hemorrhage requiring transfusion, 1 delayed postpartum hemorrhage requiring transfusion)	17/76 (22.4) Maternal ischemic stroke: 2 Maternal nonstroke systemic embolism: 2 (myocardial infarction) Maternal valve thrombosis: 13 (2 fatal)

(Continued)

Table S28—Continued

Country, Study/Year	Number of Patients and Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Maternal Deaths, n/N (%)	Maternal Intracranial Bleeding events, n/N (%)	Maternal Extracranial Bleeding events, n/N (%)	Maternal Systemic Thromboembolism, n/N (%)
United States, Oran et al <sup>69</sup> /2004	75 women 81 pregnancies	Studies between 1989 and 2004 involving pregnant women with mechanical heart valves who received LMWH	Cases were included if LMWH was received during pregnancy irrespective of this type, dose and duration and administration of different anticoagulant regimens other than LMWH during the same pregnancy.	1/81 (1.2) Fatal maternal bleeding events: 1 (intracranial bleed 3 mo postpartum with no monitoring of INRs)	1/81 (1.2) (intracranial bleed 3 mo postpartum with no monitoring of INRs)	3/81 (3.7) Anti-Xa-adjusted LMWH: 2 (hematomas at cesarean incision) Fixed-dose LMWH: 1 (peripartum hemorrhage) No major maternal GI bleeding events Major maternal obstetrical bleeding events: 1 (peripartum)	10/81 (12.3) Anti-Xa-adjusted LMWH: 1 (valve thrombosis) Fixed-dose LMWH: 9 (6 valve thrombosis, 2 cerebrovascular accidents, 1 embolism) Maternal ischemic stroke: 2 Maternal nonstroke embolism (type not reported): 1 Maternal valve thrombosis: 7 (8.64%)
		Valve position Mitral: 44 Aortic: 8 Mitral and aortic: 5 Unknown: 18	Conversion to LMWH occurred 1 mo before conception in 2 women; in the remainder, conversion to LMWH occurred during pregnancy LMWH use during second half of first trimester and at term: 21 LMWH throughout pregnancy: 60. LMWH Enoxaparin: 35 Nadroparin: 21 Dalteparin: 11 Tinzaparin: 3 Reviparin: 1 Unknown: 10 Dose adjusted to therapeutic anti-Xa level: 51 Fixed dose: 30				

(Continued)

Table S28—Continued

Country, Study/Year	Number of Patients and Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Maternal Deaths, n/N (%)	Maternal Intracranial Bleeding events, n/N (%)	Maternal Extracranial Bleeding events, n/N (%)	Maternal Systemic Thromboembolism, n/N (%)
Egypt, Hassouna and Allam <sup>2</sup> /2009	892 women 1,231 pregnancies	Studies published between January 2000 and September 2009 involving pregnant women with mechanical heart valves who received defined anticoagulant regimens	Regimen 1 VKAs throughout pregnancy with and without UFH or LMWH substitution near term Regimen 2 UFH or LMWH substitution during the first trimester and near term Regimen 3 UFH or LMWH throughout pregnancy Regimen 4 no anticoagulants	Overall: 16/974, (1.6) Regimen 1, 7/605 (1.1) Regimen 2, 4/236 (1.7) Regimen 3, 5/107 (4.7) Regimen 4, 0/26 (0)	Not reported	Overall: 65/1343 (4.8) Regimen 1, 35/833 (4.2) Regimen 2, 11/322 (3.4) Regimen 3, 17/157 (10.8) Regimen 4, 2/31 (6.4) Major maternal GI bleeding events: not reported Maternal major obstetrical bleeding events: 55 (occurred at delivery)	Overall: 77/1343 (5.7) Regimen 1, 24/833 (2.9%) Regimen 2, 23/322 (7.2%) Regimen 3, 21/157 (13.4%) Regimen 4, 9/31 (29%) Maternal ischemic stroke and nonstroke systemic embolism: 26 Maternal valve thrombosis: 51
		Valve type Cage and ball: 134 Tilting disc: 382 Bileaflet: 341 Undefined: 256 Valve position Mitral: 671 Aortic: 141 Mitral and aortic: 147 + 147 Tricuspid: 7		Fatal maternal fatal bleeding events: 2 Fatal maternal thrombosis: 8			

TIA = transient ischemic attack. See Tables S1, S4, and S17 for expansion of other abbreviations.

**Table S29—[Section 12.1.1-12.1.3] Antithrombotic Therapy in Pregnant Women With Mechanical Heart Valves—Fetal and Neonatal Outcomes (Clinical Description and Results)**

Country, Study/Year	Number of Patients and Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Congenital Malformations, n/N (%)	Fetal and/or Neonatal Hemorrhage, n/N (%)	Total Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Early Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Late Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Neonatal Death
Cohort studies with comparator groups									
Iran, retrospective, Khamooshi et al <sup>72</sup> /2007	110 women, 196 pregnancies	Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves Valve type Tilting: 98 Bileaflet: 98 Valve position Aortic: 26 Mitral: 128 Aortic and mitral: 42	Regimen 1 warfarin throughout pregnancy; INR checked monthly and kept 2.5-3.5 Regimen 2 SC UFH for the first trimester; warfarin until week 36, then UFH for remainder of pregnancy; aPTT kept 2 times control	Regimen 1, 7/142 (4.9)  Regimen 2, 1/54 (1.9)	Not reported	Regimen 1, 71/142 (50)  Regimen 2, 10/54 (18.5)	Regimen 1, 66/142 (46.5)  Regimen 2, 8/54 (14.8)	Regimen 1, 5/142 (3.5)  Regimen 2, 2/54 (3.7)	Unable to separate neonatal deaths from premature births
				Malformations included hydrocephalus (2), stabismus (3), telebrachydactyly (1), nasal hypoplasia (1)					

(Continued)



Table S29—Continued

Country, Study/Year	Number of Patients and Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Congenital Malformations, n/N (%)	Fetal and/or Neonatal Hemorrhage, n/N (%)	Total Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Early Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Late Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Neonatal Death
Korea, retrospective, Lee et al <sup>73</sup> /2007	25 women, 31 pregnancies	Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves Aortic: 4 Mitral: 21 Double valve replacement: 5	Regimen 1 coumarin and aspirin throughout pregnancy with target INR 2.5-3.5, then nadroparin 7,500 units SC q12h for 2 wk before due date Regimen 2 nadroparin 7,500 units SC q12 until week 12, then coumarins until week 38 when nadroparin resumed Aspirin 100 mg/d throughout pregnancy	Regimen 1, 1/23 (3.2) (hydrocephalus)	Not reported	Regimen 1, 4/8 (50) (1 loss was associated with maternal valve thrombosis) Regimen 2, 2/23 (8.7) (1 loss was associated with maternal valve thrombosis)	Not specified	Not specified	Not specified

(Continued)

Table S29—Continued

Country/ Study/Year	Number of Patients and Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Congenital Malformations, n/N (%)	Fetal and/or Neonatal Hemorrhage, n/N (%)	Total Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Early Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Late Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Neonatal Death
New Zealand, retrospective, McLintock et al <sup>7</sup> /2009	31 women 47 pregnancies	Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves Valve type Starr- Edwards: 12 Tilting disc or bileaflet: 19 Valve position Mitral: 14 Aortic: 4 Mitral and aortic: 13	Regimen 1 predominantly warfarin and aspirin (100-150 mg) throughout with enoxaparin (1 mg/kg SC q12h) and aspirin substituted between weeks 6 and 12 and at 34-36 wk gestation Regimen 2 enoxaparin (1 mg/kg SC q12h) and aspirin (100-150 mg) predominantly In both regimens, enoxaparin monitored by anti-Xa levels every 3-7 d; dose adjusted to attain a target predose level: 0.4-0.7 International Units/mL	Regimen 1, 3/13 (23.1) (warfarin embryopathy, hydrocephalus, cardiac anomalies)	Regimen 1, 2/13 (15.3) (fetal intracerebral hemorrhage resulting in stillbirth)	Regimens 1 and 2, 11/47 (23.4)	Regimens 1 and 2, 8/47 (17.0)	Regimen 1, 2/13 (15.3) (fetal intracerebral hemorrhage resulting in stillbirth)	Regimen 1, 2/13 (15.3) (warfarin embryopathy, complex congenital heart disease)
				Regimen 2, 0/34 (0.0)	Regimen 2, 0/34 (0.0)			Regimen 2, 1/34 (2.9)	Regimen 2, 0/34 (0.0)

(Continued)

**Table S29—Continued**

Country, Study/Year	Number of Patients and Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Congenital Malformations, n/N (%)	Fetal and/or Neonatal Hemorrhage, n/N (%)	Total Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Early Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Late Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Neonatal Death
Single-group cohort studies									
Norway, retrospective, Abildgaard et al <sup>7</sup> /2009	11 women 12 pregnancies	Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves Valve position Mitral: 4 Aortic: 6 Aortic and mitral: 2	Therapeutic doses of LMWH q12h throughout pregnancy Aspirin 75 mg/d recommended but discontinued 1 wk before expected delivery date Doses adjusted to attain peak anti-Xa level of 0.7-1.2 units/mL	1/12 (8.3) (patent ductus arteriosus)	Not reported	0/12 (0.0)	0/12 (0.0%)	0/12 (0.0)	0/12 (0.0)
Japan, retrospective, Kawamata et al <sup>7</sup> /2007	12 women 16 pregnancies	Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves Valve position Mitral: 7 Aortic: 2 Tricuspid: 7	Substitution of warfarin with UFH starting between 6 and 13 wk and until term Doses adjusted to maintain aPTT levels 2-3 times control (between 20,000 and 30,000 International Units/d)	1/16 (6.3) (hydrocephalus)	2/16 (12.5) (intraventricular hemorrhage, intraventricular and pulmonary hemorrhage)	5/16 (31.3)	4/16 (25)	1/16 (8.3) (intrauterine fetal death during extracorporeal circulation)	2/16 (12.5) (see under Fetal and/or Neonatal Hemorrhage)

(Continued)

Table S29—Continued

Country, Study/Year	Number of Patients and Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Congenital Malformations, n/N (%)	Fetal and/or Neonatal Hemorrhage, n/N (%)	Total Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Early Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Late Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Neonatal Death
England, prospective, Quinn et al <sup>72</sup> /2009	11 women 12 pregnancies	Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves Valve position Mitral: 4 Aortic :2 Aortic and mitral: 3 Systemic right atrioventricular valve: 2	Dalteparin 100 International Units/kg q12h (8) or enoxaparin 1 mg/kg q12h (4) + aspirin 81 mg/d Doses adjusted to attain an anti-Xa level 1.0-1.2 units/mL	Not reported	Not reported	1/12 (8.3)	0/12 (0.0)	1/12 (8.3)	0/12 (0.0)
Canada, prospective, Yinon et al <sup>73</sup> /2009	17 women 23 pregnancies	Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves Valve position Mitral: 14 Aortic: 8 Aortic and mitral: 1	LMWH SC q12h Low-dose aspirin (81 mg/d) administered to all patients LWMH dose adjusted to maintain 4 h postinjection anti-Xa level 1-1.2 International Units/mL	0/23 (0.0)	Not reported	Total pregnancy loss: 4 (17.4)	2/23 (8.7)	2/23 (8.7)	1/12 (4.3)

(Continued)

Table S29—Continued

Country/ Study/Year	Number of Patients and Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Congenital Malformations, n/N (%)	Fetal and/or Neonatal Hemorrhage, n/N (%)	Total Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Early Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Late Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Neonatal Death
Systematic reviews									
Canada, Chan et al/2000	976 women 1,234 pregnancies	Studies between 1966-1997 involving pregnant women with mechanical heart valves:  Valve type Cage and ball: 433 Single-tilting disc: 356 Bileaflet: 62 Other: 20 Heterograft: 1 Unknown: 104  Position Mitral: 647 Aortic: 202 Tricuspid: 1 > 1 valve: 126 Valve position Mitral: 647 Aortic: 202 Tricuspid: 1 > 1 valve: 126 Total: 976	Regimen 1 oral anticoagulants throughout pregnancy  Regimen 2 substitution of UFH in the first trimester either at or before 6 wk, after 6 wk, or at unknown time in the first trimester          Regimen 3 UFH throughout pregnancy, adjusted dose, or low dose ( $\leq 15,000$ units/d)	Regimen 1, 35/549 (6.4)  Regimen 2, 6/174 (3.4) $\leq 6$ wk: 0/108 (0) > 6 wk: 4/36 (11.1) Unknown: 2/30 (6.7)	Not reported	Regimen 1, 266/792 (33.6%)  Regimen 2, 61/230 (26.5) $\leq 6$ wk: 21/129 (16.3) > 6 wk: 20/56 (35.37) Unknown: 20/45 (44.4)   Regimen 3, 9/21 (42.9) Adjusted dose: 7/16 (43.8) Low-dose: 2/5 (40)	Regimen 1, 196/792 (24.7)  Regimen 2, 57/230 (24.8) $\leq 6$ w: 19/129 (14.7) > 6 wk: 19/56 (33.9) Unknown: 19/45 (42.2)  Regimen 3, 5/21 (23.8) Adjusted dose: 4/16 (25) Low-dose: 1/5 (20)	Not specified	Not specified

(Continued)

**Table S29—Continued**

Country, Study/Year	Number of Patients and Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Congenital Malformations, n/N (%)	Fetal and/or Neonatal Hemorrhage, n/N (%)	Total Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Early Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Late Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Neonatal Death
			Regimen 4, no anticoagulants, including use of antiplatelet agents alone	Regimen 4, 3/92 (3.3) Nothing: 2/33 (6.1) Antiplatelet: 1/59 (1.7) Malformations include warfarin embryopathy (29), CNS abnormalities (4), cleft lip and cleft palate (4), left ventricular hypoplasia (1), corneal leukoma (1), bilateral hand polydactyly (1), single kidney- toe-finger deformity (1)		Regimen 4, 20/102 (19.6) Nothing: 7/35 (20) Antiplatelet: 13/67 (19.4)	Regimen 4, 10/102 (9.8) Nothing: 2/35 (5.7) Antiplatelet: 8/67 (11.9)		

(Continued)



Table S29—Continued

Country, Study/Year	Number of Patients/Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Congenital Malformations, n/N (%)	Fetal and/or Neonatal Hemorrhage, n/N (%)	Total Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Early Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Late Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Neonatal Death
United States, James et al <sup>67</sup> /2006	76 pregnancies	Studies between 1966 and 2006 involving pregnant women with mechanical heart valves receiving LMWH	Conversion to LMWH prior to pregnancy or by the end of first trimester LMWH Enoxaparin: 32 Nadroparin: 20 Dalteparin: 13 Tinzaparin: 2 Reviparin: 1 Unknown: 8 Addition of low-dose aspirin: 13 Varying regimens ranging from a fixed subtherapeutic dose to weight-adjusted therapeutic doses Monitoring of anti-factor Xa levels: 43 The minimum value for the target ranges was 0.5 and the maximum was 1.2	0/76 (0.0)	Not reported	12 (15.8)	8/76 (10.5)	2/76 (2.6) *Additional 2 demises secondary to fatal maternal valve thrombosis **Not included elective termination at 14 wk	Not reported
United States, Oran et al <sup>68</sup> /2004	75 women 81 pregnancies	Studies between 1989 and 2004 involving pregnant women with mechanical heart valves who received LMWH	Cases were included if LMWH was received during pregnancy irrespective of this type, dose, and duration of administration of different anticoagulant regimens other than LMWH during the same pregnancy	1/81 (1.2) (hydrocephalus, LMWH received during first trimester, warfarin subsequent to that)	Not reported	9/81 (11.1) Anti-Xa adjusted dose: 3/81 (3.7) Fixed dose: 4/81 (4.9) Unknown: 2/81 (3.7)	6/81 (7.4) Anti-Xa adjusted dose: 3/81 (3.7) Fixed dose: 3/81 (3.7)	3/81 (3.7) Anti-Xa adjusted dose: 0/81 (0.0) Fixed dose: 1/81 (1.2) Unknown: 2/81 (3.7)	Not reported

(Continued)

**Table S29—Continued**

Country, Study/Year	Number of Patients and Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Congenital Malformations, n/N (%)	Fetal and/or Neonatal Hemorrhage, n/N (%)	Total Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Early Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Late Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Neonatal Death
		Valve position	Conversion to				*Not		
		Mitral: 44	LMWH occurred				included:		
		Aortic: 8	1 mo before				1 first		
		Mitral and	conception in				trimester		
		aortic: 5	2 women;				elective		
		Unknown: 18	in the remainder;				termination		
			conversion to LMWH						
			occurred during						
			pregnancy						
			LMWH use during						
			second half of first						
			trimester and						
			at term: 21						
			LMWH throughout						
			pregnancy: 60						
			LMWH enoxaparin: 35						
			Nadroparin: 21						
			Daltaparin: 11						
			Tinzaparin: 3						
			Reviparin: 1						
			Unknown: 10						
			Dose adjusted to						
			therapeutic anti-Xa						
			level: 51						
			Fixed dose: 30						

(Continued)

Table S29—Continued

Country, Study/Year	Number of Pregnancies	Population	Treatment Regimens	Congenital Malformations, n/N (%)	Fetal and/or Neonatal Hemorrhage, n/N (%)	Total Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Early Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Late Pregnancy Loss, n/N (%)	Neonatal Death
Egypt, Hassouna and Allam <sup>2</sup> /2009	892 women 1,231 pregnancies	Studies published between January 2000–September 2009 involving pregnant women with mechanical heart valves who received defined anticoagulant regimens	Regimen 1 VKAs throughout pregnancy with and without UFH or LMWH substitution near term Regimen 2 UFH or LMWH substitution during the first trimester and near term Regimen 3 UFH or LMWH throughout pregnancy Regimen 4 no anticoagulants	Overall 22/942 (2.3)* Regimen 1 21/559 (3.7) Regimen 2 1/258 (0.4)	Not reported	Overall 403/1343 (30) Regimen 1 274/833 (32.9) Regimen 2 64/322 (19.9)	Overall 272/1343 (20.2) Regimen 1 194/833 (23.3) Regimen 2 42/322 (13)	Not specified	Not reported
		Valve type Cage and ball: 134 Tilting disc: 382 Bileaflet: 341 Undefined: 256 Valve position Mitral: 671 Aortic: 141 Mitral and aortic: 147 + 147 Tricuspid: 7		*Includes hydrocephalus (4), nasal hypoplasia, epiphyseal stippling (7), strabismus (3), mental retardation (2), cleft lip/palate (2), telebrachydactyly (1), and other (3)					

\* and \*\* denote additional data referring to the number of late losses two of 76 (2.6). See Tables S1, S4, and S17 for expansion of abbreviations.

## REFERENCES

- Chan WS, Anand S, Ginsberg JS. Anticoagulation of pregnant women with mechanical heart valves: a systematic review of the literature. *Arch Intern Med*. 2000;160(2):191-196.
- Hassouna A, Allam H. Anticoagulation of pregnant women with mechanical heart valve prosthesis: a systematic review of the literature (2000-2009). *J Coagul Disorders*. 2010;2(1):81-88.
- Askie LM, Duley L, Henderson-Smart DJ, Stewart LA; PARIS Collaborative Group. Antiplatelet agents for prevention of pre-eclampsia: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9575):1791-1798.
- Kozer E, Nikfar S, Costei A, Boskovic R, Nulman I, Koren G. Aspirin consumption during the first trimester of pregnancy and congenital anomalies: a meta-analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2002;187(6):1623-1630.
- Kozer E, Costei AM, Boskovic R, Nulman I, Nikfar S, Koren G. Effects of aspirin consumption during pregnancy on pregnancy outcomes: meta-analysis. *Birth Defects Res B Dev Reprod Toxicol*. 2003;68(1):70-84.
- Orme ML, Lewis PJ, de Swiet M, et al. May mothers given warfarin breast-feed their infants? *BMJ*. 1977;1(6076):1564-1565.
- McKenna R, Cole ER, Vasan U. Is warfarin sodium contraindicated in the lactating mother? *J Pediatr*. 1983;103(2):325-327.
- Houwert-de Jong M, Gerards LJ, Tetteroo-Tempelman CA, de Wolff FA. May mothers taking acenocoumarol breast feed their infants? *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 1981;21(1):61-64.
- Fondeville CG, Meschengieser S, Blanco A, Peñalva L, Lazzari MA. Effect of acenocoumarin on the breast-fed infant. *Thromb Res*. 1989;56(1):29-36.
- Richter C, Sitzmann J, Lang P, Weitzel H, Huch A, Huch R. Excretion of low molecular weight heparin in human milk. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2001;52(6):708-710.
- Ito S, Blajchman A, Stephenson M, Eliopoulos C, Koren G. Prospective follow-up of adverse reactions in breast-fed infants exposed to maternal medication. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1993;168(5):1393-1399.
- Delvigne A, Demoulin A, Smits J, et al. The ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome in in-vitro fertilization: a Belgian multicentric study. I. Clinical and biological features. *Hum Reprod*. 1993;8(9):1353-1360.
- Aurousseau MH, Samama MM, Belhassen A, Herve F, Hugues JN. Risk of thromboembolism in relation to an in-vitro fertilization programme: three case reports. *Hum Reprod*. 1995;10(1):94-97.
- Morris RS, Miller C, Jacobs L, Miller K. Conservative management of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome. *J Reprod Med*. 1995;40(10):711-714.
- Bergh T, Lundkvist O. Clinical complications during in-vitro fertilization treatment. *Hum Reprod*. 1992;7(5):625-626.
- Jacobsen AF, Skjeldstad FE, Sandset PM. Ante- and post-natal risk factors of venous thrombosis: a hospital-based case-control study. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2008;6(6):905-912.
- Ragni G, Scarduelli C, Calanna G, Santi G, Benaglia L, Somigliana E. Blood loss during transvaginal oocyte retrieval. *Gynecol Obstet Invest*. 2009;67(1):32-35.
- Bennett SJ, Waterstone JJ, Cheng WC, Parsons J. Complications of transvaginal ultrasound-directed follicle aspiration: a review of 2760 consecutive procedures. *J Assist Reprod Genet*. 1993;10(+):72-77.
- Dicker D, Ashkenazi J, Feldberg D, Levy T, Dekel A, Ben-Rafael Z. Severe abdominal complications after transvaginal ultrasonographically guided retrieval of oocytes for in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer. *Fertil Steril*. 1993;59(6):1313-1315.
- Tureck RW, García CR, Blasco L, Mastroianni L Jr. Perioperative complications arising after transvaginal oocyte retrieval. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1993;81(4):590-593.
- Govaerts I, Devreker F, Delbaere A, Revelard P, Englert Y. Short-term medical complications of 1500 oocyte retrievals for in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 1998;77(2):239-243.
- Ludwig AK, Glawatz M, Griesinger G, Diedrich K, Ludwig M. Perioperative and post-operative complications of transvaginal ultrasound-guided oocyte retrieval: prospective study of > 1000 oocyte retrievals. *Hum Reprod*. 2006;21(12):3235-3240.
- Bodri D, Guillén JJ, Polo A, Trullenque M, Esteve C, Coll O. Complications related to ovarian stimulation and oocyte retrieval in 4052 oocyte donor cycles. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2008;17(2):237-243.
- Baber R, Porter R, Picker R, Robertson R, Dawson E, Saunders D. Transvaginal ultrasound directed oocyte collection for in vitro fertilization: successes and complications. *J Ultrasound Med*. 1988;7(7):377-379.
- Yinon Y, Pauzner R, Dulitzky M, Elizur SE, Dor J, Shulman A. Safety of IVF under anticoagulant therapy in patients at risk for thrombo-embolic events. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2006;12(3):354-358.
- Qublan H, Amarín Z, Dabbas M, et al. Low-molecular-weight heparin in the treatment of recurrent IVF-ET failure and thrombophilia: a prospective randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Hum Fertil*. 2008;11(4):246-253.
- Stern C, Chamley L, Norris H, Hale L, Baker HW. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of heparin and aspirin for women with in vitro fertilization implantation failure and antiphospholipid or antinuclear antibodies. *Fertil Steril*. 2003;80(2):376-383.
- Jacobsen AF, Drolsum A, Klow NE, Dahl GF, Qvigstad E, Sandset PM. Deep vein thrombosis after elective cesarean section. *Thromb Res*. 2004;113(5):283-288.
- Lindqvist P, Dahlbäck B, Maršál K. Thrombotic risk during pregnancy: a population study. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1999;94(4):595-599.
- Simpson EL, Lawrenson RA, Nightingale AL, Farmer RD. Venous thromboembolism in pregnancy and the puerperium: incidence and additional risk factors from a London perinatal database. *BJOG*. 2001;108(1):56-60.
- Knight M; UKOSS. Antenatal pulmonary embolism: risk factors, management and outcomes. *BJOG*. 2008;115(4):453-461.
- Robertson L, Wu O, Langhorne P, et al; Thrombosis Risk and Economic Assessment of Thrombophilia Screening (TREATS) Study. Thrombophilia in pregnancy: a systematic review. *Br J Haematol*. 2005;132(2):171-196.
- James AH, Jamison MG, Brancaccio LR, Myers ER. Venous thromboembolism during pregnancy and the postpartum period: incidence, risk factors, and mortality. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2006;194(5):1311-1315.
- Casele H, Grobman WA. Cost-effectiveness of thromboprophylaxis with intermittent pneumatic compression at cesarean delivery. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2006;108(3 pt 1):535-540.
- Howell R, Fidler J, Letsky E, de Swiet M. The risks of antenatal subcutaneous heparin prophylaxis: a controlled trial. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1983;90(12):1124-1128.
- Lao TT, de Swiet M, Letsky SE, Walters BN. Prophylaxis of thromboembolism in pregnancy: an alternative. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1985;92(3):202-206.
- de Swiet M, Floyd E, Letsky E. Low risk of recurrent thromboembolism in pregnancy. *Br J Hosp Med*. 1987;38(3):264.
- Brill-Edwards P, Ginsberg JS, Gent M, et al; Recurrence of Clot in This Pregnancy Study Group. Safety of withholding

- heparin in pregnant women with a history of venous thromboembolism. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;343(20):1439-1444.
39. Badaracco MA, Vessey MP. Recurrence of venous thromboembolic disease and use of oral contraceptives. *BMJ*. 1974;1(5901):215-217.
  40. Tengborn L, Bergqvist D, Mätzsch T, Bergqvist A, Hedner U. Recurrent thromboembolism in pregnancy and puerperium. Is there a need for thromboprophylaxis? *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1989;160(1):90-94.
  41. Pabinger I, Grafenhofer H, Kaider A, et al. Risk of pregnancy-associated recurrent venous thromboembolism in women with a history of venous thrombosis. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2005;3(5):949-954.
  42. De Stefano V, Martinelli I, Rossi E, et al. The risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism in pregnancy and puerperium without antithrombotic prophylaxis. *Br J Haematol*. 2006;135(3):386-391.
  43. Gates S, Brocklehurst P, Ayers S, Bowler U; Thromboprophylaxis in Pregnancy Advisory Group. Thromboprophylaxis and pregnancy: two randomized controlled pilot trials that used low-molecular-weight heparin. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2004;191(4):1296-1303.
  44. Pettilä V, Kaaja R, Leinonen P, Ekblad U, Kataja M, Ikkala E. Thromboprophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin (dalteparin) in pregnancy. *Thromb Res*. 1999;96(4):275-282.
  45. Blombäck M, Bremme K, Hellgren M, Sieghahn A, Lindberg H. Thromboprophylaxis with low molecular mass heparin, 'Fragmin' (dalteparin), during pregnancy—a longitudinal safety study. *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis*. 1998;9(1):1-9.
  46. Brennan JE, Walker ID, Greer IA. Anti-activated factor X profiles in pregnant women receiving antenatal thromboprophylaxis with enoxaparin. *Acta Haematol*. 1999;101(1):53-55.
  47. Bauersachs RM, Dudenhausen J, Faridi A, et al; ETHIG Investigators. Risk stratification and heparin prophylaxis to prevent venous thromboembolism in pregnant women. *Thromb Haemost*. 2007;98(6):1237-1245.
  48. Dargaud Y, Rugeri L, Vergnes MC, et al. A risk score for the management of pregnant women with increased risk of venous thromboembolism: a multicentre prospective study. *Br J Haematol*. 2009;145(6):825-835.
  49. Folkeringa N, Brouwer JL, Korteweg FJ, Veeger NJ, Erwich JJ, van der Meer J. High risk of pregnancy-related venous thromboembolism in women with multiple thrombophilic defects. *Br J Haematol*. 2007;138(1):110-116.
  50. Rozanski C, Lazo-Langner A, Kovacs M. Prevention of venous thromboembolism (VTE) associated with pregnancy in women with a past history of VTE [abstract]. *Blood*. 2009;114(suppl):3132.
  51. Sanson BJ, Lensing AWA, Prins MH, et al. Safety of low-molecular-weight heparin in pregnancy: a systematic review. *Thromb Haemost*. 1999;81(5):668-672.
  52. Lepercq J, Conard J, Borel-Derlon A, et al. Venous thromboembolism during pregnancy: a retrospective study of enoxaparin safety in 624 pregnancies. *BJOG*. 2001;108(11):1134-1140.
  53. Cowchock S, Reece EA. Do low-risk pregnant women with antiphospholipid antibodies need to be treated? Organizing Group of the Antiphospholipid Antibody Treatment Trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1997;176(5):1099-1100.
  54. Tulpala M, Marttunen M, Söderstrom-Anttila V, et al. Low-dose aspirin in prevention of miscarriage in women with unexplained or autoimmune related recurrent miscarriage: effect on prostacyclin and thromboxane A2 production. *Hum Reprod*. 1997;12(7):1567-1572.
  55. Pattison NS, Chamley LW, Birdsall M, Zanderigo AM, Liddell HS, McDougall J. Does aspirin have a role in improving pregnancy outcome for women with the antiphospholipid syndrome? A randomized controlled trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2000;183(4):1008-1012.
  56. Rai R, Cohen H, Dave M, Regan L. Randomised controlled trial of aspirin and aspirin plus heparin in pregnant women with recurrent miscarriage associated with phospholipid antibodies (or antiphospholipid antibodies). *BMJ*. 1997;314(7076):253-257.
  57. Goel N, Tuli A, Choudhry R. The role of aspirin versus aspirin and heparin in cases of recurrent abortions with raised anticardiolipin antibodies. *Med Sci Monit*. 2006;12(3):CR132-CR136.
  58. Kutteh WH. Antiphospholipid antibody-associated recurrent pregnancy loss: treatment with heparin and low-dose aspirin is superior to low-dose aspirin alone. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1996;174(5):1584-1589.
  59. Farquharson RG, Quenby S, Greaves M. Antiphospholipid syndrome in pregnancy: a randomized, controlled trial of treatment. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2002;100(3):408-413.
  60. Laskin CA, Spitzer KA, Clark CA, et al. Low molecular weight heparin and aspirin for recurrent pregnancy loss: results from the randomized, controlled HepASA Trial. *J Rheumatol*. 2009;36(2):279-287.
  61. Stephenson MD, Ballem PJ, Tsang P, et al. Treatment of antiphospholipid antibody syndrome (APS) in pregnancy: a randomized pilot trial comparing low molecular weight heparin to unfractionated heparin. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can*. 2004;26(8):729-734.
  62. Gris JC, Quéré I, Monpeyroux F, et al. Case-control study of the frequency of thrombophilic disorders in couples with late foetal loss and no thrombotic antecedent—the Nîmes Obstetricians and Haematologists Study5 (NOHA5). *Thromb Haemost*. 1999;81(6):891-899.
  63. Noble LS, Kutteh WH, Lashey N, Franklin RD, Herrada J. Antiphospholipid antibodies associated with recurrent pregnancy loss: prospective, multicenter, controlled pilot study comparing treatment with low-molecular-weight heparin versus unfractionated heparin. *Fertil Steril*. 2005;83(3):684-690.
  64. Kutteh WH, Ermel LD. A clinical trial for the treatment of antiphospholipid antibody-associated recurrent pregnancy loss with lower dose heparin and aspirin. *Am J Reprod Immunol*. 1996;35(4):402-407.
  65. Carp H, Dolitzky M, Inbal A. Thromboprophylaxis improves the live birth rate in women with consecutive recurrent miscarriages and hereditary thrombophilia. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2003;1(3):433-438.
  66. Leduc L, Dubois E, Takser L, Rey E, David MJ. Dalteparin and low-dose aspirin in the prevention of adverse obstetric outcomes in women with inherited thrombophilia. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can*. 2007;29(10):787-793.
  67. James AH, Brancaccio LR, Gehrig TR, Wang A, Ortel TL. Low-molecular-weight heparin for thromboprophylaxis in pregnant women with mechanical heart valves. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2006;19(9):543-549.
  68. Oran B, Lee-Parritz A, Ansell J. Low molecular weight heparin for the prophylaxis of thromboembolism in women with prosthetic mechanical heart valves during pregnancy. *Thromb Haemost*. 2004;92(4):747-751.
  69. Khamooshi AJ, Kashfi F, Hoseini S, Tabatabaei MB, Javadpour H, Noohi F. Anticoagulation for prosthetic heart valves in pregnancy. Is there an answer? *Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann*. 2007;15(6):493-496.
  70. Lee JH, Park NH, Keum DY, Choi SY, Kwon KY, Cho CH. Low molecular weight heparin treatment in pregnant women with a mechanical heart valve prosthesis. *J Korean Med Sci*. 2007;22(2):258-261.
  71. McLintock C, McCowan LME, North RA. Maternal complications and pregnancy outcome in women with mechanical prosthetic heart valves treated with enoxaparin. *BJOG*. 2009;116(12):1585-1592.

72. Abildgaard U, Sandset PM, Hammerstrøm J, Gjestvang FT, Tveit A. Management of pregnant women with mechanical heart valve prosthesis: thromboprophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin. *Thromb Res.* 2009;124(3):262-267.
73. Kawamata K, Neki R, Yamanaka K, et al. Risks and pregnancy outcome in women with prosthetic mechanical heart valve replacement. *Circ J.* 2007;71(2):211-213.
74. Quinn J, Von Klemperer K, Brooks R, Peebles D, Walker F, Cohen H. Use of high intensity adjusted dose low molecular weight heparin in women with mechanical heart valves during pregnancy: a single-center experience. *Haematologica.* 2009;94(11):1608-1612.
75. Yinon Y, Siu SC, Warshafsky C, et al. Use of low molecular weight heparin in pregnant women with mechanical heart valves. *Am J Cardiol.* 2009;104(9):1259-1263.



**VTE, Thrombophilia, Antithrombotic Therapy, and Pregnancy :  
Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed:  
American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical  
Practice Guidelines**

Shannon M. Bates, Ian A. Greer, Saskia Middeldorp, David L. Veenstra,  
Anne-Marie Prabulos and Per Olav Vandvik

*Chest* 2012;141; e691S-e736S

DOI 10.1378/chest.11-2300

**This information is current as of February 16, 2012**

**Supplementary Material**

View e-supplements related to this article at:

[http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/suppl/2012/02/03/141.2\\_suppl.e691S.DC1.html](http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/suppl/2012/02/03/141.2_suppl.e691S.DC1.html)

**Updated Information & Services**

Updated Information and services can be found at:

[http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2\\_suppl/e691S.full.html](http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2_suppl/e691S.full.html)

**References**

This article cites 328 articles, 81 of which can be accessed free at:

[http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2\\_suppl/e691S.full.html#ref-list-1](http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2_suppl/e691S.full.html#ref-list-1)

**Cited By**

This article has been cited by 5 HighWire-hosted articles:

[http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2\\_suppl/e691S.full.html#related-urls](http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2_suppl/e691S.full.html#related-urls)

**Permissions & Licensing**

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:

<http://www.chestpubs.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

**Reprints**

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:

<http://www.chestpubs.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

**Citation Alerts**

Receive free e-mail alerts when new articles cite this article. To sign up, select the "Services" link to the right of the online article.

**Images in PowerPoint format**

Figures that appear in *CHEST* articles can be downloaded for teaching purposes in PowerPoint slide format. See any online figure for directions.

